

Business in Nebraska

VOLUME 67 NO. 704

PRESENTED BY THE UNL BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH (BBR)

SEPTEMBER 2012

STATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP INDEX

By Dr. Eric Thompson and Dr. William Walstad

nemployment rates and job growth are popular measures for state economies. However, these trends differ from the state's performance in core measures of underlying economic strength such entrepreneurship, net migration and capital formation. Given this, the University of Nebraska - Lincoln Bureau of Business Research seeks to track these core economic measures in Nebraska and in all U.S. states.

The State Entrepreneurship Index is one effort to track these core trends. Specifically, the index is used to track entrepreneurship in all 50 states. The index was initially developed by Eric C. Thompson and William B. Walstad in Entrepreneurship in Nebraska: Conditions, Attitudes, and Actions (Gallup Press, 2008). In the current study, we use the same methodology to and calculate the value of the state entrepreneurship index for 2010 and 2011.

The index is composed of 5 components that consider the income of entrepreneurs, business formation rates, technological innovation, and growth in the number of entrepreneurs.

The five components of the State Entrepreneurship Index are:

- Percent growth in employer establishments
- Percent growth in employer establishments per person

- Business formation rate (i.e, establishment births per person)
- Patents per thousand persons
- Average income per non-farm proprietor

A separate index value is calculated for each of the 5 components. Each component index reflects how much each state's performance deviates from the median state. The state at the median gets a value of 1.0. A state with a value one standard deviation above the median gets a value of 2.0, while a state one standard deviation below the median gets a value of 0.0. The overall entrepreneurship index is calculated by taking a simple average of the five component index values for each state.

The data utilized to calculate values for each of the 5 index components are presented in Table A.1 through A.5 in the appendix. Data are present from 2005 through 2011. The analysis focused on the two most recent years, 2010 and 2011. Note that the 5 components of state entrepreneurship index are the same that have been used in previous years, with one exception. In particular, the current report utilizes income non-farm proprietor (including proprietors and partners) as its measure of the size of entrepreneurial ventures in the states. In the last two index reports, gross receipts per partner or proprietor were used instead. While the gross receipts measure is preferred, the Internal Revenue Service is no longer calculating data on gross receipts for proprietorships and partnership by state¹. This implies that values for the state entrepreneurship index in this report for 2008 and 2010 may differ somewhat from values presented in earlier reports. Values also may differ due to periodic revisions in the underlying data by the U.S. Department of Labor, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Table 1 illustrates component index values for all 50 states for the year 2011. The Table also shows the overall index value. Data on the number of employer establishments comes from the establishment counts in the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages developed by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics within the U.S. Department of Labor. The counts include all establishments that participate in their state unemployment insurance program. Data on the population of states, which is used in all person measures, is from the U.S. Census based on estimated population on July 1, 2011. Data on firm births is from the Business Employment Dynamics database, also developed by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Data on patents is collected by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Data on non-farm proprietor income and proprietor counts for each U.S. state is from the Regional Economic Information System of the Bureau of Economic Analysis, which is part of the United States Department of Commerce.

Table 1 shows the ranking for all 50 states both for the state entrepreneurship index overall and for each of the 5 index components. The highest ranked states in the 2011 State Entrepreneurship Index are concentrated in the northern parts of the country. The five highest ranked states are Massachusetts, North Dakota, California, New York, and Minnesota. We note that Oregon, New Jersey, New Hampshire, and Illinois are also among the Top 10.

The highest ranked states tend to rank above the median state (index value greater than 1.0) for all

¹ The more detailed explanation can be found the 2008 BBR report "Entrepreneurship in Nebraska", March 2010.

or nearly all of the 5 index components. Massachusetts was a highly ranked state in 4 of the 5 components, including both measures of establishment growth, patent activity, income per proprietor. A similar pattern was observed in California, the third ranked state. The fourth ranked state New York was above the median state for all 5 of the index components. Minnesota had both a strong business formation rate and high levels of patent activity per capita. North Dakota was the second ranked state primarily due to high rates of business formation and establishment growth. Texas, the only southern state in the top 10 (at a rank of 8) had both strong establishment growth and a very high value for income per non-farm proprietor.

Michigan was among the 5 lowest ranked states in the 2011 State Entrepreneurship Index, along with Louisiana, South Carolina, Mississippi and Kentucky. These lowest ranked states had a below median value (index value less than 1.0) for at least 4 of the 5 index components. South Carolina, Mississippi and Kentucky were below the median for all 5 measures. Michigan and Louisiana had sharp declines in the number of establishments. However, Louisiana had an above-median value for income per non-farm proprietor while Michigan had an above-median value for patents per thousand persons.

States near the middle of the ranking in some cases had near-median values for most measure. For example, Nebraska values for establishment growth, growth in establishments per person, establishment births per person, and income per non-farm proprietor were slightly above the median value, while patents per thousand residents was well below the median value. Nebraska had an overall entrepreneurship index value of 0.988 and a rank of 32. In other cases, states near the median overall rank had a mix of both high and low component values. For example, Maine had well above the median values for the business formation rate and establishment growth per person, but well below median values for patent activity and income per non-farm proprietor. Maine as a result had an overall state entrepreneurship index rank of 29.

| | | Growth in | Business | Patents Per | Average | Average | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|
| | Growth in | Establishments | Formation | Thousand | Proprietor | Index | |
| State | Establishments | Per Capita | Rate | Residents | Income | Value | Ran |
| Alabama | 0.534 | 0.848 | -0.087 | 0.158 | 0.275 | 0.346 | 44 |
| Alaska | 1.101 | 0.809 | 1.140 | -0.026 | 2.039 | 1.013 | 28 |
| Arizona | 0.524 | 0.323 | 0.779 | 1.291 | 0.867 | 0.757 | 39 |
| Arkansas | 1.192 | 1.360 | 1.113 | 0.060 | 0.565 | 0.858 | 33 |
| California | 2.866 | 2.741 | 0.879 | 3.332 | 2.121 | 2.388 | 3 |
| Colorado | -0.201 | -0.598 | 2.698 | 1.821 | 1.581 | 1.060 | 24 |
| Connecticut | 0.395 | 0.866 | 0.341 | 2.360 | 3.215 | 1.435 | 12 |
| Delaware | 0.008 | 0.000 | 1.955 | 1.974 | 1.525 | 1.093 | 21 |
| lorida | 0.564 | 0.305 | 3.001 | 0.659 | -0.267 | 0.852 | 35 |
| Georgia | 0.343 | 0.158 | 1.290 | 0.760 | 0.254 | 0.561 | 41 |
| ławaii | 0.391 | 0.363 | 0.330 | 0.187 | 0.424 | 0.339 | 45 |
| daho | -0.546 | -0.598 | 2.138 | 2.772 | 0.409 | 0.835 | 36 |
| llinois | 2.007 | 2.428 | 0.839 | 1.335 | 1.320 | 1.586 | 9 |
| ndiana | 1.057 | 1.339 | 0.315 | 0.872 | 0.190 | 0.755 | 40 |
| owa | -0.015 | 0.275 | 0.315 | 0.872 | 0.190 | 0.755 | 40 42 |
| ansas | -0.013 1.577 | 1.844 | 1.068 | 1.002 | 1.067 | 1.312 | 15 |
| | | | | | | | 46 |
| entucky | 0.597 | 0.805 | -0.157 | 0.330 | -0.065 | 0.302 | |
| ouisiana | -0.933 | -0.818 | 0.388 | 0.132 | 1.360 | 0.026 | 50 |
| /laine | 1.069 | 1.602 | 1.674 | 0.443 | 0.267 | 1.011 | 29 |
| /laryland | 1.542 | 1.581 | 1.057 | 1.005 | 1.111 | 1.259 | 17 |
| /lassachusetts | 4.028 | 4.238 | 0.874 | 3.433 | 2.483 | 3.011 | 1 |
| /lichigan | -1.150 | -0.569 | 0.186 | 1.719 | 0.293 | 0.096 | 49 |
| /linnesota | 1.651 | 1.756 | 1.525 | 3.224 | 0.788 | 1.789 | 5 |
| /lississippi | 0.286 | 0.657 | -0.174 | 0.033 | 0.664 | 0.293 | 47 |
| /lissouri | 1.103 | 1.498 | 0.370 | 0.508 | 1.797 | 1.055 | 25 |
| lontana | 0.471 | 0.520 | 2.971 | 0.279 | 0.026 | 0.853 | 34 |
| lebraska | 1.115 | 1.195 | 1.121 | 0.365 | 1.146 | 0.988 | 32 |
| levada | -0.785 | -0.709 | 1.789 | 0.859 | 0.665 | 0.364 | 43 |
| lew Hampshire | 0.388 | 0.888 | 2.317 | 2.440 | 1.729 | 1.553 | 10 |
| lew Jersey | 0.510 | 0.909 | 1.862 | 1.892 | 3.224 | 1.679 | 7 |
| lew Mexico | 1.685 | 1.684 | 0.790 | 0.643 | 0.277 | 1.016 | 27 |
| lew York | 1.723 | 2.036 | 1.829 | 1.535 | 4.030 | 2.230 | 4 |
| lorth Carolina | 1.477 | 1.321 | 0.943 | 1.081 | 0.321 | 1.029 | 26 |
| lorth Dakota | 3.695 | 3.243 | 4.067 | 0.386 | 1.183 | 2.515 | 2 |
| hio | 1.321 | 1.854 | -0.072 | 1.253 | 1.088 | 1.089 | 22 |
| klahoma | 1.028 | 1.000 | 0.577 | 0.389 | 2.026 | 1.004 | 30 |
| regon | 2.068 | 2.004 | 1.728 | 2.447 | 0.562 | 1.762 | 6 |
| ennsylvania | 1.791 | 2.223 | 0.338 | 1.029 | 2.333 | 1.543 | 11 |
| hode Island | 0.495 | 1.156 | 2.000 | 1.235 | 1.067 | 1.191 | 19 |
| outh Carolina | 0.288 | 0.212 | 0.433 | 0.519 | -0.508 | 0.189 | 48 |
| outh Dakota | 1.088 | 1.000 | 1.628 | 0.341 | 0.933 | 0.998 | 31 |
| ennessee | 0.331 | 0.387 | -0.055 | 0.516 | 2.702 | 0.776 | 38 |
| exas | 1.957 | 1.319 | 0.443 | 1.145 | 3.178 | 1.608 | 8 |
| tah | 0.763 | 0.259 | 2.515 | 1.659 | 0.149 | 1.069 | 23 |
| ermont | 0.464 | 0.980 | 1.791 | 3.506 | -0.220 | 1.304 | 16 |
| irginia | 1.407 | 1.324 | 1.737 | 0.715 | 0.588 | 1.154 | 20 |
| Vashington | 0.972 | 0.616 | 0.912 | 3.137 | 1.272 | 1.382 | 13 |
| Vest Virginia | 1.095 | 1.633 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 1.199 | 0.800 | 37 |
| Visconsin | 1.715 | 2.033 | -0.114 | 1.423 | 0.909 | 1.193 | 18 |
| Vyoming | 0.916 | 1.029 | 3.242 | 0.348 | 1.281 | 1.363 | 14 |

STATE RANKS 2010 AND 2011

Massachusetts was the highest ranked state in 2010 after revisions to the index data and remains in the top spot in 2011. New York also remained among the highest ranked states for a second year, falling to the 4th rank in 2011 after being ranked 3rd in 2010. North Dakota, the 2nd ranked state in 2011, moved up from the rank of 8th in 2010. California moved up from a rank of 6th in 2010 to 3rd in 2011. Minnesota moved up the most, moving from the 24th rank in 2010 to 5th in 2011. The reason for each state's move from 2010 to 2011 are evident in Tables 3 through 7, which provide 2010 and 2011 values for each of the 5 index components.

Louisiana dropped rapidly in the rankings in 2011, from 5th in 2010 to 50th in 2011. The primary reason was a sharp drop in establishment counts between the 2 years. But, outside of Louisiana there was great stability among the lowest ranked states. Michigan was a poorly ranked state in both 2010 and 2011, falling from 42nd to 49th. South Carolina was ranked 48th in 2011, a slight improvement over the rank of 50th in 2010. Mississippi was ranked 47th in both 2010 and 2011. Kentucky fell from 31st in 2010 down to 46th in 2010.

There was modest improvement for several states that had ranked poorly in 2010. Among western states, Nevada had been ranked 48th in 2010 and moved up slightly to the 43rd rank. Arizona moved from 49th to 39th. Utah rose from 44th in 2010 to 23rd. Maine had been ranked 43rd in 2010 and rose to 29th in 2011 while North Carolina rose from 38th in 2010 to 26th in 2011. Ohio moved from 40th to 22nd, while Wisconsin moved from 34th to 18th. Few states experienced a sharp drop in ranking outside of Louisiana. South Dakota fell from 18th to 31st. The State of Washington fell from 2nd in 2010 to 13th in 2011.

