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nemployment rates and job growth are popular measures for state economies. However, these trends may differ from the state's performance in core measures of underlying economic strength such as entrepreneurship, net migration and capital formation. Given this, the University of Nebraska - Lincoln Bureau of Business Research seeks to track these core economic measures in Nebraska and in all U.S. states.

The State Entrepreneurship Index is one effort to track these core trends. Specifically, the index is used to track entrepreneurship in all 50 states. The index was initially developed by Eric C. Thompson and William B. Walstad in Entrepreneurship in Nebraska: Conditions, Attitudes, and Actions (Gallup Press, 2008). In the current study, we use the same methodology to and calculate the value of the state entrepreneurship index for 2010 and 2011.

The index is composed of 5 components that consider the income of entrepreneurs, business formation rates, technological innovation, and growth in the number of entrepreneurs.

The five components of the State Entrepreneurship Index are:

- Percent growth in employer establishments
- Percent growth in employer establishments per person
- Business formation rate (i.e, establishment births per person)
- Patents per thousand persons
- Average income per non-farm proprietor

A separate index value is calculated for each of the 5 components. Each component index reflects how much each state's performance deviates from the median state. The state at the median gets a value of 1.0. A state with a value one standard deviation above the median gets a value of 2.0 , while a state one standard deviation below the median gets a value of 0.0. The overall entrepreneurship index is calculated by taking a simple average of the five component index values for each state.

The data utilized to calculate values for each of the 5 index components are presented in Table A. 1 through A. 5 in the appendix. Data are present from 2005 through 2011. The analysis focused on the two most recent years, 2010 and 2011. Note that the 5 components of state entrepreneurship index are the same that have been used in previous years, with one exception. In particular, the current report utilizes income per non-farm proprietor (including sole proprietors and partners) as its measure of the size of entrepreneurial ventures in the states. In the last two index reports, gross receipts per partner or proprietor were used instead. While the gross receipts measure is preferred, the Internal Revenue Service is no longer calculating
data on gross receipts for proprietorships and partnership by state ${ }^{1}$. This implies that values for the state entrepreneurship index in this report for 2008 and 2010 may differ somewhat from values presented in earlier reports. Values also may differ due to periodic revisions in the underlying data by the U.S. Department of Labor, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Table 1 illustrates component index values for all 50 states for the year 2011. The Table also shows the overall index value. Data on the number of employer establishments comes from the establishment counts in the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages developed by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics within the U.S. Department of Labor. The counts include all establishments that participate in their state unemployment insurance program. Data on the population of states, which is used in all person measures, is from the U.S. Census based on estimated population on July 1, 2011. Data on firm births is from the Business Employment Dynamics database, also developed by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Data on patents is collected by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Data on non-farm proprietor income and proprietor counts for each U.S. state is from the Regional Economic Information System of the Bureau of Economic Analysis, which is part of the United States Department of Commerce.

Table 1 shows the ranking for all 50 states both for the state entrepreneurship index overall and for each of the 5 index components. The highest ranked states in the 2011 State Entrepreneurship Index are concentrated in the northern parts of the country. The five highest ranked states are Massachusetts, North Dakota, California, New York, and Minnesota. We note that Oregon, New Jersey, New Hampshire, and Illinois are also among the Top 10.

The highest ranked states tend to rank above the median state (index value greater than 1.0) for all

[^0]or nearly all of the 5 index components. Massachusetts was a highly ranked state in 4 of the 5 components, including both measures of establishment growth, patent activity, and income per proprietor. A similar pattern was observed in California, the third ranked state. The fourth ranked state New York was above the median state for all 5 of the index components. Minnesota had both a strong business formation rate and high levels of patent activity per capita. North Dakota was the second ranked state primarily due to high rates of business formation and establishment growth. Texas, the only southern state in the top 10 (at a rank of 8) had both strong establishment growth and a very high value for income per non-farm proprietor.

Michigan was among the 5 lowest ranked states in the 2011 State Entrepreneurship Index, along with Louisiana, South Carolina, Mississippi and Kentucky. These lowest ranked states had a below median value (index value less than 1.0) for at least 4 of the 5 index components. South Carolina, Mississippi and Kentucky were below the median for all 5 measures. Michigan and Louisiana had sharp declines in the number of establishments. However, Louisiana had an above-median value for income per non-farm proprietor while Michigan had an above-median value for patents per thousand persons.

States near the middle of the ranking in some cases had near-median values for most measure. For example, Nebraska values for establishment growth, growth in establishments per person, establishment births per person, and income per non-farm proprietor were slightly above the median value, while patents per thousand residents was well below the median value. Nebraska had an overall entrepreneurship index value of 0.988 and a rank of 32 . In other cases, states near the median overall rank had a mix of both high and low component values. For example, Maine had well above the median values for the business formation rate and establishment growth per person, but well below median values for patent activity and income per non-farm proprietor. Maine as a result had an overall state entrepreneurship index rank of 29.

| Table 1 <br> 2011 State Entrepreneurship Index Rank and Index Values for Each Subcomponent Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Growth in Establishments | Growth in Establishments Per Capita | Business Formation Rate | Patents Per <br> Thousand Residents | Average Proprietor Income | Average Index Value | Rank |
| Alabama | 0.534 | 0.848 | -0.087 | 0.158 | 0.275 | 0.346 | 44 |
| Alaska | 1.101 | 0.809 | 1.140 | -0.026 | 2.039 | 1.013 | 28 |
| Arizona | 0.524 | 0.323 | 0.779 | 1.291 | 0.867 | 0.757 | 39 |
| Arkansas | 1.192 | 1.360 | 1.113 | 0.060 | 0.565 | 0.858 | 33 |
| California | 2.866 | 2.741 | 0.879 | 3.332 | 2.121 | 2.388 | 3 |
| Colorado | -0.201 | -0.598 | 2.698 | 1.821 | 1.581 | 1.060 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 0.395 | 0.866 | 0.341 | 2.360 | 3.215 | 1.435 | 12 |
| Delaware | 0.008 | 0.000 | 1.955 | 1.974 | 1.525 | 1.093 | 21 |
| Florida | 0.564 | 0.305 | 3.001 | 0.659 | -0.267 | 0.852 | 35 |
| Georgia | 0.343 | 0.158 | 1.290 | 0.760 | 0.254 | 0.561 | 41 |
| Hawaii | 0.391 | 0.363 | 0.330 | 0.187 | 0.424 | 0.339 | 45 |
| Idaho | -0.546 | -0.598 | 2.138 | 2.772 | 0.409 | 0.835 | 36 |
| Illinois | 2.007 | 2.428 | 0.839 | 1.335 | 1.320 | 1.586 | 9 |
| Indiana | 1.057 | 1.339 | 0.315 | 0.872 | 0.190 | 0.755 | 40 |
| Iowa | -0.015 | 0.275 | 0.289 | 0.998 | 0.891 | 0.488 | 42 |
| Kansas | 1.577 | 1.844 | 1.068 | 1.002 | 1.067 | 1.312 | 15 |
| Kentucky | 0.597 | 0.805 | -0.157 | 0.330 | -0.065 | 0.302 | 46 |
| Louisiana | -0.933 | -0.818 | 0.388 | 0.132 | 1.360 | 0.026 | 50 |
| Maine | 1.069 | 1.602 | 1.674 | 0.443 | 0.267 | 1.011 | 29 |
| Maryland | 1.542 | 1.581 | 1.057 | 1.005 | 1.111 | 1.259 | 17 |
| Massachusetts | 4.028 | 4.238 | 0.874 | 3.433 | 2.483 | 3.011 | 1 |
| Michigan | -1.150 | -0.569 | 0.186 | 1.719 | 0.293 | 0.096 | 49 |
| Minnesota | 1.651 | 1.756 | 1.525 | 3.224 | 0.788 | 1.789 | 5 |
| Mississippi | 0.286 | 0.657 | -0.174 | 0.033 | 0.664 | 0.293 | 47 |
| Missouri | 1.103 | 1.498 | 0.370 | 0.508 | 1.797 | 1.055 | 25 |
| Montana | 0.471 | 0.520 | 2.971 | 0.279 | 0.026 | 0.853 | 34 |
| Nebraska | 1.115 | 1.195 | 1.121 | 0.365 | 1.146 | 0.988 | 32 |
| Nevada | -0.785 | -0.709 | 1.789 | 0.859 | 0.665 | 0.364 | 43 |
| New Hampshire | 0.388 | 0.888 | 2.317 | 2.440 | 1.729 | 1.553 | 10 |
| New Jersey | 0.510 | 0.909 | 1.862 | 1.892 | 3.224 | 1.679 | 7 |
| New Mexico | 1.685 | 1.684 | 0.790 | 0.643 | 0.277 | 1.016 | 27 |
| New York | 1.723 | 2.036 | 1.829 | 1.535 | 4.030 | 2.230 | 4 |
| North Carolina | 1.477 | 1.321 | 0.943 | 1.081 | 0.321 | 1.029 | 26 |
| North Dakota | 3.695 | 3.243 | 4.067 | 0.386 | 1.183 | 2.515 | 2 |
| Ohio | 1.321 | 1.854 | -0.072 | 1.253 | 1.088 | 1.089 | 22 |
| Oklahoma | 1.028 | 1.000 | 0.577 | 0.389 | 2.026 | 1.004 | 30 |
| Oregon | 2.068 | 2.004 | 1.728 | 2.447 | 0.562 | 1.762 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | 1.791 | 2.223 | 0.338 | 1.029 | 2.333 | 1.543 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 0.495 | 1.156 | 2.000 | 1.235 | 1.067 | 1.191 | 19 |
| South Carolina | 0.288 | 0.212 | 0.433 | 0.519 | -0.508 | 0.189 | 48 |
| South Dakota | 1.088 | 1.000 | 1.628 | 0.341 | 0.933 | 0.998 | 31 |
| Tennessee | 0.331 | 0.387 | -0.055 | 0.516 | 2.702 | 0.776 | 38 |
| Texas | 1.957 | 1.319 | 0.443 | 1.145 | 3.178 | 1.608 | 8 |
| Utah | 0.763 | 0.259 | 2.515 | 1.659 | 0.149 | 1.069 | 23 |
| Vermont | 0.464 | 0.980 | 1.791 | 3.506 | -0.220 | 1.304 | 16 |
| Virginia | 1.407 | 1.324 | 1.737 | 0.715 | 0.588 | 1.154 | 20 |
| Washington | 0.972 | 0.616 | 0.912 | 3.137 | 1.272 | 1.382 | 13 |
| West Virginia | 1.095 | 1.633 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 1.199 | 0.800 | 37 |
| Wisconsin | 1.715 | 2.033 | -0.114 | 1.423 | 0.909 | 1.193 | 18 |
| Wyoming | 0.916 | 1.029 | 3.242 | 0.348 | 1.281 | 1.363 | 14 |

## STATE RANKS 2010 AND 2011

Massachusetts was the highest ranked state in 2010 after revisions to the index data and remains in the top spot in 2011. New York also remained among the highest ranked states for a second year, falling to the $4^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2011 after being ranked $3^{\text {rd }}$ in 2010 . North Dakota, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ ranked state in 2011, moved up from the rank of $8^{\text {th }}$ in 2010. California moved up from a rank of $6^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 to $3^{\text {rd }}$ in 2011 . Minnesota moved up the most, moving from the $24^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2010 to $5^{\text {th }}$ in 2011. The reason for each state's move from 2010 to 2011 are evident in Tables 3 through 7, which provide 2010 and 2011 values for each of the 5 index components.

Louisiana dropped rapidly in the rankings in 2011, from $5^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 to $50^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 . The primary reason was a sharp drop in establishment counts between the 2 years. But, outside of Louisiana there was great stability among the lowest ranked states. Michigan was a poorly ranked state in both 2010 and 2011, falling from $42^{\text {nd }}$ to $49^{\text {th }}$. South Carolina was ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ in 2011, a slight improvement over the rank of $50^{\text {th }}$ in 2010. Mississippi was ranked $47^{\text {th }}$ in both 2010 and 2011. Kentucky fell from $31^{\text {st }}$ in 2010 down to $46^{\text {th }}$ in 2010.

There was modest improvement for several states that had ranked poorly in 2010. Among western states, Nevada had been ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 and moved up slightly to the $43^{\text {rd }}$ rank. Arizona moved from $49^{\text {th }}$ to $39^{\text {th }}$. Utah rose from $44^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 to $23^{\text {rd }}$. Maine had been ranked $43^{\text {rd }}$ in 2010 and rose to $29^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 while North Carolina rose from $38^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 to $26^{\text {th }}$ in 2011. Ohio moved from $40^{\text {th }}$ to $22^{\text {nd }}$, while Wisconsin moved from $34^{\text {th }}$ to $18^{\text {th }}$. Few states experienced a sharp drop in ranking outside of Louisiana. South Dakota fell from $18^{\text {th }}$ to $31^{\text {st }}$. The State of Washington fell from $2^{\text {nd }}$ in 2010 to $13^{\text {th }}$ in 2011.

