

Nebraska Monthly Economic Indicators: November 21, 2018

Prepared by the UNL College of Business, Bureau of Business Research

Author: Dr. Eric Thompson

Leading Economic Indicator.....	1
Coincident Economic Indicator.....	3
Weights and Component Shares.....	5
Performance of the LEI-N and CEI-N.....	6

Summary: *The Leading Economic Indicator – Nebraska (LEI-N)¹ rose by 0.51% during October of 2018. The increase in the LEI-N, which is designed to predict economic activity six months into the future, suggests moderate economic growth in Nebraska through the first quarter of 2019. Initial claims for unemployment insurance fell in Nebraska during October, while building permits for single-family homes and business expectations improved. In particular, respondents to the October Survey of Nebraska Business reported plans to increase both sales and employment at their businesses over the next six months.*

Leading Economic Indicator – Nebraska

Figure 1 shows the change in the Leading Economic Indicator – Nebraska (LEI-N) during October 2018 compared to the previous month. The LEI-N predicts economic growth six months into the future. The LEI-N rose by 0.51% in October.




Figure 2 shows the change in the LEI-N has increased during five of the last six months. Increases in the indicator have been modest over the last six months, suggesting moderate growth in the Nebraska economy through the first quarter of 2019.

¹ The author would like to thank Dr. William Walstad for helping to design the LEI-N.





Figure 3 shows the components of change in the Leading Economic Indicator – Nebraska during October 2018. The change in the overall LEI–N is the weighted average of changes in each component (see page 5). Four of six LEI-N components rose during October. Building permits for single-family homes rose along with business confidence. In particular, respondents to the October *Survey of Nebraska Business* reported plans to increase both sales and employment at their businesses over the next six months. Further, initial claims for unemployment insurance fell during October, suggesting a robust Nebraska labor market. Among negative components, the value of the U.S. dollar rose again in October, creating additional competitive pressure on Nebraska exporters. Manufacturing hours fell after a rising in September. Note that the trend adjustment component pictured in Figure 3 is discussed on page 5.



Coincident Economic Indicator – Nebraska

The Coincident Economic Indicator - Nebraska (CEI-N) is a measure of the current size of the Nebraska economy. The CEI-N rose by just 0.08% during October 2018, as seen in Figure 4.





Figure 5 shows the change in the CEI-N over the last 6 months. The CEI-N has risen five of the last six months, including a sharp increase during September. That sharp increase and further increases in other months suggests that economic growth is currently strong in Nebraska.



Three of four CEI-N components rose during October. Business conditions were positive with respondents to the *October Survey of Nebraska Business* reporting an increase in both sales and employment during recent months. There was an increase in electricity sales on a seasonally-adjusted basis, and a slight rise in agricultural commodity prices. Private wages fell, reflecting a drop in real hourly wages as well as

employment and weekly hours-worked. Real hourly wages had risen rapidly during September. A detailed discussion of the components of the CEI-N and LEI-N can be found at www.cba.unl.edu in *Technical Report: Coincident and Leading Economic Indicators- Nebraska*.





Figure 7 shows the forecast for the CEI-N over the next six months. Economic growth is expected to continue in Nebraska through the first quarter of 2019. Forecast growth in the CEI-N is consistent with changes in the LEI-N over the last six months (Figure 2).



Weights and Component Shares

Table 1 shows the weights used to aggregate the individual components into the LEI-N and CEI-N. The weights are the inverse of the “standardized” standard deviation of each component variable. The term standardized simply means that the inverse standard deviations are adjusted proportionately to sum to 1. This weighting scheme makes sense since individual components that are more stable have smaller standard deviations, and therefore, a larger inverse standard deviation. A large movement in a typically stable economic series would provide a more powerful signal of economic change than a large movement in a series with significant month-to-month fluctuations.