Many states experienced little change in ranking between 2010 and 2011. Nebraska, for example, was ranked 25th in 2010 and 32nd in 2011. As noted above, trends for the index components are discussed in detail in Tables 3 through 7.

| | | ble 2 | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| State En | trepreneursh | ip Index, 20 | | |
| State | 2010 | Index | 2011 | Index |
| | Rank | Value | Rank | Value |
| Alabama | 46 | 0.44 | 44 | 0.35 |
| Alaska | 17 | 1.27 | 28 | 1.01 |
| Arizona | 49 | -0.01 | 39 | 0.76 |
| Arkansas | 37 | 0.84 | 33 | 0.86 |
| California | 6 | 1.77 | 3 | 2.39 |
| Colorado | 23 | 1.13 | 24 | 1.06 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 1.59 | 12 | 1.44 |
| Delaware | 27 | 1.08 | 21 | 1.09 |
| Florida | 30 | 1.06 | 35 | 0.85 |
| Georgia | 39 | 0.71 | 41 | 0.56 |
| Hawaii | 41 | 0.62 | 45 | 0.34 |
| Idaho | 26 | 1.11 | 36 | 0.84 |
| Illinois | 12 | 1.60 | 9 | 1.59 |
| Indiana | 45 | 0.59 | 40 | 0.75 |
| Iowa | 36 | 0.86 | 42 | 0.49 |
| Kansas | 22 | 1.13 | 15 | 1.31 |
| Kentucky | 31 | 1.02 | 46 | 0.30 |
| Louisiana | 5 | 1.84 | 50 | 0.03 |
| Maine | 43 | 0.61 | 29 | 1.01 |
| Maryland | 29 | 1.07 | 17 | 1.26 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 2.46 | 1 | 3.01 |
| Michigan | 42 | 0.62 | 49 | 0.10 |
| Minnesota | 24 | 1.12 | 5 | 1.79 |
| Mississippi | 47 | 0.39 | 47 | 0.29 |
| Missouri | 21 | 1.17 | 25 | 1.05 |
| Montana | 32 | 0.91 | 34 | 0.85 |
| Nebraska | 25 | 1.11 | 32 | 0.99 |
| Nevada | 48 | 0.25 | 43 | 0.36 |
| New Hampshire | 7 | 1.68 | 10 | 1.55 |
| New Jersey | 4 | 2.13 | 7 | 1.68 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 1.08 | 27 | 1.02 |
| New York | 3 | 2.24 | 4 | 2.23 |
| North Carolina | 38 | 0.75 | 26 | 1.03 |
| North Dakota | 8 | 1.66 | 2 | 2.52 |
| Ohio | 40 | 0.68 | 22 | 1.09 |
| Oklahoma | 14 | 1.52 | 30 | 1.00 |
| Oregon | 10 | 1.62 | 6 | 1.76 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 1.39 | 11 | 1.54 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 1.24 | 19 | 1.19 |
| South Carolina | 50 | -0.13 | 48 | 0.19 |
| South Dakota | 18 | 1.25 | 31 | 1.00 |
| Tennessee | 33 | 0.90 | 38 | 0.78 |
| Texas | 11 | 1.61 | 8 | 1.61 |
| Utah | 44 | 0.60 | 23 | 1.07 |
| Vermont | 16 | 1.37 | 16 | 1.30 |
| Virginia | 20 | 1.20 | 20 | 1.15 |
| Washington | 2 | 2.38 | 13 | 1.38 |
| West Virginia | 35 | 0.87 | 37 | 0.80 |
| Wisconsin | 34 | 0.90 | 18 | 1.19 |
| Wyoming | 9 | 1.62 | 14 | 1.36 |
| , , | _ | | ce and Paten | |

ESTABLISHMENT GROWTH

This section examines changes in establishment growth between 2010 and 2011. As seen in Table 3, North Dakota moved from the 5th ranked state in establishment growth in 2010 to 2nd in 2011. However, some of the largest improvements occurred in California and Minnesota. California moved up from the 21st ranked state in establishment growth in 2010 to 3rd in establishment growth in 2011. This is part of the reason that California moved from 6th to 3rd in the overall entrepreneurship index. Minnesota also moved up into the top 5 in the overall entrepreneurship index, in part because the state improved from 34th in establishment growth in 2010 to 11th in 2011.

A sharp decline in the number of establishments was a big part of the reason that Louisiana dropped to second last in the entrepreneurship index in 2011. The number of establishments grew rapidly in 2010 but then declined sharply in 2011. This pattern may have reflected a surge in businesses to address the cleanup from the 2010 oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. Louisiana dropped rapidly in the rankings in 2011, from 1st in 2010 to 49th in 2011. Kentucky went from being a leading state in establishment growth in 2010 (4th) to a near-median state in 2011.

Among western states, Arizona improved from being the lowest ranked state in terms of establishment change in 2010 to being ranked in 2011. This mirrored the state's improvement in the overall entrepreneurship index. There was a similar improvement in Utah. Nevada, by contrast, remained among the lowest ranked states for establishment growth in 2011. The establishment growth rank improved significantly in Maine, North Carolina, Ohio, and Pennsylvania. By contrast, the State of Washington fell from being a leading state in establishment growth in 2010 to a near-median rate in 2011. Iowa's rank also dropped substantially between 2010 and 2011, though the state did not drop far in the overall entrepreneurship index. Many other states by and large maintained their rank for establishment growth in 2010 and 2011, including Nebraska.

| | - | Table 3 | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
| Percent | Establishme | nt Growth, | 2010 and 20 |)11 | | |
| State | 2010 | Index | 2011 | Index | | |
| State | Rank | Value | Rank | Value | | |
| Alabama | 35 | 0.64 | 31 | 0.53 | | |
| Alaska | 14 | 1.61 | 20 | 1.10 | | |
| Arizona | 50 | -1.37 | 32 | 0.52 | | |
| Arkansas | 24 | 1.06 | 17 | 1.19 | | |
| California | 21 | 1.33 | 3 | 2.87 | | |
| Colorado | 44 | 0.02 | 46 | -0.20 | | |
| Connecticut | 30 | 0.86 | 37 | 0.40 | | |
| Delaware | 43 | 0.06 | 44 | 0.01 | | |
| Florida | 27 | 0.90 | 30 | 0.56 | | |
| Georgia | 37 | 0.58 | 40 | 0.34 | | |
| Hawaii | 31 | 0.80 | 38 | 0.39 | | |
| Idaho | 45 | 0.01 | 47 | -0.55 | | |
| Illinois | 6 | 2.27 | 5 | 2.01 | | |
| Indiana | 28 | 0.88 | 24 | 1.06 | | |
| lowa | 20 | 1.37 | 45 | -0.02 | | |
| Kansas | 13 | 1.63 | 12 | 1.58 | | |
| Kentucky | 4 | 2.40 | 29 | 0.60 | | |
| Louisiana | 1 | 3.66 | 49 | -0.93 | | |
| Maine | 46 | -0.15 | 23 | 1.07 | | |
| Maryland | 25 | 1.02 | 13 | 1.54 | | |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 2.95 | 1 | 4.03 | | |
| Michigan | 42 | 0.25 | 50 | -1.15 | | |
| Minnesota | 34 | 0.72 | 11 | 1.65 | | |
| Mississippi | 39 | 0.50 | 43 | 0.29 | | |
| Missouri | 18 | 1.46 | 19 | 1.10 | | |
| Montana | 33 | 0.73 | 35 | 0.47 | | |
| Nebraska | 17 | 1.48 | 18 | 1.11 | | |
| Nevada | 49 | -1.14 | 48 | -0.78 | | |
| New Hampshire | 36 | 0.64 | 39 | 0.39 | | |
| New Jersey | 11 | 1.78 | 33 | 0.51 | | |
| New Mexico | 9 | 1.90 | 10 | 1.68 | | |
| New York | 10 | 1.80 | 8 | 1.72 | | |
| North Carolina | 32 | 0.77 | 14 | 1.48 | | |
| North Dakota | 5 | 2.31 | 2 | 3.70 | | |
| Ohio | 40 | 0.49 | 16 | 1.32 | | |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 2.24 | 25 | 1.03 | | |
| Oregon | 15 | 1.53 | 4 | 2.07 | | |
| Pennsylvania | 22 | 1.31 | 7 | 1.79 | | |
| Rhode Island | 29 | 0.86 | 34 | 0.49 | | |
| South Carolina | 48 | -0.41 | 42 | 0.49 | | |
| South Dakota | 12 | 1.77 | 22 | 1.09 | | |
| Tennessee | 38 | 0.58 | 41 | 0.33 | | |
| Texas | 8 | 2.03 | 6 | 1.96 | | |
| Utah | 47 | -0.22 | 28 | 0.76 | | |
| Vermont | 41 | 0.35 | 36 | 0.76 0.46 | | |
| Virginia | 16 | 1.53 | 15 | 1.41 | | |
| Washington | 2 | 3.32 | 26 | 0.97 | | |
| _ | 19 | 3.32 1.43 | 26 | 1.10 | | |
| West Virginia Wisconsin | 26 | 0.98 | 9 | 1.10 | | |
| | 23 | 1.27 | 27 | 0.92 | | |
| Wyoming | tmont of Loh | 1.4/ | | 0.32 | | |

Source: U.S. Department of Labor

GROWTH IN ESTABLISHMENTS PER PERSON

Establishment growth may reflect growth in the population of a state rather than a change in the share of the population involved in entrepreneurship. As a result, some states with a large percentage increase (or decrease) in establishments may not experience a large change in the share of the population involved in entrepreneurship.

As a result, states with rapid population growth such as Florida tend have a lower rank for the growth in establishments per person than for the growth in the number of establishments. In 2011, Florida was ranked 30th in the establishment growth and 40th (see Table 3) in growth in establishments per person. Utah was ranked near the median, at 28th, in establishment growth but was ranked near the bottom, at 42nd in growth in establishments per person. States with slower population growth or population loss tend to rank higher in growth in establishments per person. For example, in 2011 Ohio was ranked 9th in growth in establishments per person but 16th in growth in the number of establishments. New York was ranked 6th in establishment growth per person but 8th in establishment growth. New Hampshire was ranked 39th in establishment growth in in 2011 but was ranked 29th in growth in establishments per capita.

Some states with slow population growth even show a different trend in establishment growth and growth in establishments per person. For example, Rhode Island fell from 29th to 34th in the rankings for establishment growth between 2010 and 2011 (see Table 3) but rose in the ranking for growth in establishments per person, from 28th to 23rd. One note should be made about estimates for establishment growth per person in 2010, as is seen in Appendix A.2. The U.S. Census does not re-estimate state population for the proceeding decade when a new Census population count is made. As a result, there can be significant discontinuity between post- Census estimates for 2010 and pre-Census estimates for 2001 through 2010. Pre-Census estimates for 2010 were used to calculate 2009-2010 growth in establishments per person and post-Census estimates for 2010 were used to calculate growth in establishments per person for 2010-2011.

| | | Table 4 | | | | |
|---------------------|------|---------|------|-------|--|--|
| Growth in E | | | | | | |
| State | 2010 | Index | 2011 | Index | | |
| | Rank | Value | Rank | Value | | |
| Alabama | 37 | 0.67 | 31 | 0.85 | | |
| Alaska | 25 | 1.01 | 32 | 0.81 | | |
| Arizona | 50 | -1.83 | 39 | 0.32 | | |
| Arkansas | 29 | 0.93 | 17 | 1.36 | | |
| California | 23 | 1.13 | 3 | 2.74 | | |
| Colorado | 46 | -0.53 | 47 | -0.60 | | |
| Connecticut | 24 | 1.01 | 30 | 0.87 | | |
| Delaware | 44 | -0.09 | 45 | 0.00 | | |
| Florida | 35 | 0.74 | 40 | 0.30 | | |
| Georgia | 42 | 0.39 | 44 | 0.16 | | |
| Hawaii | 31 | 0.88 | 38 | 0.36 | | |
| Idaho | 45 | -0.25 | 48 | -0.60 | | |
| Illinois | 4 | 2.44 | 4 | 2.43 | | |
| Indiana | 27 | 0.98 | 18 | 1.34 | | |
| Iowa | 16 | 1.37 | 41 | 0.28 | | |
| Kansas | 15 | 1.46 | 10 | 1.84 | | |
| Kentucky | 5 | 2.36 | 33 | 0.81 | | |
| Louisiana | 1 | 3.48 | 50 | -0.82 | | |
| Maine | 43 | 0.39 | 14 | 1.60 | | |
| Maryland | 30 | 0.92 | 15 | 1.58 | | |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 2.93 | 1 | 4.24 | | |
| Michigan | 33 | 0.79 | 46 | -0.57 | | |
| Minnesota | 34 | 0.74 | 11 | 1.76 | | |
| Mississippi | 38 | 0.63 | 34 | 0.66 | | |
| Missouri | 11 | 1.52 | 16 | 1.50 | | |
| Montana | 36 | 0.71 | 36 | 0.52 | | |
| Nebraska | 19 | 1.30 | 22 | 1.19 | | |
| Nevada | 49 | -1.13 | 49 | -0.71 | | |
| New Hampshire | 26 | 0.99 | 29 | 0.89 | | |
| New Jersey | 9 | 1.92 | 28 | 0.91 | | |
| New Mexico | 14 | 1.48 | 12 | 1.68 | | |
| New York | 6 | 2.01 | 6 | 2.04 | | |
| North Carolina | 40 | 0.56 | 20 | 1.32 | | |
| North Dakota | 7 | 1.97 | 2 | 3.24 | | |
| Ohio | 32 | 0.85 | 9 | 1.85 | | |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 1.93 | 25 | 1.00 | | |
| Oregon | 17 | 1.37 | 8 | 2.00 | | |
| Pennsylvania | 13 | 1.49 | 5 | 2.22 | | |
| Rhode Island | 28 | 0.96 | 23 | 1.16 | | |
| South Carolina | 47 | -0.60 | 43 | 0.21 | | |
| South Dakota | 12 | 1.50 | 26 | 1.00 | | |
| Tennessee | 41 | 0.47 | 37 | 0.39 | | |
| Texas | 20 | 1.28 | 21 | 1.32 | | |
| Utah | 48 | -0.93 | 42 | 0.26 | | |
| Vermont | 39 | 0.58 | 27 | 0.98 | | |
| Virginia | 18 | 1.30 | 19 | 1.32 | | |
| Washington | 3 | 2.89 | 35 | 0.62 | | |
| West Virginia | 10 | 1.55 | 13 | 1.63 | | |
| Wisconsin | 22 | 1.13 | 7 | 2.03 | | |
| Wyoming | 21 | 1.13 | 24 | 1.03 | | |
| Sources: U.S. Depai | 1 | | | 1.03 | | |

Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce

THE BUSINESS FORMATION RATE 2010 AND 2011

State business formation rates were generally stable between 2010 and 2011, as seen in Table 5. However, selected states showed significant improvement. New York moved from the 18th rank in 2010 up to a rank of 12th in 2011. North Dakota moved from 7th in 2010 to the rank of 1st. Minnesota showed the largest improvement, from 39th in 2010 up to 19th in 2011. This improvement in the business formation rate is one of the reasons the state moved into the top 5 in the overall state entrepreneurship index in 2011.

No state experienced such a large decline in its rank. Oregon dropped from 9th in business formation rate in 2010 to 16th in 2011. Arizona dropped from 26th to 32nd. Oklahoma dropped from 23rd to 33rd between 2010 and 2011, Pennsylvania dropped from 32nd to 39th and Hawaii dropped from 33rd to 40th.

Beyond changes in the business formation rate, it is interesting to note the consistent strength (or weakness) in business formation in selected states. For example, Massachusetts and Texas, two highly ranked states in the overall entrepreneurship index, are below-median states in the business formation rate. At the same time, there is substantial strength in the business formation rate in many western states. Colorado and Utah are 5th (3rd in 2010) and 6th ranked, respectively, in the business formation rate criterion despite being near the median among states in the overall entrepreneurship ranking. Wyoming is the top ranked or second ranked state in business formation but is ranked 9th in 2010 and 14th in 2011 for the overall entrepreneurship index. Nevada ranked 13th in 2010 and 14th in 2011 in terms of the business formation rate despite being among the lowest ranked states on the overall entrepreneurship index. Readers who believe that the business formation rate is the most important indicator of a state's entrepreneurial strength should note these differences.

| Facabiliah. | | able 5 | 2010 1 20 | 24.4 |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Establishi | I | Per Person, 2 | | |
| State | 2010 Rank | Index Value | 2011 Rank | Index Value |
| Alabama | 42 | | 47 | -0.09 |
| | 19 | 0.11 1.50 | | |
| Alaska | 26 | | 21 32 | 1.14 |
| Arizona | _ | 0.98 | _ | 0.78 |
| Arkansas | 21 | 1.44 | 23 | 1.11 |
| California | 25 3 | 1.02 | 28 | 0.88 |
| Colorado | | 2.78 | 5 | 2.70 |
| Connecticut | 37 | 0.48 | 38 | 0.34 |
| Delaware | 10 | 2.19 | 10 | 1.96 |
| Florida | 2 | 3.18 | 3 | 3.00 |
| Georgia | 20 | 1.48 | 20 | 1.29 |
| Hawaii | 33 | 0.64 | 40 | 0.33 |
| Idaho | 8 | 2.33 | 8 | 2.14 |
| Illinois | 30 | 0.69 | 30 | 0.84 |
| Indiana | 47 | -0.06 | 41 | 0.31 |
| lowa | 50 | -0.40 | 42 | 0.29 |
| Kansas | 34 | 0.60 | 24 | 1.07 |
| Kentucky | 46 | -0.04 | 49 | -0.16 |
| Louisiana | 35 | 0.56 | 36 | 0.39 |
| Maine | 12 | 1.94 | 17 | 1.67 |
| Maryland | 22 | 1.14 | 25 | 1.06 |
| Massachusetts | 31 | 0.66 | 29 | 0.87 |
| Michigan | 43 | 0.07 | 43 | 0.19 |
| Minnesota | 39 | 0.33 | 19 | 1.53 |
| Mississippi | 44 | 0.01 | 50 | -0.17 |
| Missouri | 40 | 0.33 | 37 | 0.37 |
| Montana | 4 | 2.68 | 4 | 2.97 |
| Nebraska | 24 | 1.05 | 22 | 1.12 |
| Nevada | 13 | 1.89 | 14 | 1.79 |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 2.61 | 7 | 2.32 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 1.71 | 11 | 1.86 |
| New Mexico | 27 | 0.96 | 31 | 0.79 |
| New York | 18 | 1.69 | 12 | 1.83 |
| North Carolina | 28 | 0.91 | 26 | 0.94 |
| North Dakota | 7 | 2.35 | 1 | 4.07 |
| Ohio | 49 | -0.32 | 46 | -0.07 |
| Oklahoma | 23 | 1.10 | 33 | 0.58 |
| Oregon | 9 | 2.22 | 16 | 1.73 |
| Pennsylvania | 32 | 0.66 | 39 | 0.34 |
| Rhode Island | 11 | 1.99 | 9 | 2.00 |
| South Carolina | 38 | 0.37 | 35 | 0.43 |
| South Dakota | 17 | 1.69 | 18 | 1.63 |
| Tennessee | 41 | 0.14 | 45 | -0.06 |
| Texas | 36 | 0.49 | 34 | 0.44 |
| Utah | 6 | 2.38 | 6 | 2.51 |
| Vermont | 15 | 1.74 | 13 | 1.79 |
| Virginia | 14 | 1.79 | 15 | 1.74 |
| Washington | 29 | 0.86 | 27 | 0.91 |
| West Virginia | 45 | -0.01 | 44 | 0.04 |
| Wisconsin | 48 | -0.13 | 48 | -0.11 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 3.92 | 2 | 3.24 |
| | l. | | | - - |
| Sources: U.S. Depar | urients of La | our and Comr | nerce | |

PATENTS PER THOUSAND PERSONS 2010 AND 2011

The index component patents per thousand persons was largely stable in states between 2010 and 2011. Most state ranks were unchanged or nearly unchanged between the two years. There were, however, occasional modest movements in the ranks of some states. North Dakota fell from 34th rank to 38th rank between 2010 and 2011. Wyoming fell from 37th ranked to 40th rank and Washington fell from 2nd to 5th rank. South Carolina experienced the largest improvement in rank, moving from 39th rank in 2010 up to 33rd rank in 2011. South Dakota moved from 45th to 41st. Several states moved up two positions in the ranking, including Nebraska which moved from 41st to 39th.

Regardless of the change in ranking, it is interesting to observe which states had consistently high ranking in terms of patent activity. Massachusetts was among the highest ranked states in both 2010 and 2011 as was California. These results reflect the influence of major universities in each state and the Silicon Valley and 128 Corridor areas. Surrounding the Boston area, Connecticut, New Hampshire and Vermont were highly ranked in patents per thousand persons. Many western states ranked highly on this measure, in addition to California. Washington was among the top 5 ranked states in both years while Oregon was among the top 10 ranked states. Idaho was ranked among the top 10 states and Utah was among the top 15. States in the industrial Midwest were frequently in the top 20 ranked states including Ohio, Illinois, Michigan and Wisconsin. Generally speaking, southern states had lower ranks in terms of patent activity. Surprisingly, even southern states with large technology sectors such as Texas, North Carolina, and Virginia were near the median state in patents per thousand residents.

| Datanta | | able 6 | 010 and 30 | 1.1 |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Patents F | | d Persons, 2 | | |
| State | 2010 Rank | Index Value | 2011 Rank | Index Value |
| Alabama | 43 | 0.37 | 45 | 0.16 |
| Alaska | 50 | 0.10 | 50 | -0.03 |
| Arizona | 19 | 1.31 | 18 | 1.29 |
| Arkansas | 47 | 0.21 | 47 | 0.06 |
| California | 3 | 3.25 | 3 | 3.33 |
| Colorado | 11 | 1.91 | 12 | 1.82 |
| Connecticut | 9 | 2.36 | 9 | 2.36 |
| Delaware | 12 | 1.71 | 10 | 1.97 |
| Florida | 32 | 0.73 | 31 | 0.66 |
| Georgia | 29 | 0.84 | 29 | 0.76 |
| Hawaii | 44 | 0.34 | 44 | 0.19 |
| Idaho | 6 | 2.98 | 6 | 2.77 |
| Illinois | 18 | 1.32 | 17 | 1.34 |
| Indiana | 26 | 0.99 | 27 | 0.87 |
| lowa | 25 | 1.01 | 26 | 1.00 |
| Kansas | 27 | 0.96 | 25 | 1.00 |
| Kentucky | 40 | 0.48 | 42 | 0.33 |
| Louisiana | 46 | 0.23 | 46 | 0.13 |
| Maine | 35 | 0.59 | 36 | 0.44 |
| Maryland | 24 | 1.15 | 24 | 1.00 |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 3.24 | 2 | 3.43 |
| Michigan | 13 | 1.71 | 13 | 1.72 |
| Minnesota | 5 | 3.04 | 4 | 3.22 |
| Mississippi | 49 | 0.15 | 49 | 0.03 |
| Missouri | 33 | 0.70 | 35 | 0.51 |
| Montana | 42 | 0.40 | 43 | 0.28 |
| Nebraska | 41 | 0.48 | 39 | 0.36 |
| Nevada | 28 | 0.89 | 28 | 0.86 |
| New Hampshire | 8 | 2.44 | 8 | 2.44 |
| New Jersey | 10 | 1.96 | 11 | 1.89 |
| New Mexico | 30 | 0.82 | 32 | 0.64 |
| New York | 14 | 1.64 | 15 | 1.54 |
| North Carolina | 22 | 1.18 | 22 | 1.08 |
| North Dakota | 34 | 0.60 | 38 | 0.39 |
| Ohio | 17 | 1.34 | 19 | 1.25 |
| Oklahoma | 38 | 0.55 | 37 | 0.39 |
| Oregon | 7 | 2.44 | 7 | 2.45 |
| Pennsylvania | 23 | 1.18 | 23 | 1.03 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 1.30 | 20 | 1.23 |
| South Carolina | 39 | 0.49 | 33 | 0.52 |
| South Dakota | 45 | 0.43 | 41 | 0.34 |
| Tennessee | 36 | 0.52 | 34 | 0.54 |
| Texas | 21 | 1.23 | 21 | 1.15 |
| Utah | 15 | 1.62 | 14 | 1.66 |
| Vermont | 1 | 4.34 | 1 | 3.51 |
| Virginia | 31 | 0.80 | 30 | 0.72 |
| Washington | 2 | 3.49 | 5 | 3.14 |
| West Virginia | 48 | 0.21 | 48 | 0.04 |
| Wisconsin | 16 | 1.54 | 16 | 1.42 |
| Wyoming | 37 | 0.56 | 40 | 0.35 |
| Source: U.S. Patent | | | 0 | 0.55 |

INCOME PER NON-FARM PROPRIETOR 2010 AND 2011

Income per non-farm proprietor is another index component that was stable between 2010 and 2011. Most states held the same rank in 2010 and 2011. There were modest improvements in rank in several Western states, including Wyoming and New Mexico. 'Alabama and Nebraska experienced a modest decline in rank.

A broad mix of states ranked highest in terms of earnings per proprietor in both 2010 and 2011. New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut were the three highest ranked states. The Northeastern states of Massachusetts and Pennsylvania also were in the top ten ranking. However, several southern states also were among the highest ranked. Texas was ranked 4th and Tennessee was ranked 5th. Two Midwestern states, Illinois and Missouri, ranked near the top between 10th and 16th, depending on the state and year. Among western states, California ranked 9th in 2010 and 8th in 2011, while Colorado was ranked 14th in 2010 and 13th in 2011 and Wyoming ranked 19th and then 17th. But, many western states including Oregon, Idaho, Montana and Utah were well below the median state in terms of income per non-farm proprietor. Many southern states had lower levels of income per non-farm proprietor including Florida, which was ranked 49th, South Carolina at 50th, or Georgia at 42nd or 43rd. States such as North Carolina and Virginia also finished below the median state. Vermont was ranked 48th in earnings per proprietor in both 2010 and 2011. Patent activity (see Table 6) is not necessarily associated with higher proprietor earnings.