Many states experienced little change in ranking between 2010 and 2011. Nebraska, for example, was ranked $25^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 and $32^{\text {nd }}$ in 2011. As noted above, trends for the index components are discussed in detail in Tables 3 through 7.

| Table 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Entrepreneurship Index, 2010 and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index Value | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2011 \\ & \text { Rank } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Index Value |
| Alabama | 46 | 0.44 | 44 | 0.35 |
| Alaska | 17 | 1.27 | 28 | 1.01 |
| Arizona | 49 | -0.01 | 39 | 0.76 |
| Arkansas | 37 | 0.84 | 33 | 0.86 |
| California | 6 | 1.77 | 3 | 2.39 |
| Colorado | 23 | 1.13 | 24 | 1.06 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 1.59 | 12 | 1.44 |
| Delaware | 27 | 1.08 | 21 | 1.09 |
| Florida | 30 | 1.06 | 35 | 0.85 |
| Georgia | 39 | 0.71 | 41 | 0.56 |
| Hawaii | 41 | 0.62 | 45 | 0.34 |
| Idaho | 26 | 1.11 | 36 | 0.84 |
| Illinois | 12 | 1.60 | 9 | 1.59 |
| Indiana | 45 | 0.59 | 40 | 0.75 |
| lowa | 36 | 0.86 | 42 | 0.49 |
| Kansas | 22 | 1.13 | 15 | 1.31 |
| Kentucky | 31 | 1.02 | 46 | 0.30 |
| Louisiana | 5 | 1.84 | 50 | 0.03 |
| Maine | 43 | 0.61 | 29 | 1.01 |
| Maryland | 29 | 1.07 | 17 | 1.26 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 2.46 | 1 | 3.01 |
| Michigan | 42 | 0.62 | 49 | 0.10 |
| Minnesota | 24 | 1.12 | 5 | 1.79 |
| Mississippi | 47 | 0.39 | 47 | 0.29 |
| Missouri | 21 | 1.17 | 25 | 1.05 |
| Montana | 32 | 0.91 | 34 | 0.85 |
| Nebraska | 25 | 1.11 | 32 | 0.99 |
| Nevada | 48 | 0.25 | 43 | 0.36 |
| New Hampshire | 7 | 1.68 | 10 | 1.55 |
| New Jersey | 4 | 2.13 | 7 | 1.68 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 1.08 | 27 | 1.02 |
| New York | 3 | 2.24 | 4 | 2.23 |
| North Carolina | 38 | 0.75 | 26 | 1.03 |
| North Dakota | 8 | 1.66 | 2 | 2.52 |
| Ohio | 40 | 0.68 | 22 | 1.09 |
| Oklahoma | 14 | 1.52 | 30 | 1.00 |
| Oregon | 10 | 1.62 | 6 | 1.76 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 1.39 | 11 | 1.54 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 1.24 | 19 | 1.19 |
| South Carolina | 50 | -0.13 | 48 | 0.19 |
| South Dakota | 18 | 1.25 | 31 | 1.00 |
| Tennessee | 33 | 0.90 | 38 | 0.78 |
| Texas | 11 | 1.61 | 8 | 1.61 |
| Utah | 44 | 0.60 | 23 | 1.07 |
| Vermont | 16 | 1.37 | 16 | 1.30 |
| Virginia | 20 | 1.20 | 20 | 1.15 |
| Washington | 2 | 2.38 | 13 | 1.38 |
| West Virginia | 35 | 0.87 | 37 | 0.80 |
| Wisconsin | 34 | 0.90 | 18 | 1.19 |
| Wyoming | 9 | 1.62 | 14 | 1.36 |

## ESTABLISHMENT GROWTH

This section examines changes in establishment growth between 2010 and 2011. As seen in Table 3 , North Dakota moved from the $5^{\text {th }}$ ranked state in establishment growth in 2010 to $2^{\text {nd }}$ in 2011. However, some of the largest improvements occurred in California and Minnesota. California moved up from the $21^{\text {st }}$ ranked state in establishment growth in 2010 to $3^{\text {rd }}$ in establishment growth in 2011. This is part of the reason that California moved from $6^{\text {th }}$ to $3^{\text {rd }}$ in the overall entrepreneurship index. Minnesota also moved up into the top 5 in the overall entrepreneurship index, in part because the state improved from $34^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth in 2010 to $11^{\text {th }}$ in 2011.

A sharp decline in the number of establishments was a big part of the reason that Louisiana dropped to second last in the entrepreneurship index in 2011. The number of establishments grew rapidly in 2010 but then declined sharply in 2011. This pattern may have reflected a surge in businesses to address the cleanup from the 2010 oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. Louisiana dropped rapidly in the rankings in 2011, from $1^{\text {st }}$ in 2010 to $49^{\text {th }}$ in 2011. Kentucky went from being a leading state in establishment growth in $2010\left(4^{\text {th }}\right)$ to a near-median state in 2011.
Among western states, Arizona improved from being the lowest ranked state in terms of establishment change in 2010 to being ranked $32^{\text {nd }}$ in 2011. This mirrored the state's improvement in the overall entrepreneurship index. There was a similar improvement in Utah. Nevada, by contrast, remained among the lowest ranked states for establishment growth in 2011. The establishment growth rank improved significantly in Maine, North Carolina, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. By contrast, the State of Washington fell from being a leading state in establishment growth in 2010 to a near-median rate in 2011. Iowa's rank also dropped substantially between 2010 and 2011, though the state did not drop far in the overall entrepreneurship index. Many other states by and large maintained their rank for establishment growth in 2010 and 2011, including Nebraska.

| Table 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Establishment Growth, 2010 and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $2010$ <br> Rank | Index <br> Value | $2011$ <br> Rank | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 35 | 0.64 | 31 | 0.53 |
| Alaska | 14 | 1.61 | 20 | 1.10 |
| Arizona | 50 | -1.37 | 32 | 0.52 |
| Arkansas | 24 | 1.06 | 17 | 1.19 |
| California | 21 | 1.33 | 3 | 2.87 |
| Colorado | 44 | 0.02 | 46 | -0.20 |
| Connecticut | 30 | 0.86 | 37 | 0.40 |
| Delaware | 43 | 0.06 | 44 | 0.01 |
| Florida | 27 | 0.90 | 30 | 0.56 |
| Georgia | 37 | 0.58 | 40 | 0.34 |
| Hawaii | 31 | 0.80 | 38 | 0.39 |
| Idaho | 45 | 0.01 | 47 | -0.55 |
| Illinois | 6 | 2.27 | 5 | 2.01 |
| Indiana | 28 | 0.88 | 24 | 1.06 |
| lowa | 20 | 1.37 | 45 | -0.02 |
| Kansas | 13 | 1.63 | 12 | 1.58 |
| Kentucky | 4 | 2.40 | 29 | 0.60 |
| Louisiana | 1 | 3.66 | 49 | -0.93 |
| Maine | 46 | -0.15 | 23 | 1.07 |
| Maryland | 25 | 1.02 | 13 | 1.54 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 2.95 | 1 | 4.03 |
| Michigan | 42 | 0.25 | 50 | -1.15 |
| Minnesota | 34 | 0.72 | 11 | 1.65 |
| Mississippi | 39 | 0.50 | 43 | 0.29 |
| Missouri | 18 | 1.46 | 19 | 1.10 |
| Montana | 33 | 0.73 | 35 | 0.47 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 1.48 | 18 | 1.11 |
| Nevada | 49 | -1.14 | 48 | -0.78 |
| New Hampshire | 36 | 0.64 | 39 | 0.39 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 1.78 | 33 | 0.51 |
| New Mexico | 9 | 1.90 | 10 | 1.68 |
| New York | 10 | 1.80 | 8 | 1.72 |
| North Carolina | 32 | 0.77 | 14 | 1.48 |
| North Dakota | 5 | 2.31 | 2 | 3.70 |
| Ohio | 40 | 0.49 | 16 | 1.32 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 2.24 | 25 | 1.03 |
| Oregon | 15 | 1.53 | 4 | 2.07 |
| Pennsylvania | 22 | 1.31 | 7 | 1.79 |
| Rhode Island | 29 | 0.86 | 34 | 0.49 |
| South Carolina | 48 | -0.41 | 42 | 0.29 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 1.77 | 22 | 1.09 |
| Tennessee | 38 | 0.58 | 41 | 0.33 |
| Texas | 8 | 2.03 | 6 | 1.96 |
| Utah | 47 | -0.22 | 28 | 0.76 |
| Vermont | 41 | 0.35 | 36 | 0.46 |
| Virginia | 16 | 1.53 | 15 | 1.41 |
| Washington | 2 | 3.32 | 26 | 0.97 |
| West Virginia | 19 | 1.43 | 21 | 1.10 |
| Wisconsin | 26 | 0.98 | 9 | 1.71 |
| Wyoming | 23 | 1.27 | 27 | 0.92 |
| Source: U.S. Department of Labor |  |  |  |  |

## GROWTH IN ESTABLISHMENTS PER PERSON

Establishment growth may reflect growth in the population of a state rather than a change in the share of the population involved in entrepreneurship. As a result, some states with a large percentage increase (or decrease) in establishments may not experience a large change in the share of the population involved in entrepreneurship.

As a result, states with rapid population growth such as Florida tend have a lower rank for the growth in establishments per person than for the growth in the number of establishments. In 2011, Florida was ranked 30th in the establishment growth and $40^{\text {th }}$ (see Table 3) in growth in establishments per person. Utah was ranked near the median, at $28^{\text {th }}$, in establishment growth but was ranked near the bottom, at $42^{\text {nd }}$ in growth in establishments per person. States with slower population growth or population loss tend to rank higher in growth in establishments per person. For example, in 2011 Ohio was ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per person but $16^{\text {th }}$ in growth in the number of establishments. New York was ranked $6^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth per person but $8^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth. New Hampshire was ranked $39^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth in in 2011 but was ranked $29^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per capita.

Some states with slow population growth even show a different trend in establishment growth and growth in establishments per person. For example, Rhode Island fell from $29^{\text {th }}$ to $34^{\text {th }}$ in the rankings for establishment growth between 2010 and 2011 (see Table 3) but rose in the ranking for growth in establishments per person, from $28^{\text {th }}$ to $23^{\text {rd }}$. One note should be made about estimates for establishment growth per person in 2010, as is seen in Appendix A.2. The U.S. Census does not re-estimate state population for the proceeding decade when a new Census population count is made. As a result, there can be significant discontinuity between post- Census estimates for 2010 and pre-Census estimates for 2001 through 2010. Pre-Census estimates for 2010 were used to calculate 2009-2010 growth in establishments per person and post-Census estimates for 2010 were used to calculate growth in establishments per person for 2010-2011.

| Table 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth in Establishments Per Person, 2010 and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index Value |
| Alabama | 37 | 0.67 | 31 | 0.85 |
| Alaska | 25 | 1.01 | 32 | 0.81 |
| Arizona | 50 | -1.83 | 39 | 0.32 |
| Arkansas | 29 | 0.93 | 17 | 1.36 |
| California | 23 | 1.13 | 3 | 2.74 |
| Colorado | 46 | -0.53 | 47 | -0.60 |
| Connecticut | 24 | 1.01 | 30 | 0.87 |
| Delaware | 44 | -0.09 | 45 | 0.00 |
| Florida | 35 | 0.74 | 40 | 0.30 |
| Georgia | 42 | 0.39 | 44 | 0.16 |
| Hawaii | 31 | 0.88 | 38 | 0.36 |
| Idaho | 45 | -0.25 | 48 | -0.60 |
| Illinois | 4 | 2.44 | 4 | 2.43 |
| Indiana | 27 | 0.98 | 18 | 1.34 |
| lowa | 16 | 1.37 | 41 | 0.28 |
| Kansas | 15 | 1.46 | 10 | 1.84 |
| Kentucky | 5 | 2.36 | 33 | 0.81 |
| Louisiana | 1 | 3.48 | 50 | -0.82 |
| Maine | 43 | 0.39 | 14 | 1.60 |
| Maryland | 30 | 0.92 | 15 | 1.58 |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 2.93 | 1 | 4.24 |
| Michigan | 33 | 0.79 | 46 | -0.57 |
| Minnesota | 34 | 0.74 | 11 | 1.76 |
| Mississippi | 38 | 0.63 | 34 | 0.66 |
| Missouri | 11 | 1.52 | 16 | 1.50 |
| Montana | 36 | 0.71 | 36 | 0.52 |
| Nebraska | 19 | 1.30 | 22 | 1.19 |
| Nevada | 49 | -1.13 | 49 | -0.71 |
| New Hampshire | 26 | 0.99 | 29 | 0.89 |
| New Jersey | 9 | 1.92 | 28 | 0.91 |
| New Mexico | 14 | 1.48 | 12 | 1.68 |
| New York | 6 | 2.01 | 6 | 2.04 |
| North Carolina | 40 | 0.56 | 20 | 1.32 |
| North Dakota | 7 | 1.97 | 2 | 3.24 |
| Ohio | 32 | 0.85 | 9 | 1.85 |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 1.93 | 25 | 1.00 |
| Oregon | 17 | 1.37 | 8 | 2.00 |
| Pennsylvania | 13 | 1.49 | 5 | 2.22 |
| Rhode Island | 28 | 0.96 | 23 | 1.16 |
| South Carolina | 47 | -0.60 | 43 | 0.21 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 1.50 | 26 | 1.00 |
| Tennessee | 41 | 0.47 | 37 | 0.39 |
| Texas | 20 | 1.28 | 21 | 1.32 |
| Utah | 48 | -0.93 | 42 | 0.26 |
| Vermont | 39 | 0.58 | 27 | 0.98 |
| Virginia | 18 | 1.30 | 19 | 1.32 |
| Washington | 3 | 2.89 | 35 | 0.62 |
| West Virginia | 10 | 1.55 | 13 | 1.63 |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 1.13 | 7 | 2.03 |
| Wyoming | 21 | 1.20 | 24 | 1.03 |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce |  |  |  |  |

## THE BUSINESS FORMATION RATE 2010

 AND 2011State business formation rates were generally stable between 2010 and 2011, as seen in Table 5. However, selected states showed significant improvement. New York moved from the $18^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2010 up to a rank of $12^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 . North Dakota moved from $7^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 to the rank of $1^{\text {st }}$. Minnesota showed the largest improvement, from $39^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 up to $19^{\text {th }}$ in 2011. This improvement in the business formation rate is one of the reasons the state moved into the top 5 in the overall state entrepreneurship index in 2011.