Table 1: Component Weights for LEI-N and CEI-N							
Leading Economic Indicator - Nebraska				Coincident Economic Indicator - Nebraska			
Variable	Standard Deviation	Inverse STD	Weight (Inverse STD Standardize)	Variable	Standard Deviation	Inverse STD	Weight (Inverse STD Standardize)
SF Housing Permits	13.3737	0.0748	0.0350	Electricity Sales	4.5587	0.2194	0.1635
Airline Passengers	3.2819	0.3047	0.1425	Private Wages	1.8047	0.5541	0.4130
Exchange Rate	1.1943	0.8373	0.3916	Agricultural Commodities	3.2811	0.3048	0.2272
Initial UI Claims	10.7722	0.0928	0.0434	Survey Business Conditions	3.7973	0.2633	0.1963
Manufacturing Hours	1.6854	0.5933	0.2775				
Survey Business Expectations	4.2518	0.2352	0.1100				

Tables 2 and 3 show the calculation for the change in LEI-N and CEI-N between September and October of 2018. Weights (from Table 1) are multiplied by the change to calculate the contribution of each component. Contributions are converted to percentage terms and summed. Note that in Table 2 a trend adjustment factor is utilized in calculating LEI-N. This is done because LEI-N historically under-predicts CEI-N by 0.09% per month. The U.S. Leading Economic Indicator also has a trend adjustment.

Table 2: Component Contributions to the Change in Leading Economic Indicator						
Leading Economic Indicator - Nebraska						
Component Index Value (May 2007=100)						
Component	Current	Previous	Difference	Weight	Contribution	Percentage Contribution (Relative to Previous LEI-N)
SF Building Permits	77.04	65.72	11.32	0.03	0.40	0.27%
Airline Passengers	113.67	113.06	0.60	0.14	0.09	0.06%
U.S. Dollar Exchange Rate (Inverse)	82.40	82.95	-0.55	0.39	-0.21	-0.14%
Initial Unemployment Insurance Claims (Inverse)	142.48	139.74	2.74	0.04	0.12	0.08%
Manufacturing Hours	93.89	96.52	-2.63	0.28	-0.73	-0.49%
Survey Business Expectations ¹	58.77		8.77	0.11	0.97	0.65%
Trend Adjustment					0.13	0.09%
Total (weighted average)	148.87	148.12			0.75	0.51%

¹ Survey results are a diffusion Index, which is always compared to 50

Table 3: Component Contributions to the Change in Coincident Economic Indicator						
Coincident Economic Indicator - Nebraska						
Component Index Value (May 2007=100)						
Component	Current	Previous	Difference	Weight	Contribution	Percentage Contribution (Relative to Previous CEI-N)
Electricity Sales	191.11	188.44	2.67	0.16	0.44	0.34%
Private Wage	111.06	115.53	-4.47	0.41	-1.85	-1.43%
Agricultural Commodities	114.49	114.10	0.39	0.23	0.09	0.07%
Survey Business Conditions ¹	57.27		7.27	0.20	1.43	1.11%
Total (weighted average)	129.12	129.02			0.11	0.08%

¹ Survey results are a diffusion Index, which is always compared to 50

Performance of the LEI-N and CEI-N

Further information is available on both economic indicators to demonstrate how well the CEI-N tracks the Nebraska economy and how well the LEI-N leads the CEI-N. Figure 8 shows the value of CEI-N and the real gross state product (real GDP) in Nebraska for 2001 through 2017. Annual real gross state product data is provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, U.S. Department of Commerce, and quarterly values were estimated using quarterly earnings data. CEI-N closely tracks Nebraska real GDP for the period. The correlation coefficient between the two pictured series is 0.95.




Figure 9 again shows the values for the CEI-N. It also graphs 6-months forward values for the LEI-N. Recall that the LEI-N is intended to forecast the Nebraska economy six months into the future. This implies that Figure 9 is comparing the predicted movement in CEI-N (predicted by LEI-N values six months earlier) with the actual movement in CEI-N. In Figure 9, predicted values using the LEI-N closely track trends and movement in the CEI-N. The correlation coefficient between CEI-N and six-month forward values of LEI-N is 0.92.