One technical matter to note is that 2011 values of income per proprietor are estimates. Data on the number of non-farm proprietors by state has not yet been estimated by the Bureau of Economic Analysis within the U.S. Department of Commerce. Income per non-farm proprietor in 2011 was estimated by multiplying the 2010 values by the 2011 growth in non-farm proprietor income.

| | | able 6 | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--------|------|-------|
| Income Pe | er Non-Farm | | | |
| State | 2010 | Index | 2011 | Index |
| Al-l | Rank | Value | Rank | Value |
| Alabama | 38 | 0.39 | 41 | 0.28 |
| Alaska | 8 | 2.15 | 9 | 2.04 |
| Arizona | 29 | 0.85 | 29 | 0.87 |
| Arkansas | 34 | 0.54 | 34 | 0.57 |
| California | 9 | 2.13 | 8 | 2.12 |
| Colorado | 14 | 1.50 | 13 | 1.58 |
| Connecticut | 3 | 3.24 | 3 | 3.22 |
| Delaware | 13 | 1.53 | 14 | 1.53 |
| Florida | 49 | -0.24 | 49 | -0.27 |
| Georgia | 42 | 0.26 | 43 | 0.25 |
| Hawaii | 37 | 0.45 | 36 | 0.42 |
| Idaho | 36 | 0.46 | 37 | 0.41 |
| Illinois | 16 | 1.27 | 16 | 1.32 |
| Indiana | 44 | 0.14 | 44 | 0.19 |
| lowa | 28 | 0.94 | 28 | 0.89 |
| Kansas | 25 | 1.03 | 25 | 1.07 |
| Kentucky | 47 | -0.12 | 47 | -0.06 |
| Louisiana | 17 | 1.27 | 15 | 1.36 |
| Maine | 41 | 0.27 | 42 | 0.27 |
| Maryland | 21 | 1.13 | 22 | 1.11 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 2.52 | 6 | 2.48 |
| Michigan | 40 | 0.28 | 39 | 0.29 |
| Minnesota | 30 | 0.77 | 30 | 0.79 |
| Mississippi | 32 | 0.67 | 32 | 0.66 |
| Missouri | 10 | 1.86 | 11 | 1.80 |
| Montana | 46 | 0.02 | 46 | 0.03 |
| Nebraska | 18 | 1.25 | 21 | 1.15 |
| Nevada | 31 | 0.74 | 31 | 0.67 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 1.75 | 12 | 1.73 |
| New Jersey | 2 | 3.29 | 2 | 3.22 |
| New Mexico | 43 | 0.23 | 40 | 0.28 |
| New York | 1 | 4.09 | 1 | 4.03 |
| North Carolina | 39 | 0.35 | 38 | 0.32 |
| North Dakota | 22 | 1.10 | 20 | 1.18 |
| Ohio | 24 | 1.05 | 23 | 1.09 |
| Oklahoma | 11 | 1.76 | 10 | 2.03 |
| Oregon | 35 | 0.53 | 35 | 0.56 |
| Pennsylvania | 7 | 2.33 | 7 | 2.33 |
| Rhode Island | 23 | 1.10 | 24 | 1.07 |
| South Carolina | 50 | -0.50 | 50 | -0.51 |
| South Dakota | 27 | 0.96 | 26 | 0.93 |
| Tennessee | 5 | 2.73 | 5 | 2.70 |
| Texas | 4 | 3.04 | 4 | 3.18 |
| Utah | 45 | 0.13 | 45 | 0.15 |
| Vermont | 48 | -0.18 | 48 | -0.22 |
| Virginia | 33 | 0.58 | 33 | 0.59 |
| Washington | 15 | 1.32 | 18 | 1.27 |
| West Virginia | 20 | 1.16 | 19 | 1.20 |
| Wisconsin | 26 | 0.97 | 27 | 0.91 |
| Wyoming | 19 | 1.16 | 17 | 1.28 |
| Sources: U.S. Depar | rtment of Lab | or | | |

Table A.1

| | | | | Non | -Farm Estab | olishments a | nd Growth | Rate 2005-2 | 011 | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | Non-Farm | Establishmer | nts | | | | | | C | Frowth Rat | e | | |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 108,124 | 110,621 | 111,004 | 113,658 | 115,049 | 112,179 | 110,471 | 110,175 | 2.31% | 0.35% | 2.39% | 1.22% | -2.49% | -1.52% | -0.27% |
| Alaska | 18,359 | 18,739 | 19,002 | 19,078 | 19,386 | 19,207 | 19,218 | 19,316 | 2.07% | 1.40% | 0.40% | 1.61% | -0.92% | 0.06% | 0.51% |
| Arizona | 126,348 | 135,167 | 147,540 | 156,493 | 158,755 | 149,902 | 142,733 | 142,330 | 6.98% | 9.15% | 6.07% | 1.45% | -5.58% | -4.78% | -0.28% |
| Arkansas | 71,427 | 73,435 | 77,010 | 78,654 | 81,396 | 81,527 | 80,842 | 81,356 | 2.81% | 4.87% | 2.13% | 3.49% | 0.16% | -0.84% | 0.64% |
| California | 1,162,915 | 1,191,163 | 1,234,098 | 1,260,646 | 1,293,475 | 1,304,843 | 1,299,532 | 1,337,655 | 2.43% | 3.60% | 2.15% | 2.60% | 0.88% | -0.41% | 2.93% |
| Colorado | 160,104 | 166,540 | 171,682 | 175,442 | 175,410 | 173,078 | 168,706 | 166,551 | 4.02% | 3.09% | 2.19% | -0.02% | -1.33% | -2.53% | -1.28% |
| Connecticut | 105,223 | 106,344 | 107,528 | 108,675 | 109,377 | 108,723 | 107,454 | 106,961 | 1.07% | 1.11% | 1.07% | 0.65% | -0.60% | -1.17% | -0.46% |
| Delaware | 28,680 | 29,738 | 29,685 | 30,391 | 29,610 | 28,770 | 28,061 | 27,783 | 3.69% | -0.18% | 2.38% | -2.57% | -2.84% | -2.47% | -0.99% |
| Florida | 517,626 | 551,947 | 576,237 | 595,876 | 612,691 | 593,006 | 586,490 | 585,158 | 6.63% | 4.40% | 3.41% | 2.82% | -3.21% | -1.10% | -0.23% |
| Georgia | 238,694 | 245,403 | 252,996 | 261,431 | 265,897 | 262,360 | 258,102 | 256,734 | 2.81% | 3.09% | 3.33% | 1.71% | -1.33% | -1.62% | -0.53% |
| Hawaii | 33,593 | 34,512 | 35,021 | 36,404 | 36,801 | 37,021 | 36,556 | 36,386 | 2.74% | 1.47% | 3.95% | 1.09% | 0.60% | -1.26% | -0.46% |
| Idaho | 46,429 | 49,112 | 51,894 | 53,714 | 53,970 | 53,401 | 52,040 | 51,129 | 5.78% | 5.66% | 3.51% | 0.48% | -1.06% | -2.55% | -1.75% |
| Illinois | 320,073 | 330,105 | 340,986 | 350,775 | 359,074 | 363,762 | 367,853 | 374,304 | 3.13% | 3.30% | 2.87% | 2.37% | 1.31% | 1.12% | 1.75% |
| Indiana | 147,978 | 148,561 | 150,616 | 153,302 | 155,007 | 154,302 | 152,562 | 153,249 | 0.39% | 1.38% | 1.78% | 1.11% | -0.45% | -1.13% | 0.45% |
| Iowa | 85,893 | 86,149 | 87,023 | 87,647 | 88,081 | 88,329 | 88,037 | 87,137 | 0.30% | 1.01% | 0.72% | 0.50% | 0.28% | -0.33% | -1.02% |
| Kansas | 76,604 | 78,061 | 78,567 | 79,652 | 80,984 | 81,825 | 81,893 | 82,847 | 1.90% | 0.65% | 1.38% | 1.67% | 1.04% | 0.08% | 1.16% |
| Kentucky | 99,903 | 100,648 | 104,916 | 108,724 | 105,379 | 101,995 | 103,358 | 103,170 | 0.75% | 4.24% | 3.63% | -3.08% | -3.21% | 1.34% | -0.18% |
| Louisiana | 110,389 | 113,813 | 115,966 | 114,514 | 118,306 | 119,102 | 123,120 | 120,309 | 3.10% | 1.89% | -1.25% | 3.31% | 0.67% | 3.37% | -2.28% |
| Maine | 46,402 | 45,187 | 46,193 | 47,039 | 47,746 | 47,294 | 45,967 | 46,182 | -2.62% | 2.23% | 1.83% | 1.50% | -0.95% | -2.81% | 0.47% |
| Maryland | 152,972 | 156,958 | 159,792 | 163,763 | 162,591 | 161,127 | 159,677 | 161,458 | 2.61% | 1.81% | 2.49% | -0.72% | -0.90% | -0.90% | 1.12% |
| Massachusetts | 204,352 | 208,434 | 201,657 | 204,301 | 206,680 | 206,881 | 211,472 | 221,051 | 2.00% | -3.25% | 1.31% | 1.16% | 0.10% | 2.22% | 4.53% |
| Michigan | 247,703 | 248,718 | 251,994 | 249,746 | 251,347 | 247,657 | 242,333 | 236,079 | 0.41% | 1.32% | -0.89% | 0.64% | -1.47% | -2.15% | -2.58% |
| Minnesota | 149,462 | 155,783 | 158,973 | 159,339 | 160,475 | 157,535 | 155,348 | 157,313 | 4.23% | 2.05% | 0.23% | 0.71% | -1.83% | -1.39% | 1.26% |
| Mississippi | 62,332 | 63,482 | 64,548 | 65,682 | 66,578 | 66,395 | 65,240 | 64,842 | 1.84% | 1.68% | 1.76% | 1.36% | -0.27% | -1.74% | -0.61% |
| Missouri | 158,393 | 160,287 | 162,182 | 164,990 | 165,551 | 163,952 | 163,631 | 164,471 | 1.20% | 1.18% | 1.73% | 0.34% | -0.97% | -0.20% | 0.51% |

September 2012 page 10 Business in Nebraska

Table A.1 (Continued)