No state experienced such a large decline in its rank. Oregon dropped from $9^{\text {th }}$ in business formation rate in 2010 to $16^{\text {th }}$ in 2011. Arizona dropped from $26^{\text {th }}$ to $32^{\text {nd }}$. Oklahoma dropped from $23^{\text {rd }}$ to $33^{\text {rd }}$ between 2010 and 2011, Pennsylvania dropped from $32^{\text {nd }}$ to $39^{\text {th }}$ and Hawaii dropped from 33rd to 40th.

Beyond changes in the business formation rate, it is interesting to note the consistent strength (or weakness) in business formation in selected states. For example, Massachusetts and Texas, two highly ranked states in the overall entrepreneurship index, are below-median states in the business formation rate. At the same time, there is substantial strength in the business formation rate in many western states. Colorado and Utah are $5^{\text {th }}$ ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ in 2010) and $6^{\text {th }}$ ranked, respectively, in the business formation rate criterion despite being near the median among states in the overall entrepreneurship ranking. Wyoming is the top ranked or second ranked state in business formation but is ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 and $14^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 for the overall entrepreneurship index. Nevada ranked $13^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 and $14^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 in terms of the business formation rate despite being among the lowest ranked states on the overall entrepreneurship index. Readers who believe that the business formation rate is the most important indicator of a state's entrepreneurial strength should note these differences.

| Table 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishment Births Per Person, 2010 and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2011 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 42 | 0.11 | 47 | -0.09 |
| Alaska | 19 | 1.50 | 21 | 1.14 |
| Arizona | 26 | 0.98 | 32 | 0.78 |
| Arkansas | 21 | 1.44 | 23 | 1.11 |
| California | 25 | 1.02 | 28 | 0.88 |
| Colorado | 3 | 2.78 | 5 | 2.70 |
| Connecticut | 37 | 0.48 | 38 | 0.34 |
| Delaware | 10 | 2.19 | 10 | 1.96 |
| Florida | 2 | 3.18 | 3 | 3.00 |
| Georgia | 20 | 1.48 | 20 | 1.29 |
| Hawaii | 33 | 0.64 | 40 | 0.33 |
| Idaho | 8 | 2.33 | 8 | 2.14 |
| Illinois | 30 | 0.69 | 30 | 0.84 |
| Indiana | 47 | -0.06 | 41 | 0.31 |
| Iowa | 50 | -0.40 | 42 | 0.29 |
| Kansas | 34 | 0.60 | 24 | 1.07 |
| Kentucky | 46 | -0.04 | 49 | -0.16 |
| Louisiana | 35 | 0.56 | 36 | 0.39 |
| Maine | 12 | 1.94 | 17 | 1.67 |
| Maryland | 22 | 1.14 | 25 | 1.06 |
| Massachusetts | 31 | 0.66 | 29 | 0.87 |
| Michigan | 43 | 0.07 | 43 | 0.19 |
| Minnesota | 39 | 0.33 | 19 | 1.53 |
| Mississippi | 44 | 0.01 | 50 | -0.17 |
| Missouri | 40 | 0.33 | 37 | 0.37 |
| Montana | 4 | 2.68 | 4 | 2.97 |
| Nebraska | 24 | 1.05 | 22 | 1.12 |
| Nevada | 13 | 1.89 | 14 | 1.79 |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 2.61 | 7 | 2.32 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 1.71 | 11 | 1.86 |
| New Mexico | 27 | 0.96 | 31 | 0.79 |
| New York | 18 | 1.69 | 12 | 1.83 |
| North Carolina | 28 | 0.91 | 26 | 0.94 |
| North Dakota | 7 | 2.35 | 1 | 4.07 |
| Ohio | 49 | -0.32 | 46 | -0.07 |
| Oklahoma | 23 | 1.10 | 33 | 0.58 |
| Oregon | 9 | 2.22 | 16 | 1.73 |
| Pennsylvania | 32 | 0.66 | 39 | 0.34 |
| Rhode Island | 11 | 1.99 | 9 | 2.00 |
| South Carolina | 38 | 0.37 | 35 | 0.43 |
| South Dakota | 17 | 1.69 | 18 | 1.63 |
| Tennessee | 41 | 0.14 | 45 | -0.06 |
| Texas | 36 | 0.49 | 34 | 0.44 |
| Utah | 6 | 2.38 | 6 | 2.51 |
| Vermont | 15 | 1.74 | 13 | 1.79 |
| Virginia | 14 | 1.79 | 15 | 1.74 |
| Washington | 29 | 0.86 | 27 | 0.91 |
| West Virginia | 45 | -0.01 | 44 | 0.04 |
| Wisconsin | 48 | -0.13 | 48 | -0.11 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 3.92 | 2 | 3.24 |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce |  |  |  |  |

## PATENTS PER THOUSAND PERSONS 2010 AND 2011

The index component patents per thousand persons was largely stable in states between 2010 and 2011. Most state ranks were unchanged or nearly unchanged between the two years. There were, however, occasional modest movements in the ranks of some states. North Dakota fell from $34^{\text {th }}$ rank to $38^{\text {th }}$ rank between 2010 and 2011. Wyoming fell from $37^{\text {th }}$ ranked to $40^{\text {th }}$ rank and Washington fell from $2^{\text {nd }}$ to $5^{\text {th }}$ rank. South Carolina experienced the largest improvement in rank, moving from $39^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2010 up to $33^{\text {rd }}$ rank in 2011. South Dakota moved from $45^{\text {th }}$ to $41^{\text {st }}$. Several states moved up two positions in the ranking, including Nebraska which moved from $41^{\text {st }}$ to $39^{\text {th }}$.

Regardless of the change in ranking, it is interesting to observe which states had consistently high ranking in terms of patent activity. Massachusetts was among the highest ranked states in both 2010 and 2011 as was California. These results reflect the influence of major universities in each state and the Silicon Valley and 128 Corridor areas. Surrounding the Boston area, Connecticut, New Hampshire and Vermont were highly ranked in patents per thousand persons. Many western states ranked highly on this measure, in addition to California. Washington was among the top 5 ranked states in both years while Oregon was among the top 10 ranked states. Idaho was ranked among the top 10 states and Utah was among the top 15. States in the industrial Midwest were frequently in the top 20 ranked states including Ohio, Illinois, Michigan and Wisconsin. Generally speaking, southern states had lower ranks in terms of patent activity. Surprisingly, even southern states with large technology sectors such as Texas, North Carolina, and Virginia were near the median state in patents per thousand residents.

| Table 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patents Per Thousand Persons, 2010 and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2010 <br> Rank | Index Value | $2011$ <br> Rank | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 43 | 0.37 | 45 | 0.16 |
| Alaska | 50 | 0.10 | 50 | -0.03 |
| Arizona | 19 | 1.31 | 18 | 1.29 |
| Arkansas | 47 | 0.21 | 47 | 0.06 |
| California | 3 | 3.25 | 3 | 3.33 |
| Colorado | 11 | 1.91 | 12 | 1.82 |
| Connecticut | 9 | 2.36 | 9 | 2.36 |
| Delaware | 12 | 1.71 | 10 | 1.97 |
| Florida | 32 | 0.73 | 31 | 0.66 |
| Georgia | 29 | 0.84 | 29 | 0.76 |
| Hawaii | 44 | 0.34 | 44 | 0.19 |
| Idaho | 6 | 2.98 | 6 | 2.77 |
| Illinois | 18 | 1.32 | 17 | 1.34 |
| Indiana | 26 | 0.99 | 27 | 0.87 |
| lowa | 25 | 1.01 | 26 | 1.00 |
| Kansas | 27 | 0.96 | 25 | 1.00 |
| Kentucky | 40 | 0.48 | 42 | 0.33 |
| Louisiana | 46 | 0.23 | 46 | 0.13 |
| Maine | 35 | 0.59 | 36 | 0.44 |
| Maryland | 24 | 1.15 | 24 | 1.00 |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 3.24 | 2 | 3.43 |
| Michigan | 13 | 1.71 | 13 | 1.72 |
| Minnesota | 5 | 3.04 | 4 | 3.22 |
| Mississippi | 49 | 0.15 | 49 | 0.03 |
| Missouri | 33 | 0.70 | 35 | 0.51 |
| Montana | 42 | 0.40 | 43 | 0.28 |
| Nebraska | 41 | 0.48 | 39 | 0.36 |
| Nevada | 28 | 0.89 | 28 | 0.86 |
| New Hampshire | 8 | 2.44 | 8 | 2.44 |
| New Jersey | 10 | 1.96 | 11 | 1.89 |
| New Mexico | 30 | 0.82 | 32 | 0.64 |
| New York | 14 | 1.64 | 15 | 1.54 |
| North Carolina | 22 | 1.18 | 22 | 1.08 |
| North Dakota | 34 | 0.60 | 38 | 0.39 |
| Ohio | 17 | 1.34 | 19 | 1.25 |
| Oklahoma | 38 | 0.55 | 37 | 0.39 |
| Oregon | 7 | 2.44 | 7 | 2.45 |
| Pennsylvania | 23 | 1.18 | 23 | 1.03 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 1.30 | 20 | 1.23 |
| South Carolina | 39 | 0.49 | 33 | 0.52 |
| South Dakota | 45 | 0.32 | 41 | 0.34 |
| Tennessee | 36 | 0.58 | 34 | 0.52 |
| Texas | 21 | 1.23 | 21 | 1.15 |
| Utah | 15 | 1.62 | 14 | 1.66 |
| Vermont | 1 | 4.34 | 1 | 3.51 |
| Virginia | 31 | 0.80 | 30 | 0.72 |
| Washington | 2 | 3.49 | 5 | 3.14 |
| West Virginia | 48 | 0.21 | 48 | 0.04 |
| Wisconsin | 16 | 1.54 | 16 | 1.42 |
| Wyoming | 37 | 0.56 | 40 | 0.35 |
| Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office |  |  |  |  |

## INCOME PER NON-FARM PROPRIETOR 2010 AND 2011

Income per non-farm proprietor is another index component that was stable between 2010 and 2011. Most states held the same rank in 2010 and 2011. There were modest improvements in rank in several Western states, including Wyoming and New Mexico. 'Alabama and Nebraska experienced a modest decline in rank.

A broad mix of states ranked highest in terms of earnings per proprietor in both 2010 and 2011. New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut were the three highest ranked states. The Northeastern states of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania also were in the top ten ranking. However, several southern states also were among the highest ranked. Texas was ranked $4^{\text {th }}$ and Tennessee was ranked $5^{\text {th }}$. Two Midwestern states, Illinois and Missouri, ranked near the top between $10^{\text {th }}$ and $16^{\text {th }}$, depending on the state and year. Among western states, California ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 and $8^{\text {th }}$ in 2011, while Colorado was ranked $14^{\text {th }}$ in 2010 and $13^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 and Wyoming ranked $19^{\text {th }}$ and then $17^{\text {th }}$. But, many western states including Oregon, Idaho, Montana and Utah were well below the median state in terms of income per non-farm proprietor. Many southern states had lower levels of income per non-farm proprietor including Florida, which was ranked $49^{\text {th }}$, South Carolina at $50^{\text {th }}$, or Georgia at $42^{\text {nd }}$ or $43^{\text {rd }}$. States such as North Carolina and Virginia also finished below the median state. Vermont was ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ in earnings per proprietor in both 2010 and 2011. Patent activity (see Table 6 ) is not necessarily associated with higher proprietor earnings.

One technical matter to note is that 2011 values of income per proprietor are estimates. Data on the number of non-farm proprietors by state has not yet been estimated by the Bureau of Economic Analysis within the U.S. Department of Commerce. Income per non-farm proprietor in 2011 was estimated by multiplying the 2010 values by the 2011 growth in non-farm proprietor income.

| Table 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor, 2010 and 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 38 | 0.39 | 41 | 0.28 |
| Alaska | 8 | 2.15 | 9 | 2.04 |
| Arizona | 29 | 0.85 | 29 | 0.87 |
| Arkansas | 34 | 0.54 | 34 | 0.57 |
| California | 9 | 2.13 | 8 | 2.12 |
| Colorado | 14 | 1.50 | 13 | 1.58 |
| Connecticut | 3 | 3.24 | 3 | 3.22 |
| Delaware | 13 | 1.53 | 14 | 1.53 |
| Florida | 49 | -0.24 | 49 | -0.27 |
| Georgia | 42 | 0.26 | 43 | 0.25 |
| Hawaii | 37 | 0.45 | 36 | 0.42 |
| Idaho | 36 | 0.46 | 37 | 0.41 |
| Illinois | 16 | 1.27 | 16 | 1.32 |
| Indiana | 44 | 0.14 | 44 | 0.19 |
| lowa | 28 | 0.94 | 28 | 0.89 |
| Kansas | 25 | 1.03 | 25 | 1.07 |
| Kentucky | 47 | -0.12 | 47 | -0.06 |
| Louisiana | 17 | 1.27 | 15 | 1.36 |
| Maine | 41 | 0.27 | 42 | 0.27 |
| Maryland | 21 | 1.13 | 22 | 1.11 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 2.52 | 6 | 2.48 |
| Michigan | 40 | 0.28 | 39 | 0.29 |
| Minnesota | 30 | 0.77 | 30 | 0.79 |
| Mississippi | 32 | 0.67 | 32 | 0.66 |
| Missouri | 10 | 1.86 | 11 | 1.80 |
| Montana | 46 | 0.02 | 46 | 0.03 |
| Nebraska | 18 | 1.25 | 21 | 1.15 |
| Nevada | 31 | 0.74 | 31 | 0.67 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 1.75 | 12 | 1.73 |
| New Jersey | 2 | 3.29 | 2 | 3.22 |
| New Mexico | 43 | 0.23 | 40 | 0.28 |
| New York | 1 | 4.09 | 1 | 4.03 |
| North Carolina | 39 | 0.35 | 38 | 0.32 |
| North Dakota | 22 | 1.10 | 20 | 1.18 |
| Ohio | 24 | 1.05 | 23 | 1.09 |
| Oklahoma | 11 | 1.76 | 10 | 2.03 |
| Oregon | 35 | 0.53 | 35 | 0.56 |
| Pennsylvania | 7 | 2.33 | 7 | 2.33 |
| Rhode Island | 23 | 1.10 | 24 | 1.07 |
| South Carolina | 50 | -0.50 | 50 | -0.51 |
| South Dakota | 27 | 0.96 | 26 | 0.93 |
| Tennessee | 5 | 2.73 | 5 | 2.70 |
| Texas | 4 | 3.04 | 4 | 3.18 |
| Utah | 45 | 0.13 | 45 | 0.15 |
| Vermont | 48 | -0.18 | 48 | -0.22 |
| Virginia | 33 | 0.58 | 33 | 0.59 |
| Washington | 15 | 1.32 | 18 | 1.27 |
| West Virginia | 20 | 1.16 | 19 | 1.20 |
| Wisconsin | 26 | 0.97 | 27 | 0.91 |
| Wyoming | 19 | 1.16 | 17 | 1.28 |
| Sources: U.S. Department of Labor |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Farm Establishments and Growth Rate 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Farm Establishments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 108,124 | 110,621 | 111,004 | 113,658 | 115,049 | 112,179 | 110,471 | 110,175 | 2.31\% | 0.35\% | 2.39\% | 1.22\% | -2.49\% | -1.52\% | -0.27\% |
| Alaska | 18,359 | 18,739 | 19,002 | 19,078 | 19,386 | 19,207 | 19,218 | 19,316 | 2.07\% | 1.40\% | 0.40\% | 1.61\% | -0.92\% | 0.06\% | 0.51\% |
| Arizona | 126,348 | 135,167 | 147,540 | 156,493 | 158,755 | 149,902 | 142,733 | 142,330 | 6.98\% | 9.15\% | 6.07\% | 1.45\% | -5.58\% | -4.78\% | -0.28\% |
| Arkansas | 71,427 | 73,435 | 77,010 | 78,654 | 81,396 | 81,527 | 80,842 | 81,356 | 2.81\% | 4.87\% | 2.13\% | 3.49\% | 0.16\% | -0.84\% | 0.64\% |
| California | 1,162,915 | 1,191,163 | 1,234,098 | 1,260,646 | 1,293,475 | 1,304,843 | 1,299,532 | 1,337,655 | 2.43\% | 3.60\% | 2.15\% | 2.60\% | 0.88\% | -0.41\% | 2.93\% |
| Colorado | 160,104 | 166,540 | 171,682 | 175,442 | 175,410 | 173,078 | 168,706 | 166,551 | 4.02\% | 3.09\% | 2.19\% | -0.02\% | -1.33\% | -2.53\% | -1.28\% |
| Connecticut | 105,223 | 106,344 | 107,528 | 108,675 | 109,377 | 108,723 | 107,454 | 106,961 | 1.07\% | 1.11\% | 1.07\% | 0.65\% | -0.60\% | -1.17\% | -0.46\% |
| Delaware | 28,680 | 29,738 | 29,685 | 30,391 | 29,610 | 28,770 | 28,061 | 27,783 | 3.69\% | -0.18\% | 2.38\% | -2.57\% | -2.84\% | -2.47\% | -0.99\% |
| Florida | 517,626 | 551,947 | 576,237 | 595,876 | 612,691 | 593,006 | 586,490 | 585,158 | 6.63\% | 4.40\% | 3.41\% | 2.82\% | -3.21\% | -1.10\% | -0.23\% |
| Georgia | 238,694 | 245,403 | 252,996 | 261,431 | 265,897 | 262,360 | 258,102 | 256,734 | 2.81\% | 3.09\% | 3.33\% | 1.71\% | -1.33\% | -1.62\% | -0.53\% |
| Hawaii | 33,593 | 34,512 | 35,021 | 36,404 | 36,801 | 37,021 | 36,556 | 36,386 | 2.74\% | 1.47\% | 3.95\% | 1.09\% | 0.60\% | -1.26\% | -0.46\% |
| Idaho | 46,429 | 49,112 | 51,894 | 53,714 | 53,970 | 53,401 | 52,040 | 51,129 | 5.78\% | 5.66\% | 3.51\% | 0.48\% | -1.06\% | -2.55\% | -1.75\% |
| Illinois | 320,073 | 330,105 | 340,986 | 350,775 | 359,074 | 363,762 | 367,853 | 374,304 | 3.13\% | 3.30\% | 2.87\% | 2.37\% | 1.31\% | 1.12\% | 1.75\% |
| Indiana | 147,978 | 148,561 | 150,616 | 153,302 | 155,007 | 154,302 | 152,562 | 153,249 | 0.39\% | 1.38\% | 1.78\% | 1.11\% | -0.45\% | -1.13\% | 0.45\% |
| Iowa | 85,893 | 86,149 | 87,023 | 87,647 | 88,081 | 88,329 | 88,037 | 87,137 | 0.30\% | 1.01\% | 0.72\% | 0.50\% | 0.28\% | -0.33\% | -1.02\% |
| Kansas | 76,604 | 78,061 | 78,567 | 79,652 | 80,984 | 81,825 | 81,893 | 82,847 | 1.90\% | 0.65\% | 1.38\% | 1.67\% | 1.04\% | 0.08\% | 1.16\% |
| Kentucky | 99,903 | 100,648 | 104,916 | 108,724 | 105,379 | 101,995 | 103,358 | 103,170 | 0.75\% | 4.24\% | 3.63\% | -3.08\% | -3.21\% | 1.34\% | -0.18\% |
| Louisiana | 110,389 | 113,813 | 115,966 | 114,514 | 118,306 | 119,102 | 123,120 | 120,309 | 3.10\% | 1.89\% | -1.25\% | 3.31\% | 0.67\% | 3.37\% | -2.28\% |
| Maine | 46,402 | 45,187 | 46,193 | 47,039 | 47,746 | 47,294 | 45,967 | 46,182 | -2.62\% | 2.23\% | 1.83\% | 1.50\% | -0.95\% | -2.81\% | 0.47\% |
| Maryland | 152,972 | 156,958 | 159,792 | 163,763 | 162,591 | 161,127 | 159,677 | 161,458 | 2.61\% | 1.81\% | 2.49\% | -0.72\% | -0.90\% | -0.90\% | 1.12\% |
| Massachusetts | 204,352 | 208,434 | 201,657 | 204,301 | 206,680 | 206,881 | 211,472 | 221,051 | 2.00\% | -3.25\% | 1.31\% | 1.16\% | 0.10\% | 2.22\% | 4.53\% |
| Michigan | 247,703 | 248,718 | 251,994 | 249,746 | 251,347 | 247,657 | 242,333 | 236,079 | 0.41\% | 1.32\% | -0.89\% | 0.64\% | -1.47\% | -2.15\% | -2.58\% |
| Minnesota | 149,462 | 155,783 | 158,973 | 159,339 | 160,475 | 157,535 | 155,348 | 157,313 | 4.23\% | 2.05\% | 0.23\% | 0.71\% | -1.83\% | -1.39\% | 1.26\% |
| Mississippi | 62,332 | 63,482 | 64,548 | 65,682 | 66,578 | 66,395 | 65,240 | 64,842 | 1.84\% | 1.68\% | 1.76\% | 1.36\% | -0.27\% | -1.74\% | -0.61\% |
| Missouri | 158,393 | 160,287 | 162,182 | 164,990 | 165,551 | 163,952 | 163,631 | 164,471 | 1.20\% | 1.18\% | 1.73\% | 0.34\% | -0.97\% | -0.20\% | 0.51\% |