Non-Farm Establishments and Growth Rate 2005-2011

| | | | Non-Farm | Establishmer | nts | | | | | | G | Frowth Rat | e | | |
|----------------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 39,128 | 37,722 | 38,855 | 40,140 | 40,721 | 40,475 | 39,920 | 39,778 | -3.59% | 3.00% | 3.31% | 1.45% | -0.61% | -1.37% | -0.36% |
| Nebraska | 51,562 | 53,051 | 53,904 | 54,883 | 55,712 | 55,838 | 55,751 | 56,046 | 2.89% | 1.61% | 1.82% | 1.51% | 0.23% | -0.15% | 0.53% |
| Nevada | 62,501 | 65,913 | 70,559 | 73,869 | 75,912 | 74,975 | 71,667 | 70,177 | 5.46% | 7.05% | 4.69% | 2.77% | -1.23% | -4.41% | -2.08% |
| New Hampshire | 44,998 | 45,303 | 46,197 | 46,685 | 46,948 | 46,478 | 45,768 | 45,553 | 0.68% | 1.97% | 1.06% | 0.56% | -1.00% | -1.53% | -0.47% |
| New Jersey | 259,020 | 265,422 | 268,368 | 264,735 | 266,149 | 260,192 | 261,036 | 260,249 | 2.47% | 1.11% | -1.35% | 0.53% | -2.24% | 0.32% | -0.30% |
| New Mexico | 46,502 | 47,230 | 49,059 | 50,033 | 50,805 | 50,508 | 50,774 | 51,440 | 1.57% | 3.87% | 1.99% | 1.54% | -0.59% | 0.53% | 1.31% |
| New York | 540,823 | 549,054 | 556,855 | 563,450 | 569,694 | 570,602 | 572,661 | 580,476 | 1.52% | 1.42% | 1.18% | 1.11% | 0.16% | 0.36% | 1.36% |
| North Carolina | 220,998 | 225,901 | 235,866 | 244,340 | 249,383 | 246,186 | 242,959 | 245,453 | 2.22% | 4.41% | 3.59% | 2.06% | -1.28% | -1.31% | 1.03% |
| North Dakota | 22,402 | 22,960 | 22,988 | 22,922 | 23,393 | 23,487 | 23,766 | 24,733 | 2.49% | 0.12% | -0.29% | 2.05% | 0.40% | 1.19% | 4.07% |
| Ohio | 272,537 | 274,741 | 275,426 | 277,578 | 279,135 | 275,782 | 270,925 | 273,125 | 0.81% | 0.25% | 0.78% | 0.56% | -1.20% | -1.76% | 0.81% |
| Oklahoma | 87,005 | 89,220 | 91,207 | 93,860 | 95,338 | 95,341 | 96,365 | 96,760 | 2.55% | 2.23% | 2.91% | 1.57% | 0.00% | 1.07% | 0.41% |
| Oregon | 112,062 | 116,883 | 122,389 | 125,387 | 123,137 | 121,740 | 121,650 | 123,886 | 4.30% | 4.71% | 2.45% | -1.79% | -1.13% | -0.07% | 1.84% |
| Pennsylvania | 313,741 | 317,186 | 319,383 | 324,669 | 327,611 | 326,674 | 325,269 | 330,009 | 1.10% | 0.69% | 1.66% | 0.91% | -0.29% | -0.43% | 1.46% |
| Rhode Island | 34,392 | 34,964 | 35,264 | 35,425 | 35,082 | 34,768 | 34,365 | 34,254 | 1.66% | 0.86% | 0.46% | -0.97% | -0.90% | -1.16% | -0.32% |
| South Carolina | 108,929 | 115,194 | 125,222 | 113,310 | 114,666 | 111,296 | 107,703 | 107,050 | 5.75% | 8.71% | -9.51% | 1.20% | -2.94% | -3.23% | -0.61% |
| South Dakota | 26,330 | 26,941 | 27,463 | 27,817 | 28,163 | 28,340 | 28,426 | 28,566 | 2.32% | 1.94% | 1.29% | 1.24% | 0.63% | 0.30% | 0.49% |
| Tennessee | 126,207 | 128,442 | 132,837 | 137,501 | 139,585 | 137,946 | 135,705 | 134,963 | 1.77% | 3.42% | 3.51% | 1.52% | -1.17% | -1.62% | -0.55% |
| Texas | 498,193 | 507,859 | 521,414 | 552,608 | 548,139 | 550,097 | 554,160 | 563,500 | 1.94% | 2.67% | 5.98% | -0.81% | 0.36% | 0.74% | 1.69% |
| Utah | 73,094 | 77,858 | 82,242 | 82,922 | 83,560 | 82,254 | 79,851 | 79,888 | 6.52% | 5.63% | 0.83% | 0.77% | -1.56% | -2.92% | 0.05% |
| Vermont | 22,595 | 22,803 | 22,947 | 23,268 | 23,335 | 23,114 | 22,653 | 22,571 | 0.92% | 0.63% | 1.40% | 0.29% | -0.95% | -1.99% | -0.36% |
| Virginia | 198,259 | 206,050 | 209,294 | 219,242 | 222,884 | 222,244 | 222,073 | 224,138 | 3.93% | 1.57% | 4.75% | 1.66% | -0.29% | -0.08% | 0.93% |
| Washington | 207,017 | 204,777 | 208,874 | 213,406 | 215,937 | 218,552 | 224,738 | 225,487 | -1.08% | 2.00% | 2.17% | 1.19% | 1.21% | 2.83% | 0.33% |
| West Virginia | 44,166 | 44,444 | 44,495 | 44,836 | 44,770 | 44,489 | 44,385 | 44,608 | 0.63% | 0.11% | 0.77% | -0.15% | -0.63% | -0.23% | 0.50% |
| Wisconsin | 150,314 | 153,923 | 153,384 | 152,094 | 152,628 | 149,547 | 148,088 | 150,092 | 2.40% | -0.35% | -0.84% | 0.35% | -2.02% | -0.98% | 1.35% |
| Wyoming | 20,932 | 21,599 | 22,390 | 22,929 | 23,487 | 23,555 | 23,435 | 23,495 | 3.19% | 3.66% | 2.41% | 2.43% | 0.29% | -0.51% | 0.26% |

Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce

Note: Average value of quarterly establishments Q4 of previous year through Q3 of current year

Table A.2

| | | | | Gro | wth Rate of | Non-Farm E | stablishmen | ts Per Perso | n 2005-2011 | _ | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|------------|--------|
| | | | Popula | ntion Estimate | s (July 1 of Ye | ear) | | | | Gr | owth Rate | e in Non-I | arm Esta | blishmen | ts Per Cap | oita |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 Pre- Census | 2010 Post- Census | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 4,512,190 | 4,545,049 | 4,597,688 | 4,637,904 | 4,677,464 | 4,708,708 | 4,729,656 | 4,785,401 | 4,802,740 | 1.57% | -0.80% | 1.50% | 0.37% | -3.14% | -1.96% | -0.63% |
| Alaska | 661,569 | 669,488 | 677,325 | 682,297 | 688,125 | 698,473 | 708,862 | 714,146 | 722,718 | 0.86% | 0.23% | -0.33% | 0.75% | -2.39% | -1.41% | -0.68% |
| Arizona | 5,759,425 | 5,974,834 | 6,192,100 | 6,362,241 | 6,499,377 | 6,595,778 | 6,676,627 | 6,413,158 | 6,482,505 | 3.12% | 5.32% | 3.23% | -0.70% | -6.96% | -5.94% | -1.35% |
| Arkansas | 2,746,161 | 2,776,221 | 2,815,097 | 2,842,194 | 2,867,764 | 2,889,450 | 2,910,236 | 2,921,588 | 2,937,979 | 1.70% | 3.42% | 1.16% | 2.56% | -0.59% | -1.55% | 0.07% |
| California | 35,558,419 | 35,795,255 | 35,979,208 | 36,226,122 | 36,580,371 | 36,961,664 | 37,266,600 | 37,338,198 | 37,691,912 | 1.75% | 3.07% | 1.45% | 1.61% | -0.16% | -1.22% | 1.97% |
| Colorado | 4,599,681 | 4,660,780 | 4,753,044 | 4,842,259 | 4,935,213 | 5,024,748 | 5,095,309 | 5,047,692 | 5,116,796 | 2.66% | 1.09% | 0.31% | -1.90% | -3.09% | -3.88% | -2.61% |
| Connecticut | 3,474,610 | 3,477,416 | 3,485,162 | 3,488,633 | 3,502,932 | 3,518,288 | 3,526,937 | 3,575,498 | 3,580,709 | 0.98% | 0.89% | 0.97% | 0.24% | -1.03% | -1.41% | -0.60% |
| Delaware | 826,639 | 839,906 | 853,022 | 864,896 | 876,211 | 885,122 | 891,464 | 899,792 | 907,135 | 2.05% | -1.71% | 0.97% | -3.83% | -3.82% | -3.16% | -1.79% |
| Florida | 17,375,259 | 17,783,868 | 18,088,505 | 18,277,888 | 18,423,878 | 18,537,969 | 18,678,049 | 18,838,613 | 19,057,542 | 4.18% | 2.64% | 2.34% | 2.01% | -3.81% | -1.84% | -1.37% |
| Georgia | 8,913,676 | 9,097,428 | 9,330,086 | 9,533,761 | 9,697,838 | 9,829,211 | 9,908,357 | 9,712,157 | 9,815,210 | 0.73% | 0.52% | 1.13% | -0.01% | -2.65% | -2.41% | -1.57% |
| Hawaii | 1,252,782 | 1,266,117 | 1,275,599 | 1,276,832 | 1,287,481 | 1,295,178 | 1,300,086 | 1,363,359 | 1,374,810 | 1.65% | 0.72% | 3.85% | 0.25% | 0.00% | -1.63% | -1.29% |
| Idaho | 1,391,718 | 1,425,862 | 1,464,413 | 1,499,245 | 1,527,506 | 1,545,801 | 1,559,796 | 1,571,102 | 1,584,985 | 3.25% | 2.88% | 1.10% | -1.38% | -2.23% | -3.42% | -2.61% |
| Illinois | 12,645,295 | 12,674,452 | 12,718,011 | 12,779,417 | 12,842,954 | 12,910,409 | 12,944,410 | 12,841,980 | 12,869,257 | 2.90% | 2.94% | 2.38% | 1.86% | 0.78% | 0.86% | 1.54% |
| Indiana | 6,214,454 | 6,253,120 | 6,301,700 | 6,346,113 | 6,388,309 | 6,423,113 | 6,445,295 | 6,490,622 | 6,516,922 | -0.23% | 0.60% | 1.07% | 0.44% | -0.99% | -1.47% | 0.04% |
| Iowa | 2,941,358 | 2,949,450 | 2,964,391 | 2,978,719 | 2,993,987 | 3,007,856 | 3,023,081 | 3,050,202 | 3,062,309 | 0.02% | 0.51% | 0.23% | -0.02% | -0.18% | -0.83% | -1.41% |
| Kansas | 2,730,765 | 2,741,771 | 2,755,700 | 2,775,586 | 2,797,375 | 2,818,747 | 2,841,121 | 2,859,143 | 2,871,238 | 1.49% | 0.14% | 0.65% | 0.88% | 0.27% | -0.70% | 0.74% |
| Kentucky | 4,147,970 | 4,182,293 | 4,219,374 | 4,256,278 | 4,287,931 | 4,314,113 | 4,339,435 | 4,347,223 | 4,369,356 | -0.08% | 3.32% | 2.73% | -3.79% | -3.80% | 0.75% | -0.69% |
| Louisiana | 4,489,327 | 4,497,691 | 4,240,327 | 4,376,122 | 4,451,513 | 4,492,076 | 4,529,426 | 4,545,343 | 4,574,836 | 2.91% | 8.08% | -4.32% | 1.56% | -0.24% | 2.52% | -2.91% |
| Maine | 1,308,253 | 1,311,631 | 1,314,963 | 1,317,308 | 1,319,691 | 1,318,301 | 1,312,939 | 1,327,379 | 1,328,188 | -2.87% | 1.97% | 1.65% | 1.32% | -0.84% | -2.41% | 0.41% |
| Maryland | 5,542,659 | 5,582,520 | 5,612,196 | 5,634,242 | 5,658,655 | 5,699,478 | 5,737,274 | 5,785,681 | 5,828,289 | 1.87% | 1.27% | 2.08% | -1.14% | -1.61% | -1.55% | 0.38% |
| Massachusetts | 6,451,279 | 6,453,031 | 6,466,399 | 6,499,275 | 6,543,595 | 6,593,587 | 6,631,280 | 6,555,466 | 6,587,536 | 1.97% | -3.45% | 0.80% | 0.48% | -0.66% | 1.64% | 4.02% |
| Michigan | 10,089,305 | 10,090,554 | 10,082,438 | 10,050,847 | 10,002,486 | 9,969,727 | 9,931,235 | 9,877,143 | 9,876,187 | 0.40% | 1.40% | -0.58% | 1.13% | -1.14% | -1.77% | -2.57% |
| Minnesota | 5,079,344 | 5,106,560 | 5,148,346 | 5,191,206 | 5,230,567 | 5,266,214 | 5,290,447 | 5,310,658 | 5,344,861 | 3.67% | 1.22% | -0.60% | -0.04% | -2.50% | -1.84% | 0.62% |
| Mississippi | 2,886,006 | 2,900,116 | 2,897,150 | 2,921,723 | 2,940,212 | 2,951,996 | 2,960,467 | 2,970,072 | 2,978,512 | 1.35% | 1.78% | 0.90% | 0.73% | -0.67% | -2.02% | -0.89% |
| Missouri | 5,758,444 | 5,806,639 | 5,861,572 | 5,909,824 | 5,956,335 | 5,987,580 | 6,011,741 | 5,995,715 | 6,010,688 | 0.36% | 0.23% | 0.90% | -0.44% | -1.48% | -0.60% | 0.26% |

Table A.2 (Continued)