| Table A. 1 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Farm Establishments and Growth Rate 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Farm Establishments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 39,128 | 37,722 | 38,855 | 40,140 | 40,721 | 40,475 | 39,920 | 39,778 | -3.59\% | 3.00\% | 3.31\% | 1.45\% | -0.61\% | -1.37\% | -0.36\% |
| Nebraska | 51,562 | 53,051 | 53,904 | 54,883 | 55,712 | 55,838 | 55,751 | 56,046 | 2.89\% | 1.61\% | 1.82\% | 1.51\% | 0.23\% | -0.15\% | 0.53\% |
| Nevada | 62,501 | 65,913 | 70,559 | 73,869 | 75,912 | 74,975 | 71,667 | 70,177 | 5.46\% | 7.05\% | 4.69\% | 2.77\% | -1.23\% | -4.41\% | -2.08\% |
| New Hampshire | 44,998 | 45,303 | 46,197 | 46,685 | 46,948 | 46,478 | 45,768 | 45,553 | 0.68\% | 1.97\% | 1.06\% | 0.56\% | -1.00\% | -1.53\% | -0.47\% |
| New Jersey | 259,020 | 265,422 | 268,368 | 264,735 | 266,149 | 260,192 | 261,036 | 260,249 | 2.47\% | 1.11\% | -1.35\% | 0.53\% | -2.24\% | 0.32\% | -0.30\% |
| New Mexico | 46,502 | 47,230 | 49,059 | 50,033 | 50,805 | 50,508 | 50,774 | 51,440 | 1.57\% | 3.87\% | 1.99\% | 1.54\% | -0.59\% | 0.53\% | 1.31\% |
| New York | 540,823 | 549,054 | 556,855 | 563,450 | 569,694 | 570,602 | 572,661 | 580,476 | 1.52\% | 1.42\% | 1.18\% | 1.11\% | 0.16\% | 0.36\% | 1.36\% |
| North Carolina | 220,998 | 225,901 | 235,866 | 244,340 | 249,383 | 246,186 | 242,959 | 245,453 | 2.22\% | 4.41\% | 3.59\% | 2.06\% | -1.28\% | -1.31\% | 1.03\% |
| North Dakota | 22,402 | 22,960 | 22,988 | 22,922 | 23,393 | 23,487 | 23,766 | 24,733 | 2.49\% | 0.12\% | -0.29\% | 2.05\% | 0.40\% | 1.19\% | 4.07\% |
| Ohio | 272,537 | 274,741 | 275,426 | 277,578 | 279,135 | 275,782 | 270,925 | 273,125 | 0.81\% | 0.25\% | 0.78\% | 0.56\% | -1.20\% | -1.76\% | 0.81\% |
| Oklahoma | 87,005 | 89,220 | 91,207 | 93,860 | 95,338 | 95,341 | 96,365 | 96,760 | 2.55\% | 2.23\% | 2.91\% | 1.57\% | 0.00\% | 1.07\% | 0.41\% |
| Oregon | 112,062 | 116,883 | 122,389 | 125,387 | 123,137 | 121,740 | 121,650 | 123,886 | 4.30\% | 4.71\% | 2.45\% | -1.79\% | -1.13\% | -0.07\% | 1.84\% |
| Pennsylvania | 313,741 | 317,186 | 319,383 | 324,669 | 327,611 | 326,674 | 325,269 | 330,009 | 1.10\% | 0.69\% | 1.66\% | 0.91\% | -0.29\% | -0.43\% | 1.46\% |
| Rhode Island | 34,392 | 34,964 | 35,264 | 35,425 | 35,082 | 34,768 | 34,365 | 34,254 | 1.66\% | 0.86\% | 0.46\% | -0.97\% | -0.90\% | -1.16\% | -0.32\% |
| South Carolina | 108,929 | 115,194 | 125,222 | 113,310 | 114,666 | 111,296 | 107,703 | 107,050 | 5.75\% | 8.71\% | -9.51\% | 1.20\% | -2.94\% | -3.23\% | -0.61\% |
| South Dakota | 26,330 | 26,941 | 27,463 | 27,817 | 28,163 | 28,340 | 28,426 | 28,566 | 2.32\% | 1.94\% | 1.29\% | 1.24\% | 0.63\% | 0.30\% | 0.49\% |
| Tennessee | 126,207 | 128,442 | 132,837 | 137,501 | 139,585 | 137,946 | 135,705 | 134,963 | 1.77\% | 3.42\% | 3.51\% | 1.52\% | -1.17\% | -1.62\% | -0.55\% |
| Texas | 498,193 | 507,859 | 521,414 | 552,608 | 548,139 | 550,097 | 554,160 | 563,500 | 1.94\% | 2.67\% | 5.98\% | -0.81\% | 0.36\% | 0.74\% | 1.69\% |
| Utah | 73,094 | 77,858 | 82,242 | 82,922 | 83,560 | 82,254 | 79,851 | 79,888 | 6.52\% | 5.63\% | 0.83\% | 0.77\% | -1.56\% | -2.92\% | 0.05\% |
| Vermont | 22,595 | 22,803 | 22,947 | 23,268 | 23,335 | 23,114 | 22,653 | 22,571 | 0.92\% | 0.63\% | 1.40\% | 0.29\% | -0.95\% | -1.99\% | -0.36\% |
| Virginia | 198,259 | 206,050 | 209,294 | 219,242 | 222,884 | 222,244 | 222,073 | 224,138 | 3.93\% | 1.57\% | 4.75\% | 1.66\% | -0.29\% | -0.08\% | 0.93\% |
| Washington | 207,017 | 204,777 | 208,874 | 213,406 | 215,937 | 218,552 | 224,738 | 225,487 | -1.08\% | 2.00\% | 2.17\% | 1.19\% | 1.21\% | 2.83\% | 0.33\% |
| West Virginia | 44,166 | 44,444 | 44,495 | 44,836 | 44,770 | 44,489 | 44,385 | 44,608 | 0.63\% | 0.11\% | 0.77\% | -0.15\% | -0.63\% | -0.23\% | 0.50\% |
| Wisconsin | 150,314 | 153,923 | 153,384 | 152,094 | 152,628 | 149,547 | 148,088 | 150,092 | 2.40\% | -0.35\% | -0.84\% | 0.35\% | -2.02\% | -0.98\% | 1.35\% |
| Wyoming | 20,932 | 21,599 | 22,390 | 22,929 | 23,487 | 23,555 | 23,435 | 23,495 | 3.19\% | 3.66\% | 2.41\% | 2.43\% | 0.29\% | -0.51\% | 0.26\% |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce <br> Note: Average value of quarterly establishments Q4 of previous year through Q3 of current year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Rate of Non-Farm Establishments Per Person 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Estimates (July 1 of Year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate in Non-Farm Establishments Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | $2010$ <br> Pre- <br> Census | $2010$ <br> Post- <br> Census | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 4,512,190 | 4,545,049 | 4,597,688 | 4,637,904 | 4,677,464 | 4,708,708 | 4,729,656 | 4,785,401 | 4,802,740 | 1.57\% | -0.80\% | 1.50\% | 0.37\% | -3.14\% | -1.96\% | -0.63\% |
| Alaska | 661,569 | 669,488 | 677,325 | 682,297 | 688,125 | 698,473 | 708,862 | 714,146 | 722,718 | 0.86\% | 0.23\% | -0.33\% | 0.75\% | -2.39\% | -1.41\% | -0.68\% |
| Arizona | 5,759,425 | 5,974,834 | 6,192,100 | 6,362,241 | 6,499,377 | 6,595,778 | 6,676,627 | 6,413,158 | 6,482,505 | 3.12\% | 5.32\% | 3.23\% | -0.70\% | -6.96\% | -5.94\% | -1.35\% |
| Arkansas | 2,746,161 | 2,776,221 | 2,815,097 | 2,842,194 | 2,867,764 | 2,889,450 | 2,910,236 | 2,921,588 | 2,937,979 | 1.70\% | 3.42\% | 1.16\% | 2.56\% | -0.59\% | -1.55\% | 0.07\% |
| California | 35,558,419 | 35,795,255 | 35,979,208 | 36,226,122 | 36,580,371 | 36,961,664 | 37,266,600 | 37,338,198 | 37,691,912 | 1.75\% | 3.07\% | 1.45\% | 1.61\% | -0.16\% | -1.22\% | 1.97\% |
| Colorado | 4,599,681 | 4,660,780 | 4,753,044 | 4,842,259 | 4,935,213 | 5,024,748 | 5,095,309 | 5,047,692 | 5,116,796 | 2.66\% | 1.09\% | 0.31\% | -1.90\% | -3.09\% | -3.88\% | -2.61\% |
| Connecticut | 3,474,610 | 3,477,416 | 3,485,162 | 3,488,633 | 3,502,932 | 3,518,288 | 3,526,937 | 3,575,498 | 3,580,709 | 0.98\% | 0.89\% | 0.97\% | 0.24\% | -1.03\% | -1.41\% | -0.60\% |
| Delaware | 826,639 | 839,906 | 853,022 | 864,896 | 876,211 | 885,122 | 891,464 | 899,792 | 907,135 | 2.05\% | -1.71\% | 0.97\% | -3.83\% | -3.82\% | -3.16\% | -1.79\% |
| Florida | 17,375,259 | 17,783,868 | 18,088,505 | 18,277,888 | 18,423,878 | 18,537,969 | 18,678,049 | 18,838,613 | 19,057,542 | 4.18\% | 2.64\% | 2.34\% | 2.01\% | -3.81\% | -1.84\% | -1.37\% |
| Georgia | 8,913,676 | 9,097,428 | 9,330,086 | 9,533,761 | 9,697,838 | 9,829,211 | 9,908,357 | 9,712,157 | 9,815,210 | 0.73\% | 0.52\% | 1.13\% | -0.01\% | -2.65\% | -2.41\% | -1.57\% |
| Hawaii | 1,252,782 | 1,266,117 | 1,275,599 | 1,276,832 | 1,287,481 | 1,295,178 | 1,300,086 | 1,363,359 | 1,374,810 | 1.65\% | 0.72\% | 3.85\% | 0.25\% | 0.00\% | -1.63\% | -1.29\% |
| Idaho | 1,391,718 | 1,425,862 | 1,464,413 | 1,499,245 | 1,527,506 | 1,545,801 | 1,559,796 | 1,571,102 | 1,584,985 | 3.25\% | 2.88\% | 1.10\% | -1.38\% | -2.23\% | -3.42\% | -2.61\% |
| Illinois | 12,645,295 | 12,674,452 | 12,718,011 | 12,779,417 | 12,842,954 | 12,910,409 | 12,944,410 | 12,841,980 | 12,869,257 | 2.90\% | 2.94\% | 2.38\% | 1.86\% | 0.78\% | 0.86\% | 1.54\% |
| Indiana | 6,214,454 | 6,253,120 | 6,301,700 | 6,346,113 | 6,388,309 | 6,423,113 | 6,445,295 | 6,490,622 | 6,516,922 | -0.23\% | 0.60\% | 1.07\% | 0.44\% | -0.99\% | -1.47\% | 0.04\% |
| Iowa | 2,941,358 | 2,949,450 | 2,964,391 | 2,978,719 | 2,993,987 | 3,007,856 | 3,023,081 | 3,050,202 | 3,062,309 | 0.02\% | 0.51\% | 0.23\% | -0.02\% | -0.18\% | -0.83\% | -1.41\% |
| Kansas | 2,730,765 | 2,741,771 | 2,755,700 | 2,775,586 | 2,797,375 | 2,818,747 | 2,841,121 | 2,859,143 | 2,871,238 | 1.49\% | 0.14\% | 0.65\% | 0.88\% | 0.27\% | -0.70\% | 0.74\% |
| Kentucky | 4,147,970 | 4,182,293 | 4,219,374 | 4,256,278 | 4,287,931 | 4,314,113 | 4,339,435 | 4,347,223 | 4,369,356 | -0.08\% | 3.32\% | 2.73\% | -3.79\% | -3.80\% | 0.75\% | -0.69\% |
| Louisiana | 4,489,327 | 4,497,691 | 4,240,327 | 4,376,122 | 4,451,513 | 4,492,076 | 4,529,426 | 4,545,343 | 4,574,836 | 2.91\% | 8.08\% | -4.32\% | 1.56\% | -0.24\% | 2.52\% | -2.91\% |
| Maine | 1,308,253 | 1,311,631 | 1,314,963 | 1,317,308 | 1,319,691 | 1,318,301 | 1,312,939 | 1,327,379 | 1,328,188 | -2.87\% | 1.97\% | 1.65\% | 1.32\% | -0.84\% | -2.41\% | 0.41\% |
| Maryland | 5,542,659 | 5,582,520 | 5,612,196 | 5,634,242 | 5,658,655 | 5,699,478 | 5,737,274 | 5,785,681 | 5,828,289 | 1.87\% | 1.27\% | 2.08\% | -1.14\% | -1.61\% | -1.55\% | 0.38\% |
| Massachusetts | 6,451,279 | 6,453,031 | 6,466,399 | 6,499,275 | 6,543,595 | 6,593,587 | 6,631,280 | 6,555,466 | 6,587,536 | 1.97\% | -3.45\% | 0.80\% | 0.48\% | -0.66\% | 1.64\% | 4.02\% |
| Michigan | 10,089,305 | 10,090,554 | 10,082,438 | 10,050,847 | 10,002,486 | 9,969,727 | 9,931,235 | 9,877,143 | 9,876,187 | 0.40\% | 1.40\% | -0.58\% | 1.13\% | -1.14\% | -1.77\% | -2.57\% |
| Minnesota | 5,079,344 | 5,106,560 | 5,148,346 | 5,191,206 | 5,230,567 | 5,266,214 | 5,290,447 | 5,310,658 | 5,344,861 | 3.67\% | 1.22\% | -0.60\% | -0.04\% | -2.50\% | -1.84\% | 0.62\% |
| Mississippi | 2,886,006 | 2,900,116 | 2,897,150 | 2,921,723 | 2,940,212 | 2,951,996 | 2,960,467 | 2,970,072 | 2,978,512 | 1.35\% | 1.78\% | 0.90\% | 0.73\% | -0.67\% | -2.02\% | -0.89\% |
| Missouri | 5,758,444 | 5,806,639 | 5,861,572 | 5,909,824 | 5,956,335 | 5,987,580 | 6,011,741 | 5,995,715 | 6,010,688 | 0.36\% | 0.23\% | 0.90\% | -0.44\% | -1.48\% | -0.60\% | 0.26\% |


| Table A. 2 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Rate of Non-Farm Establishments Per Person 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Estimates (July 1 of Year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate in Non-Farm Establishments Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 <br> Pre- <br> Census | 2010 <br> Post- <br> Census | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 925,887 | 934,801 | 946,230 | 957,225 | 968,035 | 974,989 | 980,152 | 990,958 | 998,199 | -4.51\% | 1.76\% | 2.12\% | 0.31\% | -1.31\% | -1.89\% | -1.08\% |
| Nebraska | 1,742,184 | 1,751,721 | 1,760,435 | 1,769,912 | 1,781,949 | 1,796,619 | 1,811,072 | 1,830,141 | 1,842,641 | 2.33\% | 1.10\% | 1.27\% | 0.82\% | -0.59\% | -0.95\% | -0.15\% |
| Nevada New | 2,328,703 | 2,408,804 | 2,493,405 | 2,567,752 | 2,615,772 | 2,643,085 | 2,654,751 | 2,704,283 | 2,723,322 | 1.95\% | 3.42\% | 1.66\% | 0.88\% | -2.26\% | -4.83\% | -2.76\% |
| Hampshire | 1,292,766 | 1,301,415 | 1,311,894 | 1,317,343 | 1,321,872 | 1,324,575 | 1,323,531 | 1,316,807 | 1,318,194 | 0.01\% | 1.16\% | 0.64\% | 0.22\% | -1.20\% | -1.45\% | -0.57\% |
| New Jersey | 8,611,530 | 8,621,837 | 8,623,721 | 8,636,043 | 8,663,398 | 8,707,739 | 8,732,811 | 8,799,593 | 8,821,155 | 2.35\% | 1.09\% | -1.49\% | 0.22\% | -2.74\% | 0.04\% | -0.55\% |
| New Mexico | 1,891,829 | 1,916,538 | 1,942,608 | 1,968,731 | 1,986,763 | 2,009,671 | 2,033,875 | 2,065,913 | 2,082,224 | 0.26\% | 2.48\% | 0.63\% | 0.62\% | -1.72\% | -0.67\% | 0.52\% |
| New York | 19,297,933 | 19,330,891 | 19,356,564 | 19,422,777 | 19,467,789 | 19,541,453 | 19,577,730 | 19,395,206 | 19,465,197 | 1.35\% | 1.29\% | 0.84\% | 0.87\% | -0.22\% | 0.17\% | 1.00\% |
| North Carolina | 8,531,283 | 8,669,452 | 8,866,977 | 9,064,074 | 9,247,134 | 9,380,884 | 9,458,888 | 9,560,234 | 9,656,401 | 0.59\% | 2.09\% | 1.34\% | 0.04\% | -2.69\% | -2.12\% | 0.02\% |
| North Dakota | 636,303 | 635,365 | 636,771 | 638,202 | 641,421 | 646,844 | 653,778 | 674,629 | 683,932 | 2.64\% | -0.10\% | -0.51\% | 1.54\% | -0.44\% | 0.11\% | 2.66\% |
| Ohio | 11,464,593 | 11,475,262 | 11,492,495 | 11,520,815 | 11,528,072 | 11,542,645 | 11,532,111 | 11,537,968 | 11,544,951 | 0.71\% | 0.10\% | 0.53\% | 0.50\% | -1.33\% | -1.67\% | 0.75\% |
| Oklahoma | 3,514,449 | 3,532,769 | 3,574,334 | 3,612,186 | 3,644,025 | 3,687,050 | 3,724,447 | 3,760,184 | 3,791,508 | 2.01\% | 1.04\% | 1.83\% | 0.69\% | -1.16\% | 0.06\% | -0.42\% |
| Oregon | 3,573,505 | 3,617,869 | 3,677,545 | 3,732,957 | 3,782,991 | 3,825,657 | 3,855,536 | 3,838,332 | 3,871,859 | 3.02\% | 3.01\% | 0.93\% | -3.09\% | -2.24\% | -0.85\% | 0.96\% |
| Pennsylvania | 12,388,368 | 12,418,161 | 12,471,142 | 12,522,531 | 12,566,368 | 12,604,767 | 12,632,780 | 12,717,722 | 12,742,886 | 0.86\% | 0.26\% | 1.24\% | 0.55\% | -0.59\% | -0.65\% | 1.26\% |
| Rhode Island | 1,071,414 | 1,064,989 | 1,060,196 | 1,055,009 | 1,053,502 | 1,053,209 | 1,056,870 | 1,052,528 | 1,051,302 | 2.28\% | 1.31\% | 0.95\% | -0.83\% | -0.87\% | -1.50\% | -0.21\% |
| South Carolina | 4,201,306 | 4,256,199 | 4,339,399 | 4,424,232 | 4,503,280 | 4,561,242 | 4,596,958 | 4,637,106 | 4,679,230 | 4.39\% | 6.62\% | -11.25\% | -0.58\% | -4.17\% | -3.98\% | -1.50\% |
| South Dakota | 774,283 | 780,084 | 788,519 | 797,035 | 804,532 | 812,383 | 820,077 | 816,598 | 824,082 | 1.56\% | 0.85\% | 0.21\% | 0.30\% | -0.34\% | -0.64\% | -0.42\% |
| Tennessee | 5,916,762 | 5,995,748 | 6,089,453 | 6,172,862 | 6,240,456 | 6,296,254 | 6,338,112 | 6,357,436 | 6,403,353 | 0.43\% | 1.83\% | 2.11\% | 0.42\% | -2.05\% | -2.27\% | -1.26\% |
| Texas | 22,418,319 | 22,801,920 | 23,369,024 | 23,837,701 | 24,304,290 | 24,782,302 | 25,213,445 | 25,253,466 | 25,674,681 | 0.23\% | 0.18\% | 3.90\% | -2.71\% | -1.58\% | -0.98\% | 0.02\% |
| Utah | 2,438,915 | 2,499,637 | 2,583,724 | 2,663,796 | 2,727,343 | 2,784,572 | 2,830,753 | 2,775,479 | 2,817,222 | 3.93\% | 2.19\% | -2.20\% | -1.58\% | -3.59\% | -4.51\% | -1.44\% |
| Vermont | 618,145 | 618,814 | 619,985 | 620,460 | 621,049 | 621,760 | 622,433 | 625,909 | 626,431 | 0.81\% | 0.44\% | 1.32\% | 0.19\% | -1.06\% | -2.10\% | -0.45\% |
| Virginia | 7,468,914 | 7,563,887 | 7,646,996 | 7,719,749 | 7,795,424 | 7,882,590 | 7,952,119 | 8,023,953 | 8,096,604 | 2.62\% | 0.47\% | 3.77\% | 0.67\% | -1.39\% | -0.95\% | 0.02\% |
| Washington | 6,184,289 | 6,261,282 | 6,372,243 | 6,464,979 | 6,566,073 | 6,664,195 | 6,746,199 | 6,742,950 | 6,830,038 | -2.30\% | 0.22\% | 0.70\% | -0.37\% | -0.28\% | 1.58\% | -0.95\% |
| West Virginia | 1,803,302 | 1,803,920 | 1,807,237 | 1,811,198 | 1,814,873 | 1,819,777 | 1,825,513 | 1,854,368 | 1,855,364 | 0.59\% | -0.07\% | 0.55\% | -0.35\% | -0.90\% | -0.55\% | 0.45\% |
| Wisconsin | 5,511,385 | 5,541,443 | 5,571,680 | 5,601,571 | 5,627,610 | 5,654,774 | 5,668,519 | 5,691,659 | 5,711,767 | 1.85\% | -0.89\% | -1.37\% | -0.11\% | -2.49\% | -1.22\% | 1.00\% |
| Wyoming | 502,988 | 506,242 | 512,841 | 523,414 | 532,981 | 544,270 | 547,637 | 564,554 | 568,158 | 2.52\% | 2.33\% | 0.34\% | 0.59\% | -1.79\% | -1.12\% | -0.38\% |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishments Births and Establishment Births Per Person 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Establishment Births Per Person |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 10,640 | 10,579 | 10,926 | 10,110 | 8,133 | 8,766 | 8,653 | 0.00234 | 0.00230 | 0.00236 | 0.00216 | 0.00173 | 0.00183 | 0.00180 |
| Alaska | 2,072 | 1,967 | 1,957 | 1,999 | 1,876 | 1,863 | 1,822 | 0.00309 | 0.00290 | 0.00287 | 0.00290 | 0.00269 | 0.00261 | 0.00252 |
| Arizona | 18,921 | 21,060 | 18,645 | 16,978 | 15,804 | 14,865 | 14,970 | 0.00317 | 0.00340 | 0.00293 | 0.00261 | 0.00240 | 0.00232 | 0.00231 |
| Arkansas | 7,649 | 9,592 | 7,802 | 8,658 | 6,946 | 7,520 | 7,360 | 0.00276 | 0.00341 | 0.00275 | 0.00302 | 0.00240 | 0.00257 | 0.00251 |
| California | 103,200 | 103,037 | 100,593 | 95,999 | 81,095 | 87,347 | 89,258 | 0.00288 | 0.00286 | 0.00278 | 0.00262 | 0.00219 | 0.00234 | 0.00237 |
| Colorado | 21,132 | 21,118 | 21,283 | 20,443 | 17,087 | 16,740 | 17,568 | 0.00453 | 0.00444 | 0.00440 | 0.00414 | 0.00340 | 0.00332 | 0.00343 |
| Connecticut | 8,453 | 8,907 | 8,734 | 8,295 | 6,918 | 7,296 | 7,350 | 0.00243 | 0.00256 | 0.00250 | 0.00237 | 0.00197 | 0.00204 | 0.00205 |
| Delaware | 2,930 | 3,383 | 2,955 | 2,986 | 2,370 | 2,690 | 2,720 | 0.00349 | 0.00397 | 0.00342 | 0.00341 | 0.00268 | 0.00299 | 0.00300 |
| Florida | 84,374 | 84,380 | 80,907 | 73,480 | 65,036 | 66,736 | 68,820 | 0.00474 | 0.00466 | 0.00443 | 0.00399 | 0.00351 | 0.00354 | 0.00361 |
| Georgia | 30,719 | 29,882 | 30,017 | 28,920 | 23,850 | 25,199 | 25,607 | 0.00338 | 0.00320 | 0.00315 | 0.00298 | 0.00243 | 0.00259 | 0.00261 |
| Hawaii | 3,276 | 3,303 | 3,283 | 3,158 | 2,623 | 2,899 | 2,813 | 0.00259 | 0.00259 | 0.00257 | 0.00245 | 0.00203 | 0.00213 | 0.00205 |
| Idaho | 6,577 | 7,121 | 6,440 | 5,709 | 4,624 | 4,822 | 4,922 | 0.00461 | 0.00486 | 0.00430 | 0.00374 | 0.00299 | 0.00307 | 0.00311 |
| Illinois | 32,120 | 32,041 | 29,171 | 30,053 | 26,981 | 27,724 | 30,169 | 0.00253 | 0.00252 | 0.00228 | 0.00234 | 0.00209 | 0.00216 | 0.00234 |
| Indiana | 14,127 | 13,266 | 13,551 | 13,248 | 10,660 | 11,284 | 13,277 | 0.00226 | 0.00211 | 0.00214 | 0.00207 | 0.00166 | 0.00174 | 0.00204 |
| lowa | 7,116 | 7,109 | 6,973 | 6,688 | 5,903 | 4,723 | 6,193 | 0.00241 | 0.00240 | 0.00234 | 0.00223 | 0.00196 | 0.00155 | 0.00202 |
| Kansas | 7,223 | 7,322 | 7,138 | 7,363 | 6,759 | 6,015 | 7,116 | 0.00263 | 0.00266 | 0.00257 | 0.00263 | 0.00240 | 0.00210 | 0.00248 |
| Kentucky | 9,304 | 8,334 | 9,522 | 8,845 | 7,344 | 7,599 | 7,694 | 0.00222 | 0.00198 | 0.00224 | 0.00206 | 0.00170 | 0.00175 | 0.00176 |
| Louisiana | 10,132 | 11,495 | 11,894 | 10,676 | 9,489 | 9,482 | 9,516 | 0.00225 | 0.00271 | 0.00272 | 0.00240 | 0.00211 | 0.00209 | 0.00208 |
| Maine | 4,322 | 4,445 | 4,240 | 4,132 | 3,784 | 3,788 | 3,764 | 0.00330 | 0.00338 | 0.00322 | 0.00313 | 0.00287 | 0.00285 | 0.00283 |
| Maryland | 17,056 | 16,344 | 15,196 | 15,403 | 13,783 | 13,912 | 14,407 | 0.00306 | 0.00291 | 0.00270 | 0.00272 | 0.00242 | 0.00240 | 0.00247 |
| Massachusetts | 17,938 | 16,493 | 16,414 | 15,334 | 13,257 | 14,020 | 15,578 | 0.00278 | 0.00255 | 0.00253 | 0.00234 | 0.00201 | 0.00214 | 0.00236 |
| Michigan | 23,751 | 21,513 | 20,974 | 20,327 | 19,425 | 17,871 | 19,378 | 0.00235 | 0.00213 | 0.00209 | 0.00203 | 0.00195 | 0.00181 | 0.00196 |
| Minnesota | 11,549 | 14,222 | 16,520 | 12,505 | 12,058 | 10,391 | 14,680 | 0.00226 | 0.00276 | 0.00318 | 0.00239 | 0.00229 | 0.00196 | 0.00275 |
| Mississippi | 5,956 | 6,902 | 6,685 | 5,914 | 4,944 | 5,281 | 5,215 | 0.00205 | 0.00238 | 0.00229 | 0.00201 | 0.00167 | 0.00178 | 0.00175 |
| Missouri | 14,796 | 15,200 | 14,545 | 12,222 | 12,832 | 11,729 | 12,439 | 0.00255 | 0.00259 | 0.00246 | 0.00205 | 0.00214 | 0.00196 | 0.00207 |