Growth Rate of Non-Farm Establishments Per Person 2005-2011

| | | | Рор | ulation Estima | ates (July 1 of \ | /ear) | | | | (| Frowth Ra | te in Non-F | arm Estal | olishments | Per Capit | а |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------|
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 Pre- Census | 2010 Post- Census | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 925,887 | 934,801 | 946,230 | 957,225 | 968,035 | 974,989 | 980,152 | 990,958 | 998,199 | -4.51% | 1.76% | 2.12% | 0.31% | -1.31% | -1.89% | -1.08% |
| Nebraska | 1,742,184 | 1,751,721 | 1,760,435 | 1,769,912 | 1,781,949 | 1,796,619 | 1,811,072 | 1,830,141 | 1,842,641 | 2.33% | 1.10% | 1.27% | 0.82% | -0.59% | -0.95% | -0.15% |
| Nevada | 2,328,703 | 2,408,804 | 2,493,405 | 2,567,752 | 2,615,772 | 2,643,085 | 2,654,751 | 2,704,283 | 2,723,322 | 1.95% | 3.42% | 1.66% | 0.88% | -2.26% | -4.83% | -2.76% |
| New Hampshire | 1,292,766 | 1,301,415 | 1,311,894 | 1,317,343 | 1,321,872 | 1,324,575 | 1,323,531 | 1,316,807 | 1,318,194 | 0.01% | 1.16% | 0.64% | 0.22% | -1.20% | -1.45% | -0.57% |
| New Jersey | 8,611,530 | 8,621,837 | 8,623,721 | 8,636,043 | 8,663,398 | 8,707,739 | 8,732,811 | 8,799,593 | 8,821,155 | 2.35% | 1.09% | -1.49% | 0.22% | -2.74% | 0.04% | -0.55% |
| New Mexico | 1,891,829 | 1,916,538 | 1,942,608 | 1,968,731 | 1,986,763 | 2,009,671 | 2,033,875 | 2,065,913 | 2,082,224 | 0.26% | 2.48% | 0.63% | 0.62% | -1.72% | -0.67% | 0.52% |
| New York | 19,297,933 | 19,330,891 | 19,356,564 | 19,422,777 | 19,467,789 | 19,541,453 | 19,577,730 | 19,395,206 | 19,465,197 | 1.35% | 1.29% | 0.84% | 0.87% | -0.22% | 0.17% | 1.00% |
| North Carolina | 8,531,283 | 8,669,452 | 8,866,977 | 9,064,074 | 9,247,134 | 9,380,884 | 9,458,888 | 9,560,234 | 9,656,401 | 0.59% | 2.09% | 1.34% | 0.04% | -2.69% | -2.12% | 0.02% |
| North Dakota | 636,303 | 635,365 | 636,771 | 638,202 | 641,421 | 646,844 | 653,778 | 674,629 | 683,932 | 2.64% | -0.10% | -0.51% | 1.54% | -0.44% | 0.11% | 2.66% |
| Ohio | 11,464,593 | 11,475,262 | 11,492,495 | 11,520,815 | 11,528,072 | 11,542,645 | 11,532,111 | 11,537,968 | 11,544,951 | 0.71% | 0.10% | 0.53% | 0.50% | -1.33% | -1.67% | 0.75% |
| Oklahoma | 3,514,449 | 3,532,769 | 3,574,334 | 3,612,186 | 3,644,025 | 3,687,050 | 3,724,447 | 3,760,184 | 3,791,508 | 2.01% | 1.04% | 1.83% | 0.69% | -1.16% | 0.06% | -0.42% |
| Oregon | 3,573,505 | 3,617,869 | 3,677,545 | 3,732,957 | 3,782,991 | 3,825,657 | 3,855,536 | 3,838,332 | 3,871,859 | 3.02% | 3.01% | 0.93% | -3.09% | -2.24% | -0.85% | 0.96% |
| Pennsylvania | 12,388,368 | 12,418,161 | 12,471,142 | 12,522,531 | 12,566,368 | 12,604,767 | 12,632,780 | 12,717,722 | 12,742,886 | 0.86% | 0.26% | 1.24% | 0.55% | -0.59% | -0.65% | 1.26% |
| Rhode Island | 1,071,414 | 1,064,989 | 1,060,196 | 1,055,009 | 1,053,502 | 1,053,209 | 1,056,870 | 1,052,528 | 1,051,302 | 2.28% | 1.31% | 0.95% | -0.83% | -0.87% | -1.50% | -0.21% |
| South Carolina | 4,201,306 | 4,256,199 | 4,339,399 | 4,424,232 | 4,503,280 | 4,561,242 | 4,596,958 | 4,637,106 | 4,679,230 | 4.39% | 6.62% | -11.25% | -0.58% | -4.17% | -3.98% | -1.50% |
| South Dakota | 774,283 | 780,084 | 788,519 | 797,035 | 804,532 | 812,383 | 820,077 | 816,598 | 824,082 | 1.56% | 0.85% | 0.21% | 0.30% | -0.34% | -0.64% | -0.42% |
| Tennessee | 5,916,762 | 5,995,748 | 6,089,453 | 6,172,862 | 6,240,456 | 6,296,254 | 6,338,112 | 6,357,436 | 6,403,353 | 0.43% | 1.83% | 2.11% | 0.42% | -2.05% | -2.27% | -1.26% |
| Texas | 22,418,319 | 22,801,920 | 23,369,024 | 23,837,701 | 24,304,290 | 24,782,302 | 25,213,445 | 25,253,466 | 25,674,681 | 0.23% | 0.18% | 3.90% | -2.71% | -1.58% | -0.98% | 0.02% |
| Utah | 2,438,915 | 2,499,637 | 2,583,724 | 2,663,796 | 2,727,343 | 2,784,572 | 2,830,753 | 2,775,479 | 2,817,222 | 3.93% | 2.19% | -2.20% | -1.58% | -3.59% | -4.51% | -1.44% |
| Vermont | 618,145 | 618,814 | 619,985 | 620,460 | 621,049 | 621,760 | 622,433 | 625,909 | 626,431 | 0.81% | 0.44% | 1.32% | 0.19% | -1.06% | -2.10% | -0.45% |
| Virginia | 7,468,914 | 7,563,887 | 7,646,996 | 7,719,749 | 7,795,424 | 7,882,590 | 7,952,119 | 8,023,953 | 8,096,604 | 2.62% | 0.47% | 3.77% | 0.67% | -1.39% | -0.95% | 0.02% |
| Washington | 6,184,289 | 6,261,282 | 6,372,243 | 6,464,979 | 6,566,073 | 6,664,195 | 6,746,199 | 6,742,950 | 6,830,038 | -2.30% | 0.22% | 0.70% | -0.37% | -0.28% | 1.58% | -0.95% |
| West Virginia | 1,803,302 | 1,803,920 | 1,807,237 | 1,811,198 | 1,814,873 | 1,819,777 | 1,825,513 | 1,854,368 | 1,855,364 | 0.59% | -0.07% | 0.55% | -0.35% | -0.90% | -0.55% | 0.45% |
| Wisconsin | 5,511,385 | 5,541,443 | 5,571,680 | 5,601,571 | 5,627,610 | 5,654,774 | 5,668,519 | 5,691,659 | 5,711,767 | 1.85% | -0.89% | -1.37% | -0.11% | -2.49% | -1.22% | 1.00% |
| Wyoming | 502,988 | 506,242 | 512,841 | 523,414 | 532,981 | 544,270 | 547,637 | 564,554 | 568,158 | 2.52% | 2.33% | 0.34% | 0.59% | -1.79% | -1.12% | -0.38% |

Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce

Note: For consistency in comparison, 2010 growth rate estimates were calculated utilizing pre-Census population estimates for 2010

Table A-3

| | | | | Establi | isriments Birt | iisnment Biri | ths Per Person | 2005-2011 | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 1 | | stablishment E | | | | | | | | nent Births Pe | | | |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 10,640 | 10,579 | 10,926 | 10,110 | 8,133 | 8,766 | 8,653 | 0.00234 | 0.00230 | 0.00236 | 0.00216 | 0.00173 | 0.00183 | 0.00180 |
| Alaska | 2,072 | 1,967 | 1,957 | 1,999 | 1,876 | 1,863 | 1,822 | 0.00309 | 0.00290 | 0.00287 | 0.00290 | 0.00269 | 0.00261 | 0.00252 |
| Arizona | 18,921 | 21,060 | 18,645 | 16,978 | 15,804 | 14,865 | 14,970 | 0.00317 | 0.00340 | 0.00293 | 0.00261 | 0.00240 | 0.00232 | 0.00231 |
| Arkansas | 7,649 | 9,592 | 7,802 | 8,658 | 6,946 | 7,520 | 7,360 | 0.00276 | 0.00341 | 0.00275 | 0.00302 | 0.00240 | 0.00257 | 0.00251 |
| California | 103,200 | 103,037 | 100,593 | 95,999 | 81,095 | 87,347 | 89,258 | 0.00288 | 0.00286 | 0.00278 | 0.00262 | 0.00219 | 0.00234 | 0.00237 |
| Colorado | 21,132 | 21,118 | 21,283 | 20,443 | 17,087 | 16,740 | 17,568 | 0.00453 | 0.00444 | 0.00440 | 0.00414 | 0.00340 | 0.00332 | 0.00343 |
| Connecticut | 8,453 | 8,907 | 8,734 | 8,295 | 6,918 | 7,296 | 7,350 | 0.00243 | 0.00256 | 0.00250 | 0.00237 | 0.00197 | 0.00204 | 0.00205 |
| Delaware | 2,930 | 3,383 | 2,955 | 2,986 | 2,370 | 2,690 | 2,720 | 0.00349 | 0.00397 | 0.00342 | 0.00341 | 0.00268 | 0.00299 | 0.00300 |
| Florida | 84,374 | 84,380 | 80,907 | 73,480 | 65,036 | 66,736 | 68,820 | 0.00474 | 0.00466 | 0.00443 | 0.00399 | 0.00351 | 0.00354 | 0.00361 |
| Georgia | 30,719 | 29,882 | 30,017 | 28,920 | 23,850 | 25,199 | 25,607 | 0.00338 | 0.00320 | 0.00315 | 0.00298 | 0.00243 | 0.00259 | 0.00261 |
| Hawaii | 3,276 | 3,303 | 3,283 | 3,158 | 2,623 | 2,899 | 2,813 | 0.00259 | 0.00259 | 0.00257 | 0.00245 | 0.00203 | 0.00213 | 0.00205 |
| Idaho | 6,577 | 7,121 | 6,440 | 5,709 | 4,624 | 4,822 | 4,922 | 0.00461 | 0.00486 | 0.00430 | 0.00374 | 0.00299 | 0.00307 | 0.00311 |
| Illinois | 32,120 | 32,041 | 29,171 | 30,053 | 26,981 | 27,724 | 30,169 | 0.00253 | 0.00252 | 0.00228 | 0.00234 | 0.00209 | 0.00216 | 0.00234 |
| Indiana | 14,127 | 13,266 | 13,551 | 13,248 | 10,660 | 11,284 | 13,277 | 0.00226 | 0.00211 | 0.00214 | 0.00207 | 0.00166 | 0.00174 | 0.00204 |
| Iowa | 7,116 | 7,109 | 6,973 | 6,688 | 5,903 | 4,723 | 6,193 | 0.00241 | 0.00240 | 0.00234 | 0.00223 | 0.00196 | 0.00155 | 0.00202 |
| Kansas | 7,223 | 7,322 | 7,138 | 7,363 | 6,759 | 6,015 | 7,116 | 0.00263 | 0.00266 | 0.00257 | 0.00263 | 0.00240 | 0.00210 | 0.00248 |
| Kentucky | 9,304 | 8,334 | 9,522 | 8,845 | 7,344 | 7,599 | 7,694 | 0.00222 | 0.00198 | 0.00224 | 0.00206 | 0.00170 | 0.00175 | 0.00176 |
| Louisiana | 10,132 | 11,495 | 11,894 | 10,676 | 9,489 | 9,482 | 9,516 | 0.00225 | 0.00271 | 0.00272 | 0.00240 | 0.00211 | 0.00209 | 0.00208 |
| Maine | 4,322 | 4,445 | 4,240 | 4,132 | 3,784 | 3,788 | 3,764 | 0.00330 | 0.00338 | 0.00322 | 0.00313 | 0.00287 | 0.00285 | 0.00283 |
| Maryland | 17,056 | 16,344 | 15,196 | 15,403 | 13,783 | 13,912 | 14,407 | 0.00306 | 0.00291 | 0.00270 | 0.00272 | 0.00242 | 0.00240 | 0.00247 |
| Massachusetts | 17,938 | 16,493 | 16,414 | 15,334 | 13,257 | 14,020 | 15,578 | 0.00278 | 0.00255 | 0.00253 | 0.00234 | 0.00201 | 0.00214 | 0.00236 |
| Michigan | 23,751 | 21,513 | 20,974 | 20,327 | 19,425 | 17,871 | 19,378 | 0.00235 | 0.00213 | 0.00209 | 0.00203 | 0.00195 | 0.00181 | 0.00196 |
| Minnesota | 11,549 | 14,222 | 16,520 | 12,505 | 12,058 | 10,391 | 14,680 | 0.00226 | 0.00276 | 0.00318 | 0.00239 | 0.00229 | 0.00196 | 0.00275 |
| Mississippi | 5,956 | 6,902 | 6,685 | 5,914 | 4,944 | 5,281 | 5,215 | 0.00205 | 0.00238 | 0.00229 | 0.00201 | 0.00167 | 0.00178 | 0.00175 |
| Missouri | 14,796 | 15,200 | 14,545 | 12,222 | 12,832 | 11,729 | 12,439 | 0.00255 | 0.00259 | 0.00246 | 0.00205 | 0.00214 | 0.00196 | 0.00207 |