| Table A. 3 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishments Births and Establishment Births Per Person 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Establishment Births Per Person |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 4,283 | 4,316 | 4,246 | 3,789 | 3,373 | 3,232 | 3,587 | 0.00458 | 0.00456 | 0.00444 | 0.00391 | 0.00346 | 0.00326 | 0.00359 |
| Nebraska | 4,870 | 4,758 | 4,709 | 4,818 | 4,237 | 4,317 | 4,624 | 0.00278 | 0.00270 | 0.00266 | 0.00270 | 0.00236 | 0.00236 | 0.00251 |
| Nevada | 9,849 | 9,787 | 9,699 | 9,089 | 7,497 | 7,640 | 7,901 | 0.00409 | 0.00393 | 0.00378 | 0.00347 | 0.00284 | 0.00283 | 0.00290 |
| New Hampshire | 4,846 | 5,017 | 4,660 | 4,696 | 3,888 | 4,246 | 4,232 | 0.00372 | 0.00382 | 0.00354 | 0.00355 | 0.00294 | 0.00322 | 0.00321 |
| New Jersey | 31,145 | 30,378 | 28,961 | 27,534 | 24,080 | 23,965 | 25,968 | 0.00361 | 0.00352 | 0.00335 | 0.00318 | 0.00277 | 0.00272 | 0.00294 |
| New Mexico | 5,593 | 5,970 | 5,889 | 5,657 | 4,767 | 4,770 | 4,822 | 0.00292 | 0.00307 | 0.00299 | 0.00285 | 0.00237 | 0.00231 | 0.00232 |
| New York | 54,961 | 55,655 | 55,176 | 53,341 | 49,145 | 52,559 | 56,921 | 0.00284 | 0.00288 | 0.00284 | 0.00274 | 0.00251 | 0.00271 | 0.00292 |
| North Carolina | 21,536 | 24,600 | 32,851 | 24,823 | 20,370 | 21,765 | 23,230 | 0.00248 | 0.00277 | 0.00362 | 0.00268 | 0.00217 | 0.00228 | 0.00241 |
| North Dakota | 1,903 | 2,065 | 2,043 | 2,027 | 1,747 | 2,079 | 2,897 | 0.00300 | 0.00324 | 0.00320 | 0.00316 | 0.00270 | 0.00308 | 0.00424 |
| Ohio | 23,264 | 22,121 | 23,091 | 21,062 | 17,638 | 18,366 | 20,902 | 0.00203 | 0.00192 | 0.00200 | 0.00183 | 0.00153 | 0.00159 | 0.00181 |
| Oklahoma | 9,025 | 10,215 | 9,594 | 9,011 | 8,411 | 8,955 | 8,306 | 0.00255 | 0.00286 | 0.00266 | 0.00247 | 0.00228 | 0.00238 | 0.00219 |
| Oregon | 12,810 | 13,240 | 13,005 | 12,176 | 10,489 | 11,549 | 11,093 | 0.00354 | 0.00360 | 0.00348 | 0.00322 | 0.00274 | 0.00301 | 0.00287 |
| Pennsylvania | 32,141 | 33,079 | 28,332 | 28,956 | 26,281 | 27,174 | 26,135 | 0.00259 | 0.00265 | 0.00226 | 0.00230 | 0.00209 | 0.00214 | 0.00205 |
| Rhode Island | 3,674 | 3,410 | 3,361 | 3,184 | 2,768 | 3,031 | 3,180 | 0.00345 | 0.00322 | 0.00319 | 0.00302 | 0.00263 | 0.00288 | 0.00302 |
| South Carolina | 10,972 | 12,607 | 12,156 | 11,068 | 9,087 | 9,178 | 9,856 | 0.00258 | 0.00291 | 0.00275 | 0.00246 | 0.00199 | 0.00198 | 0.00211 |
| South Dakota | 2,442 | 2,451 | 2,234 | 2,328 | 2,126 | 2,213 | 2,313 | 0.00313 | 0.00311 | 0.00280 | 0.00289 | 0.00262 | 0.00271 | 0.00281 |
| Tennessee | 13,946 | 14,086 | 14,101 | 13,507 | 10,984 | 11,770 | 11,657 | 0.00233 | 0.00231 | 0.00228 | 0.00216 | 0.00174 | 0.00185 | 0.00182 |
| Texas | 54,747 | 57,856 | 56,419 | 57,223 | 50,346 | 51,660 | 54,239 | 0.00240 | 0.00248 | 0.00237 | 0.00235 | 0.00203 | 0.00205 | 0.00211 |
| Utah | 11,163 | 11,947 | 11,776 | 10,151 | 8,663 | 8,599 | 9,371 | 0.00447 | 0.00462 | 0.00442 | 0.00372 | 0.00311 | 0.00310 | 0.00333 |
| Vermont | 1,769 | 1,895 | 1,927 | 1,903 | 1,689 | 1,714 | 1,818 | 0.00286 | 0.00306 | 0.00311 | 0.00306 | 0.00272 | 0.00274 | 0.00290 |
| Virginia | 22,357 | 22,123 | 25,410 | 23,788 | 22,011 | 22,218 | 23,240 | 0.00296 | 0.00289 | 0.00329 | 0.00305 | 0.00279 | 0.00277 | 0.00287 |
| Washington | 18,183 | 18,632 | 18,421 | 18,377 | 15,080 | 15,162 | 16,304 | 0.00290 | 0.00292 | 0.00285 | 0.00280 | 0.00226 | 0.00225 | 0.00239 |
| West Virginia | 4,036 | 4,007 | 3,724 | 3,535 | 3,202 | 3,276 | 3,477 | 0.00224 | 0.00222 | 0.00206 | 0.00195 | 0.00176 | 0.00177 | 0.00187 |
| Wisconsin | 11,706 | 12,321 | 10,992 | 10,986 | 9,056 | 9,678 | 10,202 | 0.00211 | 0.00221 | 0.00196 | 0.00195 | 0.00160 | 0.00170 | 0.00179 |
| Wyoming | 2,406 | 2,617 | 2,591 | 2,521 | 2,055 | 2,231 | 2,132 | 0.00475 | 0.00510 | 0.00495 | 0.00473 | 0.00378 | 0.00395 | 0.00375 |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patents and Patents Per Thousand Persons 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Patents Per Thousand Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 359 | 429 | 358 | 354 | 377 | 538 | 416 | 0.07899 | 0.09331 | 0.07719 | 0.07568 | 0.08006 | 0.11243 | 0.08662 |
| Alaska | 36 | 45 | 24 | 28 | 55 | 33 | 32 | 0.05377 | 0.06644 | 0.03518 | 0.04069 | 0.07874 | 0.04621 | 0.04428 |
| Arizona | 1,598 | 1,893 | 1,826 | 1,892 | 1,759 | 2,169 | 2,248 | 0.26746 | 0.30571 | 0.28701 | 0.29110 | 0.26669 | 0.33821 | 0.34678 |
| Arkansas | 153 | 185 | 169 | 152 | 154 | 216 | 188 | 0.05511 | 0.06572 | 0.05946 | 0.05300 | 0.05330 | 0.07393 | 0.06399 |
| California | 19,656 | 25,039 | 22,594 | 22,200 | 23,354 | 30,076 | 30,748 | 0.54912 | 0.69593 | 0.62369 | 0.60688 | 0.63184 | 0.80550 | 0.81577 |
| Colorado | 1,972 | 2,349 | 1,983 | 1,868 | 1,968 | 2,435 | 2,397 | 0.42311 | 0.49421 | 0.40952 | 0.37850 | 0.39166 | 0.48240 | 0.46846 |
| Connecticut | 1,677 | 1,857 | 1,611 | 1,595 | 1,661 | 2,111 | 2,121 | 0.48225 | 0.53283 | 0.46179 | 0.45533 | 0.47210 | 0.59041 | 0.59234 |
| Delaware | 341 | 396 | 359 | 353 | 342 | 391 | 457 | 0.40600 | 0.46423 | 0.41508 | 0.40287 | 0.38639 | 0.43454 | 0.50378 |
| Florida | 2,707 | 3,261 | 3,092 | 2,808 | 2,899 | 3,723 | 3,841 | 0.15222 | 0.18028 | 0.16917 | 0.15241 | 0.15638 | 0.19763 | 0.20155 |
| Georgia | 1,358 | 1,719 | 1,580 | 1,585 | 1,666 | 2,194 | 2,206 | 0.14927 | 0.18424 | 0.16573 | 0.16344 | 0.16949 | 0.22590 | 0.22475 |
| Hawaii | 58 | 101 | 82 | 101 | 96 | 144 | 128 | 0.04581 | 0.07918 | 0.06422 | 0.07845 | 0.07412 | 0.10562 | 0.09310 |
| Idaho | 1,549 | 1,717 | 1,388 | 1,207 | 985 | 1,162 | 1,089 | 1.08636 | 1.17248 | 0.92580 | 0.79018 | 0.63721 | 0.73961 | 0.68707 |
| Illinois | 3,297 | 4,053 | 3,711 | 3,580 | 3,615 | 4,374 | 4,594 | 0.26013 | 0.31868 | 0.29039 | 0.27875 | 0.28001 | 0.34060 | 0.35697 |
| Indiana | 1,246 | 1,499 | 1,381 | 1,195 | 1,246 | 1,697 | 1,633 | 0.19926 | 0.23787 | 0.21761 | 0.18706 | 0.19399 | 0.26145 | 0.25058 |
| lowa | 629 | 732 | 664 | 644 | 730 | 809 | 856 | 0.21326 | 0.24693 | 0.22291 | 0.21510 | 0.24270 | 0.26523 | 0.27953 |
| Kansas | 455 | 581 | 523 | 543 | 509 | 728 | 805 | 0.16595 | 0.21084 | 0.18843 | 0.19411 | 0.18058 | 0.25462 | 0.28037 |
| Kentucky | 377 | 471 | 505 | 469 | 457 | 601 | 551 | 0.09014 | 0.11163 | 0.11865 | 0.10938 | 0.10593 | 0.13825 | 0.12611 |
| Louisiana | 298 | 365 | 294 | 322 | 315 | 355 | 368 | 0.06626 | 0.08608 | 0.06718 | 0.07233 | 0.07012 | 0.07810 | 0.08044 |
| Maine | 159 | 156 | 126 | 136 | 130 | 220 | 202 | 0.12122 | 0.11863 | 0.09565 | 0.10305 | 0.09861 | 0.16574 | 0.15209 |
| Maryland | 1,283 | 1,556 | 1,408 | 1,427 | 1,445 | 1,731 | 1,638 | 0.22982 | 0.27725 | 0.24990 | 0.25218 | 0.25353 | 0.29919 | 0.28104 |
| Massachusetts | 3,301 | 4,368 | 3,835 | 3,896 | 4,038 | 5,260 | 5,526 | 0.51154 | 0.67549 | 0.59007 | 0.59539 | 0.61241 | 0.80238 | 0.83886 |
| Michigan | 3,664 | 4,178 | 3,695 | 3,583 | 3,516 | 4,277 | 4,397 | 0.36311 | 0.41438 | 0.36763 | 0.35821 | 0.35267 | 0.43302 | 0.44521 |
| Minnesota | 2,582 | 3,268 | 2,920 | 2,869 | 2,972 | 4,005 | 4,227 | 0.50562 | 0.63477 | 0.56249 | 0.54851 | 0.56435 | 0.75414 | 0.79085 |
| Mississippi | 127 | 152 | 184 | 142 | 144 | 172 | 172 | 0.04379 | 0.05247 | 0.06298 | 0.04830 | 0.04878 | 0.05791 | 0.05775 |
| Missouri | 732 | 863 | 861 | 786 | 877 | 1,140 | 1,003 | 0.12606 | 0.14723 | 0.14569 | 0.13196 | 0.14647 | 0.19014 | 0.16687 |