| Table A.3 (Continued) |
|-----------------------|
| |

| | Establishments Births and Establishment Births Per Person 2005-2011 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | Establishment Births | | | | | | | | Establishment Births Per Person | | | | | | |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | |
| Montana | 4,283 | 4,316 | 4,246 | 3,789 | 3,373 | 3,232 | 3,587 | 0.00458 | 0.00456 | 0.00444 | 0.00391 | 0.00346 | 0.00326 | 0.00359 | |
| Nebraska | 4,870 | 4,758 | 4,709 | 4,818 | 4,237 | 4,317 | 4,624 | 0.00278 | 0.00270 | 0.00266 | 0.00270 | 0.00236 | 0.00236 | 0.00251 | |
| Nevada | 9,849 | 9,787 | 9,699 | 9,089 | 7,497 | 7,640 | 7,901 | 0.00409 | 0.00393 | 0.00378 | 0.00347 | 0.00284 | 0.00283 | 0.00290 | |
| New Hampshire | 4,846 | 5,017 | 4,660 | 4,696 | 3,888 | 4,246 | 4,232 | 0.00372 | 0.00382 | 0.00354 | 0.00355 | 0.00294 | 0.00322 | 0.00321 | |
| New Jersey | 31,145 | 30,378 | 28,961 | 27,534 | 24,080 | 23,965 | 25,968 | 0.00361 | 0.00352 | 0.00335 | 0.00318 | 0.00277 | 0.00272 | 0.00294 | |
| New Mexico | 5,593 | 5,970 | 5,889 | 5,657 | 4,767 | 4,770 | 4,822 | 0.00292 | 0.00307 | 0.00299 | 0.00285 | 0.00237 | 0.00231 | 0.00232 | |
| New York | 54,961 | 55,655 | 55,176 | 53,341 | 49,145 | 52,559 | 56,921 | 0.00284 | 0.00288 | 0.00284 | 0.00274 | 0.00251 | 0.00271 | 0.00292 | |
| North Carolina | 21,536 | 24,600 | 32,851 | 24,823 | 20,370 | 21,765 | 23,230 | 0.00248 | 0.00277 | 0.00362 | 0.00268 | 0.00217 | 0.00228 | 0.00241 | |
| North Dakota | 1,903 | 2,065 | 2,043 | 2,027 | 1,747 | 2,079 | 2,897 | 0.00300 | 0.00324 | 0.00320 | 0.00316 | 0.00270 | 0.00308 | 0.00424 | |
| Ohio | 23,264 | 22,121 | 23,091 | 21,062 | 17,638 | 18,366 | 20,902 | 0.00203 | 0.00192 | 0.00200 | 0.00183 | 0.00153 | 0.00159 | 0.00181 | |
| Oklahoma | 9,025 | 10,215 | 9,594 | 9,011 | 8,411 | 8,955 | 8,306 | 0.00255 | 0.00286 | 0.00266 | 0.00247 | 0.00228 | 0.00238 | 0.00219 | |
| Oregon | 12,810 | 13,240 | 13,005 | 12,176 | 10,489 | 11,549 | 11,093 | 0.00354 | 0.00360 | 0.00348 | 0.00322 | 0.00274 | 0.00301 | 0.00287 | |
| Pennsylvania | 32,141 | 33,079 | 28,332 | 28,956 | 26,281 | 27,174 | 26,135 | 0.00259 | 0.00265 | 0.00226 | 0.00230 | 0.00209 | 0.00214 | 0.00205 | |
| Rhode Island | 3,674 | 3,410 | 3,361 | 3,184 | 2,768 | 3,031 | 3,180 | 0.00345 | 0.00322 | 0.00319 | 0.00302 | 0.00263 | 0.00288 | 0.00302 | |
| South Carolina | 10,972 | 12,607 | 12,156 | 11,068 | 9,087 | 9,178 | 9,856 | 0.00258 | 0.00291 | 0.00275 | 0.00246 | 0.00199 | 0.00198 | 0.00211 | |
| South Dakota | 2,442 | 2,451 | 2,234 | 2,328 | 2,126 | 2,213 | 2,313 | 0.00313 | 0.00311 | 0.00280 | 0.00289 | 0.00262 | 0.00271 | 0.00281 | |
| Tennessee | 13,946 | 14,086 | 14,101 | 13,507 | 10,984 | 11,770 | 11,657 | 0.00233 | 0.00231 | 0.00228 | 0.00216 | 0.00174 | 0.00185 | 0.00182 | |
| Texas | 54,747 | 57,856 | 56,419 | 57,223 | 50,346 | 51,660 | 54,239 | 0.00240 | 0.00248 | 0.00237 | 0.00235 | 0.00203 | 0.00205 | 0.00211 | |
| Utah | 11,163 | 11,947 | 11,776 | 10,151 | 8,663 | 8,599 | 9,371 | 0.00447 | 0.00462 | 0.00442 | 0.00372 | 0.00311 | 0.00310 | 0.00333 | |
| Vermont | 1,769 | 1,895 | 1,927 | 1,903 | 1,689 | 1,714 | 1,818 | 0.00286 | 0.00306 | 0.00311 | 0.00306 | 0.00272 | 0.00274 | 0.00290 | |
| Virginia | 22,357 | 22,123 | 25,410 | 23,788 | 22,011 | 22,218 | 23,240 | 0.00296 | 0.00289 | 0.00329 | 0.00305 | 0.00279 | 0.00277 | 0.00287 | |
| Washington | 18,183 | 18,632 | 18,421 | 18,377 | 15,080 | 15,162 | 16,304 | 0.00290 | 0.00292 | 0.00285 | 0.00280 | 0.00226 | 0.00225 | 0.00239 | |
| West Virginia | 4,036 | 4,007 | 3,724 | 3,535 | 3,202 | 3,276 | 3,477 | 0.00224 | 0.00222 | 0.00206 | 0.00195 | 0.00176 | 0.00177 | 0.00187 | |
| Wisconsin | 11,706 | 12,321 | 10,992 | 10,986 | 9,056 | 9,678 | 10,202 | 0.00211 | 0.00221 | 0.00196 | 0.00195 | 0.00160 | 0.00170 | 0.00179 | |
| Wyoming | 2,406 | 2,617 | 2,591 | 2,521 | 2,055 | 2,231 | 2,132 | 0.00475 | 0.00510 | 0.00495 | 0.00473 | 0.00378 | 0.00395 | 0.00375 | |

Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce

| | | | | | | Table A. | 4 | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | Patents | and Patent | s Per Thous | and Person | s 2005-201 | 11 | | | | | |
| Patents | | | | | | | Patents Per Thousand Persons | | | | | | | |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Alabama | 359 | 429 | 358 | 354 | 377 | 538 | 416 | 0.07899 | 0.09331 | 0.07719 | 0.07568 | 0.08006 | 0.11243 | 0.08662 |
| Alaska | 36 | 45 | 24 | 28 | 55 | 33 | 32 | 0.05377 | 0.06644 | 0.03518 | 0.04069 | 0.07874 | 0.04621 | 0.04428 |
| Arizona | 1,598 | 1,893 | 1,826 | 1,892 | 1,759 | 2,169 | 2,248 | 0.26746 | 0.30571 | 0.28701 | 0.29110 | 0.26669 | 0.33821 | 0.34678 |
| Arkansas | 153 | 185 | 169 | 152 | 154 | 216 | 188 | 0.05511 | 0.06572 | 0.05946 | 0.05300 | 0.05330 | 0.07393 | 0.06399 |
| California | 19,656 | 25,039 | 22,594 | 22,200 | 23,354 | 30,076 | 30,748 | 0.54912 | 0.69593 | 0.62369 | 0.60688 | 0.63184 | 0.80550 | 0.81577 |
| Colorado | 1,972 | 2,349 | 1,983 | 1,868 | 1,968 | 2,435 | 2,397 | 0.42311 | 0.49421 | 0.40952 | 0.37850 | 0.39166 | 0.48240 | 0.46846 |
| Connecticut | 1,677 | 1,857 | 1,611 | 1,595 | 1,661 | 2,111 | 2,121 | 0.48225 | 0.53283 | 0.46179 | 0.45533 | 0.47210 | 0.59041 | 0.59234 |
| Delaware | 341 | 396 | 359 | 353 | 342 | 391 | 457 | 0.40600 | 0.46423 | 0.41508 | 0.40287 | 0.38639 | 0.43454 | 0.50378 |
| Florida | 2,707 | 3,261 | 3,092 | 2,808 | 2,899 | 3,723 | 3,841 | 0.15222 | 0.18028 | 0.16917 | 0.15241 | 0.15638 | 0.19763 | 0.20155 |
| Georgia | 1,358 | 1,719 | 1,580 | 1,585 | 1,666 | 2,194 | 2,206 | 0.14927 | 0.18424 | 0.16573 | 0.16344 | 0.16949 | 0.22590 | 0.22475 |
| Hawaii | 58 | 101 | 82 | 101 | 96 | 144 | 128 | 0.04581 | 0.07918 | 0.06422 | 0.07845 | 0.07412 | 0.10562 | 0.09310 |
| Idaho | 1,549 | 1,717 | 1,388 | 1,207 | 985 | 1,162 | 1,089 | 1.08636 | 1.17248 | 0.92580 | 0.79018 | 0.63721 | 0.73961 | 0.68707 |
| Illinois | 3,297 | 4,053 | 3,711 | 3,580 | 3,615 | 4,374 | 4,594 | 0.26013 | 0.31868 | 0.29039 | 0.27875 | 0.28001 | 0.34060 | 0.35697 |
| Indiana | 1,246 | 1,499 | 1,381 | 1,195 | 1,246 | 1,697 | 1,633 | 0.19926 | 0.23787 | 0.21761 | 0.18706 | 0.19399 | 0.26145 | 0.25058 |
| Iowa | 629 | 732 | 664 | 644 | 730 | 809 | 856 | 0.21326 | 0.24693 | 0.22291 | 0.21510 | 0.24270 | 0.26523 | 0.27953 |
| Kansas | 455 | 581 | 523 | 543 | 509 | 728 | 805 | 0.16595 | 0.21084 | 0.18843 | 0.19411 | 0.18058 | 0.25462 | 0.28037 |
| Kentucky | 377 | 471 | 505 | 469 | 457 | 601 | 551 | 0.09014 | 0.11163 | 0.11865 | 0.10938 | 0.10593 | 0.13825 | 0.12611 |
| Louisiana | 298 | 365 | 294 | 322 | 315 | 355 | 368 | 0.06626 | 0.08608 | 0.06718 | 0.07233 | 0.07012 | 0.07810 | 0.08044 |
| Maine | 159 | 156 | 126 | 136 | 130 | 220 | 202 | 0.12122 | 0.11863 | 0.09565 | 0.10305 | 0.09861 | 0.16574 | 0.15209 |
| Maryland | 1,283 | 1,556 | 1,408 | 1,427 | 1,445 | 1,731 | 1,638 | 0.22982 | 0.27725 | 0.24990 | 0.25218 | 0.25353 | 0.29919 | 0.28104 |
| Massachusetts | 3,301 | 4,368 | 3,835 | 3,896 | 4,038 | 5,260 | 5,526 | 0.51154 | 0.67549 | 0.59007 | 0.59539 | 0.61241 | 0.80238 | 0.83886 |
| Michigan | 3,664 | 4,178 | 3,695 | 3,583 | 3,516 | 4,277 | 4,397 | 0.36311 | 0.41438 | 0.36763 | 0.35821 | 0.35267 | 0.43302 | 0.44521 |
| Minnesota | 2,582 | 3,268 | 2,920 | 2,869 | 2,972 | 4,005 | 4,227 | 0.50562 | 0.63477 | 0.56249 | 0.54851 | 0.56435 | 0.75414 | 0.79085 |
| Mississippi | 127 | 152 | 184 | 142 | 144 | 172 | 172 | 0.04379 | 0.05247 | 0.06298 | 0.04830 | 0.04878 | 0.05791 | 0.05775 |

1,003

1,140

Missouri

Table A.4 (Continued)