| Table A. 4 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patents and Patents Per Thousand Persons 2005-2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Patents Per Thousand Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 119 | 136 | 131 | 115 | 91 | 118 | 114 | 0.12730 | 0.14373 | 0.13685 | 0.11880 | 0.09333 | 0.11908 | 0.11421 |
| Nebraska | 205 | 239 | 254 | 214 | 226 | 253 | 247 | 0.11703 | 0.13576 | 0.14351 | 0.12009 | 0.12579 | 0.13824 | 0.13405 |
| Nevada | 443 | 472 | 468 | 464 | 426 | 639 | 674 | 0.18391 | 0.18930 | 0.18226 | 0.17739 | 0.16118 | 0.23629 | 0.24749 |
| New Hampshire | 538 | 657 | 618 | 526 | 608 | 802 | 805 | 0.41340 | 0.50080 | 0.46913 | 0.39792 | 0.45902 | 0.60905 | 0.61068 |
| New Jersey | 2,868 | 3,627 | 3,152 | 3,247 | 3,259 | 4,345 | 4,277 | 0.33264 | 0.42058 | 0.36498 | 0.37480 | 0.37426 | 0.49377 | 0.48486 |
| New Mexico | 272 | 354 | 304 | 295 | 329 | 455 | 412 | 0.14192 | 0.18223 | 0.15441 | 0.14848 | 0.16371 | 0.22024 | 0.19787 |
| New York | 5,306 | 6,406 | 6,025 | 5,905 | 6,127 | 8,095 | 7,842 | 0.27448 | 0.33095 | 0.31020 | 0.30332 | 0.31354 | 0.41737 | 0.40287 |
| North Carolina | 1,839 | 2,232 | 2,007 | 2,157 | 2,298 | 2,922 | 2,884 | 0.21212 | 0.25172 | 0.22142 | 0.23326 | 0.24497 | 0.30564 | 0.29866 |
| North Dakota | 81 | 77 | 92 | 72 | 92 | 112 | 95 | 0.12749 | 0.12092 | 0.14415 | 0.11225 | 0.14223 | 0.16602 | 0.13890 |
| Ohio | 2,727 | 3,291 | 3,058 | 3,153 | 3,023 | 3,983 | 3,903 | 0.23764 | 0.28636 | 0.26543 | 0.27351 | 0.26190 | 0.34521 | 0.33807 |
| Oklahoma | 429 | 584 | 526 | 474 | 446 | 582 | 529 | 0.12143 | 0.16339 | 0.14562 | 0.13008 | 0.12096 | 0.15478 | 0.13952 |
| Oregon | 1,849 | 2,536 | 2,282 | 2,173 | 2,014 | 2,340 | 2,371 | 0.51107 | 0.68959 | 0.61131 | 0.57441 | 0.52645 | 0.60964 | 0.61237 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,546 | 3,186 | 2,986 | 2,850 | 3,066 | 3,887 | 3,652 | 0.20502 | 0.25547 | 0.23845 | 0.22680 | 0.24324 | 0.30564 | 0.28659 |
| Rhode Island | 312 | 354 | 380 | 303 | 305 | 354 | 351 | 0.29296 | 0.33390 | 0.36019 | 0.28761 | 0.28959 | 0.33633 | 0.33387 |
| South Carolina | 539 | 691 | 542 | 524 | 579 | 651 | 793 | 0.12664 | 0.15924 | 0.12251 | 0.11636 | 0.12694 | 0.14039 | 0.16947 |
| South Dakota | 68 | 79 | 66 | 60 | 56 | 82 | 106 | 0.08717 | 0.10019 | 0.08281 | 0.07458 | 0.06893 | 0.10042 | 0.12863 |
| Tennessee | 712 | 817 | 793 | 798 | 785 | 1,037 | 1,080 | 0.11875 | 0.13417 | 0.12847 | 0.12788 | 0.12468 | 0.16312 | 0.16866 |
| Texas | 5,524 | 6,716 | 6,228 | 6,184 | 6,436 | 8,026 | 8,044 | 0.24226 | 0.28739 | 0.26127 | 0.25444 | 0.25970 | 0.31782 | 0.31330 |
| Utah | 644 | 800 | 766 | 727 | 855 | 1,145 | 1,215 | 0.25764 | 0.30963 | 0.28756 | 0.26656 | 0.30705 | 0.41254 | 0.43128 |
| Vermont | 411 | 486 | 546 | 514 | 500 | 668 | 536 | 0.66417 | 0.78389 | 0.87999 | 0.82763 | 0.80417 | 1.06725 | 0.85564 |
| Virginia | 1,027 | 1,231 | 1,162 | 1,180 | 1,209 | 1,724 | 1,737 | 0.13578 | 0.16098 | 0.15052 | 0.15137 | 0.15338 | 0.21486 | 0.21453 |
| Washington | 2,482 | 3,620 | 3,894 | 4,158 | 4,856 | 5,809 | 5,266 | 0.39640 | 0.56809 | 0.60232 | 0.63326 | 0.72867 | 0.86149 | 0.77101 |
| West Virginia | 98 | 111 | 116 | 91 | 102 | 134 | 109 | 0.05433 | 0.06142 | 0.06405 | 0.05014 | 0.05605 | 0.07226 | 0.05875 |
| Wisconsin | 1,756 | 2,150 | 1,927 | 1,921 | 1,887 | 2,232 | 2,154 | 0.31688 | 0.38588 | 0.34401 | 0.34135 | 0.33370 | 0.39215 | 0.37712 |
| Wyoming | 55 | 56 | 62 | 41 | 64 | 89 | 74 | 0.10864 | 0.10920 | 0.11845 | 0.07693 | 0.11759 | 0.15765 | 0.13025 |
| Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011* |
| Alabama | \$26,369 | \$27,173 | \$24,319 | \$23,574 | \$21,171 | \$21,409 | \$21,894 |
| Alaska | \$32,033 | \$33,548 | \$30,269 | \$29,184 | \$28,132 | \$30,486 | \$31,698 |
| Arizona | \$28,902 | \$29,962 | \$26,397 | \$25,412 | \$21,555 | \$23,795 | \$25,186 |
| Arkansas | \$25,419 | \$26,431 | \$22,944 | \$23,146 | \$20,206 | \$22,195 | \$23,507 |
| California | \$38,939 | \$40,455 | \$35,117 | \$33,020 | \$28,159 | \$30,416 | \$32,153 |
| Colorado | \$37,206 | \$39,115 | \$32,709 | \$32,070 | \$25,332 | \$27,129 | \$29,155 |
| Connecticut | \$43,204 | \$45,896 | \$40,877 | \$37,044 | \$34,253 | \$36,140 | \$38,237 |
| Delaware | \$30,115 | \$31,203 | \$27,968 | \$26,749 | \$23,130 | \$27,295 | \$28,843 |
| Florida | \$22,400 | \$22,842 | \$20,447 | \$18,980 | \$16,664 | \$18,130 | \$18,882 |
| Georgia | \$27,044 | \$26,933 | \$24,863 | \$22,842 | \$19,980 | \$20,733 | \$21,775 |
| Hawaii | \$24,982 | \$25,982 | \$23,133 | \$21,931 | \$19,443 | \$21,708 | \$22,722 |
| Idaho | \$24,857 | \$25,371 | \$22,997 | \$22,672 | \$20,121 | \$21,785 | \$22,640 |
| Illinois | \$35,482 | \$36,939 | \$32,008 | \$29,988 | \$25,433 | \$25,956 | \$27,700 |
| Indiana | \$28,221 | \$28,916 | \$26,527 | \$25,269 | \$21,647 | \$20,090 | \$21,420 |
| Iowa | \$24,773 | \$25,384 | \$24,570 | \$23,866 | \$22,021 | \$24,226 | \$25,318 |
| Kansas | \$29,720 | \$30,931 | \$27,805 | \$28,839 | \$22,515 | \$24,696 | \$26,295 |
| Kentucky | \$25,994 | \$26,524 | \$24,471 | \$24,181 | \$20,691 | \$18,785 | \$20,003 |
| Louisiana | \$32,488 | \$30,456 | \$28,971 | \$31,317 | \$23,627 | \$25,937 | \$27,923 |
| Maine | \$22,793 | \$23,571 | \$21,560 | \$19,666 | \$19,524 | \$20,792 | \$21,847 |
| Maryland | \$26,608 | \$27,285 | \$24,188 | \$25,223 | \$23,091 | \$25,214 | \$26,539 |
| Massachusetts | \$37,993 | \$39,730 | \$36,907 | \$32,418 | \$29,622 | \$32,417 | \$34,164 |
| Michigan | \$29,238 | \$28,889 | \$25,764 | \$21,868 | \$18,518 | \$20,855 | \$21,993 |
| Minnesota | \$26,296 | \$26,899 | \$24,457 | \$24,022 | \$20,942 | \$23,337 | \$24,742 |
| Mississippi | \$26,794 | \$26,657 | \$24,435 | \$24,643 | \$21,203 | \$22,849 | \$24,053 |
| Missouri | \$28,635 | \$29,717 | \$26,737 | \$28,605 | \$26,405 | \$29,011 | \$30,351 |


| Table A. 5 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011* |
| Montana | \$24,718 | \$25,324 | \$22,206 | \$20,864 | \$17,773 | \$19,482 | \$20,506 |
| Nebraska | \$26,186 | \$26,824 | \$25,094 | \$25,573 | \$23,814 | \$25,829 | \$26,733 |
| Nevada | \$28,333 | \$28,669 | \$24,375 | \$22,778 | \$20,468 | \$23,184 | \$24,062 |
| New Hampshire | \$32,757 | \$34,961 | \$31,315 | \$27,972 | \$26,113 | \$28,441 | \$29,976 |
| New Jersey | \$39,908 | \$41,506 | \$38,250 | \$36,706 | \$32,915 | \$36,372 | \$38,284 |
| New Mexico | \$25,251 | \$26,245 | \$23,253 | \$23,054 | \$18,295 | \$20,589 | \$21,902 |
| New York | \$42,232 | \$45,599 | \$40,369 | \$39,175 | \$37,381 | \$40,520 | \$42,764 |
| North Carolina | \$24,338 | \$25,234 | \$22,199 | \$21,693 | \$19,101 | \$21,212 | \$22,148 |
| North Dakota | \$26,290 | \$26,388 | \$24,108 | \$23,641 | \$21,216 | \$25,052 | \$26,942 |
| Ohio | \$26,978 | \$27,607 | \$24,657 | \$25,491 | \$22,201 | \$24,817 | \$26,411 |
| Oklahoma | \$36,330 | \$38,853 | \$34,783 | \$44,483 | \$24,289 | \$28,472 | \$31,628 |
| Oregon | \$25,753 | \$26,683 | \$23,849 | \$24,371 | \$20,846 | \$22,103 | \$23,485 |
| Pennsylvania | \$36,152 | \$37,383 | \$34,611 | \$34,404 | \$28,886 | \$31,403 | \$33,332 |
| Rhode Island | \$31,220 | \$31,928 | \$29,566 | \$27,432 | \$24,056 | \$25,048 | \$26,297 |
| South Carolina | \$19,860 | \$20,502 | \$18,289 | \$17,990 | \$15,597 | \$16,778 | \$17,539 |
| South Dakota | \$29,090 | \$28,745 | \$26,604 | \$24,674 | \$21,785 | \$24,343 | \$25,551 |
| Tennessee | \$37,506 | \$38,867 | \$35,681 | \$33,589 | \$31,578 | \$33,518 | \$35,381 |
| Texas | \$44,215 | \$45,520 | \$41,767 | \$46,685 | \$31,449 | \$35,086 | \$38,027 |
| Utah | \$22,464 | \$23,104 | \$20,742 | \$21,307 | \$18,230 | \$20,042 | \$21,190 |
| Vermont | \$21,337 | \$22,739 | \$19,976 | \$18,105 | \$16,913 | \$18,464 | \$19,143 |
| Virginia | \$30,295 | \$30,508 | \$27,379 | \$25,674 | \$21,960 | \$22,375 | \$23,631 |
| Washington | \$31,373 | \$33,274 | \$30,652 | \$30,025 | \$26,712 | \$26,217 | \$27,433 |
| West Virginia | \$28,466 | \$29,512 | \$27,338 | \$29,181 | \$22,922 | \$25,371 | \$27,031 |
| Wisconsin | \$26,115 | \$26,974 | \$24,371 | \$23,130 | \$21,722 | \$24,407 | \$25,417 |
| Wyoming | \$31,387 | \$32,709 | \$28,391 | \$29,847 | \$22,767 | \$25,400 | \$27,485 |
| Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office <br> *Note: 2011 is an estimate based on growth in proprietor income, 2011 proprietor count not available |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Bureau of Business Research [BBR]

Specializes in ...
$\rightarrow$ Studies of economic competitiveness
$\rightarrow$ Economic modeling and forecasting
$\rightarrow$ Labor market analysis
$\rightarrow$ Fiscal analysis
$\rightarrow$ Policy analysis

For more information on how BBR can assist you or your organization, contact us (402) 472-3318; send email to: ethompson2@unl.edu; or visit the website at: http://www.bbr.unl.edu


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The more detailed explanation can be found the 2008 BBR report "Entrepreneurship in Nebraska", March 2010.