Patents and Patents Per Thousand Persons 2005-2011

| Patents | | | | | | | | Patents Per Thousand Persons | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| Montana | 119 | 136 | 131 | 115 | 91 | 118 | 114 | 0.12730 | 0.14373 | 0.13685 | 0.11880 | 0.09333 | 0.11908 | 0.11421 |
| Nebraska | 205 | 239 | 254 | 214 | 226 | 253 | 247 | 0.11703 | 0.13576 | 0.14351 | 0.12009 | 0.12579 | 0.13824 | 0.13405 |
| Nevada | 443 | 472 | 468 | 464 | 426 | 639 | 674 | 0.18391 | 0.18930 | 0.18226 | 0.17739 | 0.16118 | 0.23629 | 0.24749 |
| New Hampshire | 538 | 657 | 618 | 526 | 608 | 802 | 805 | 0.41340 | 0.50080 | 0.46913 | 0.39792 | 0.45902 | 0.60905 | 0.61068 |
| New Jersey | 2,868 | 3,627 | 3,152 | 3,247 | 3,259 | 4,345 | 4,277 | 0.33264 | 0.42058 | 0.36498 | 0.37480 | 0.37426 | 0.49377 | 0.48486 |
| New Mexico | 272 | 354 | 304 | 295 | 329 | 455 | 412 | 0.14192 | 0.18223 | 0.15441 | 0.14848 | 0.16371 | 0.22024 | 0.19787 |
| New York | 5,306 | 6,406 | 6,025 | 5,905 | 6,127 | 8,095 | 7,842 | 0.27448 | 0.33095 | 0.31020 | 0.30332 | 0.31354 | 0.41737 | 0.40287 |
| North Carolina | 1,839 | 2,232 | 2,007 | 2,157 | 2,298 | 2,922 | 2,884 | 0.21212 | 0.25172 | 0.22142 | 0.23326 | 0.24497 | 0.30564 | 0.29866 |
| North Dakota | 81 | 77 | 92 | 72 | 92 | 112 | 95 | 0.12749 | 0.12092 | 0.14415 | 0.11225 | 0.14223 | 0.16602 | 0.13890 |
| Ohio | 2,727 | 3,291 | 3,058 | 3,153 | 3,023 | 3,983 | 3,903 | 0.23764 | 0.28636 | 0.26543 | 0.27351 | 0.26190 | 0.34521 | 0.33807 |
| Oklahoma | 429 | 584 | 526 | 474 | 446 | 582 | 529 | 0.12143 | 0.16339 | 0.14562 | 0.13008 | 0.12096 | 0.15478 | 0.13952 |
| Oregon | 1,849 | 2,536 | 2,282 | 2,173 | 2,014 | 2,340 | 2,371 | 0.51107 | 0.68959 | 0.61131 | 0.57441 | 0.52645 | 0.60964 | 0.61237 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,546 | 3,186 | 2,986 | 2,850 | 3,066 | 3,887 | 3,652 | 0.20502 | 0.25547 | 0.23845 | 0.22680 | 0.24324 | 0.30564 | 0.28659 |
| Rhode Island | 312 | 354 | 380 | 303 | 305 | 354 | 351 | 0.29296 | 0.33390 | 0.36019 | 0.28761 | 0.28959 | 0.33633 | 0.33387 |
| South Carolina | 539 | 691 | 542 | 524 | 579 | 651 | 793 | 0.12664 | 0.15924 | 0.12251 | 0.11636 | 0.12694 | 0.14039 | 0.16947 |
| South Dakota | 68 | 79 | 66 | 60 | 56 | 82 | 106 | 0.08717 | 0.10019 | 0.08281 | 0.07458 | 0.06893 | 0.10042 | 0.12863 |
| Tennessee | 712 | 817 | 793 | 798 | 785 | 1,037 | 1,080 | 0.11875 | 0.13417 | 0.12847 | 0.12788 | 0.12468 | 0.16312 | 0.16866 |
| Texas | 5,524 | 6,716 | 6,228 | 6,184 | 6,436 | 8,026 | 8,044 | 0.24226 | 0.28739 | 0.26127 | 0.25444 | 0.25970 | 0.31782 | 0.31330 |
| Utah | 644 | 800 | 766 | 727 | 855 | 1,145 | 1,215 | 0.25764 | 0.30963 | 0.28756 | 0.26656 | 0.30705 | 0.41254 | 0.43128 |
| Vermont | 411 | 486 | 546 | 514 | 500 | 668 | 536 | 0.66417 | 0.78389 | 0.87999 | 0.82763 | 0.80417 | 1.06725 | 0.85564 |
| Virginia | 1,027 | 1,231 | 1,162 | 1,180 | 1,209 | 1,724 | 1,737 | 0.13578 | 0.16098 | 0.15052 | 0.15137 | 0.15338 | 0.21486 | 0.21453 |
| Washington | 2,482 | 3,620 | 3,894 | 4,158 | 4,856 | 5,809 | 5,266 | 0.39640 | 0.56809 | 0.60232 | 0.63326 | 0.72867 | 0.86149 | 0.77101 |
| West Virginia | 98 | 111 | 116 | 91 | 102 | 134 | 109 | 0.05433 | 0.06142 | 0.06405 | 0.05014 | 0.05605 | 0.07226 | 0.05875 |
| Wisconsin | 1,756 | 2,150 | 1,927 | 1,921 | 1,887 | 2,232 | 2,154 | 0.31688 | 0.38588 | 0.34401 | 0.34135 | 0.33370 | 0.39215 | 0.37712 |
| Wyoming | 55 | 56 | 62 | 41 | 64 | 89 | 74 | 0.10864 | 0.10920 | 0.11845 | 0.07693 | 0.11759 | 0.15765 | 0.13025 |

Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

| | Table A.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011* | | | | | | |
| Alabama | \$26,369 | \$27,173 | \$24,319 | \$23,574 | \$21,171 | \$21,409 | \$21,894 | | | | | | |
| Alaska | \$32,033 | \$33,548 | \$30,269 | \$29,184 | \$28,132 | \$30,486 | \$31,698 | | | | | | |
| Arizona | \$28,902 | \$29,962 | \$26,397 | \$25,412 | \$21,555 | \$23,795 | \$25,186 | | | | | | |
| Arkansas | \$25,419 | \$26,431 | \$22,944 | \$23,146 | \$20,206 | \$22,195 | \$23,507 | | | | | | |
| California | \$38,939 | \$40,455 | \$35,117 | \$33,020 | \$28,159 | \$30,416 | \$32,153 | | | | | | |
| Colorado | \$37,206 | \$39,115 | \$32,709 | \$32,070 | \$25,332 | \$27,129 | \$29,155 | | | | | | |
| Connecticut | \$43,204 | \$45,896 | \$40,877 | \$37,044 | \$34,253 | \$36,140 | \$38,237 | | | | | | |
| Delaware | \$30,115 | \$31,203 | \$27,968 | \$26,749 | \$23,130 | \$27,295 | \$28,843 | | | | | | |
| Florida | \$22,400 | \$22,842 | \$20,447 | \$18,980 | \$16,664 | \$18,130 | \$18,882 | | | | | | |
| Georgia | \$27,044 | \$26,933 | \$24,863 | \$22,842 | \$19,980 | \$20,733 | \$21,775 | | | | | | |
| Hawaii | \$24,982 | \$25,982 | \$23,133 | \$21,931 | \$19,443 | \$21,708 | \$22,722 | | | | | | |
| Idaho | \$24,857 | \$25,371 | \$22,997 | \$22,672 | \$20,121 | \$21,785 | \$22,640 | | | | | | |
| Illinois | \$35,482 | \$36,939 | \$32,008 | \$29,988 | \$25,433 | \$25,956 | \$27,700 | | | | | | |
| Indiana | \$28,221 | \$28,916 | \$26,527 | \$25,269 | \$21,647 | \$20,090 | \$21,420 | | | | | | |
| Iowa | \$24,773 | \$25,384 | \$24,570 | \$23,866 | \$22,021 | \$24,226 | \$25,318 | | | | | | |
| Kansas | \$29,720 | \$30,931 | \$27,805 | \$28,839 | \$22,515 | \$24,696 | \$26,295 | | | | | | |
| Kentucky | \$25,994 | \$26,524 | \$24,471 | \$24,181 | \$20,691 | \$18,785 | \$20,003 | | | | | | |
| Louisiana | \$32,488 | \$30,456 | \$28,971 | \$31,317 | \$23,627 | \$25,937 | \$27,923 | | | | | | |
| Maine | \$22,793 | \$23,571 | \$21,560 | \$19,666 | \$19,524 | \$20,792 | \$21,847 | | | | | | |
| Maryland | \$26,608 | \$27,285 | \$24,188 | \$25,223 | \$23,091 | \$25,214 | \$26,539 | | | | | | |
| Massachusetts | \$37,993 | \$39,730 | \$36,907 | \$32,418 | \$29,622 | \$32,417 | \$34,164 | | | | | | |
| Michigan | \$29,238 | \$28,889 | \$25,764 | \$21,868 | \$18,518 | \$20,855 | \$21,993 | | | | | | |
| Minnesota | \$26,296 | \$26,899 | \$24,457 | \$24,022 | \$20,942 | \$23,337 | \$24,742 | | | | | | |
| Mississippi | \$26,794 | \$26,657 | \$24,435 | \$24,643 | \$21,203 | \$22,849 | \$24,053 | | | | | | |
| Missouri | \$28,635 | \$29,717 | \$26,737 | \$28,605 | \$26,405 | \$29,011 | \$30,351 | | | | | | |

| Table A.5 (Continued) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Incom | ne Per Non-Far | m Proprietor | | | | | | | |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011* | | | | |
| Montana | \$24,718 | \$25,324 | \$22,206 | \$20,864 | \$17,773 | \$19,482 | \$20,506 | | | | |
| Nebraska | \$26,186 | \$26,824 | \$25,094 | \$25,573 | \$23,814 | \$25,829 | \$26,733 | | | | |
| Nevada | \$28,333 | \$28,669 | \$24,375 | \$22,778 | \$20,468 | \$23,184 | \$24,062 | | | | |
| New Hampshire | \$32,757 | \$34,961 | \$31,315 | \$27,972 | \$26,113 | \$28,441 | \$29,976 | | | | |
| New Jersey | \$39,908 | \$41,506 | \$38,250 | \$36,706 | \$32,915 | \$36,372 | \$38,284 | | | | |
| New Mexico | \$25,251 | \$26,245 | \$23,253 | \$23,054 | \$18,295 | \$20,589 | \$21,902 | | | | |
| New York | \$42,232 | \$45,599 | \$40,369 | \$39,175 | \$37,381 | \$40,520 | \$42,764 | | | | |
| North Carolina | \$24,338 | \$25,234 | \$22,199 | \$21,693 | \$19,101 | \$21,212 | \$22,148 | | | | |
| North Dakota | \$26,290 | \$26,388 | \$24,108 | \$23,641 | \$21,216 | \$25,052 | \$26,942 | | | | |
| Ohio | \$26,978 | \$27,607 | \$24,657 | \$25,491 | \$22,201 | \$24,817 | \$26,411 | | | | |
| Oklahoma | \$36,330 | \$38,853 | \$34,783 | \$44,483 | \$24,289 | \$28,472 | \$31,628 | | | | |
| Oregon | \$25,753 | \$26,683 | \$23,849 | \$24,371 | \$20,846 | \$22,103 | \$23,485 | | | | |
| Pennsylvania | \$36,152 | \$37,383 | \$34,611 | \$34,404 | \$28,886 | \$31,403 | \$33,332 | | | | |
| Rhode Island | \$31,220 | \$31,928 | \$29,566 | \$27,432 | \$24,056 | \$25,048 | \$26,297 | | | | |
| South Carolina | \$19,860 | \$20,502 | \$18,289 | \$17,990 | \$15,597 | \$16,778 | \$17,539 | | | | |
| South Dakota | \$29,090 | \$28,745 | \$26,604 | \$24,674 | \$21,785 | \$24,343 | \$25,551 | | | | |
| Tennessee | \$37,506 | \$38,867 | \$35,681 | \$33,589 | \$31,578 | \$33,518 | \$35,381 | | | | |
| Texas | \$44,215 | \$45,520 | \$41,767 | \$46,685 | \$31,449 | \$35,086 | \$38,027 | | | | |
| Utah | \$22,464 | \$23,104 | \$20,742 | \$21,307 | \$18,230 | \$20,042 | \$21,190 | | | | |
| Vermont | \$21,337 | \$22,739 | \$19,976 | \$18,105 | \$16,913 | \$18,464 | \$19,143 | | | | |
| Virginia | \$30,295 | \$30,508 | \$27,379 | \$25,674 | \$21,960 | \$22,375 | \$23,631 | | | | |
| Washington | \$31,373 | \$33,274 | \$30,652 | \$30,025 | \$26,712 | \$26,217 | \$27,433 | | | | |
| West Virginia | \$28,466 | \$29,512 | \$27,338 | \$29,181 | \$22,922 | \$25,371 | \$27,031 | | | | |
| Wisconsin | \$26,115 | \$26,974 | \$24,371 | \$23,130 | \$21,722 | \$24,407 | \$25,417 | | | | |
| Wyoming | \$31,387 | \$32,709 | \$28,391 | \$29,847 | \$22,767 | \$25,400 | \$27,485 | | | | |

Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

*Note: 2011 is an estimate based on growth in proprietor income, 2011 proprietor count not available

Our Thanks ...

The Bureau of Business Research is grateful to The Jim and Penny Krieger Family Foundation for sponsoring the original research allowing us to develop the State Entrepreneurship Index.

Copyright 2012 by Bureau of Business Research, University of Nebraska-Lincoln. Business in Nebraska is published in four issues per year by the Bureau of Business Research. Inquiries should be directed to Bureau of Business Research, 347 CBA, University of Nebraska–Lincoln 68588-0406. See the latest edition of Business in Nebraska at http://www.bbr.unl.edu

Nebraska Lincoln BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH 347 CBA LINCOLN NE 68588-0406 http://www.bbr.unl.edu Nonprofit U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 46 Lincoln, Nebraska

Bureau of Business Research [BBR]

Specializes in ...

- → Studies of economic competitiveness
- → Economic modeling and forecasting
- → Labor market analysis
- → Fiscal analysis
- → Policy analysis

For more information on how BBR can assist you or your organization, contact us (402) 472-3318; send email to: ethompson2@unl.edu; or visit the website at: http://www.bbr.unl.edu