# Business in Nebraska 

# STATE ENTREPRENEURSHIP INDEX 

By Dr. Eric Thompson and Dr. William Walstad


nemployment rates and job growth are popular measures for state economies. However, these trends may differ from the state's performance in core measures of underlying economic strength such as entrepreneurship, net migration and capital formation. Given this, the University of Nebraska - Lincoln Bureau of Business Research seeks to track these core economic measures in Nebraska and in all U.S. states.

The State Entrepreneurship Index is one effort to track these core trends. Specifically, the index is used to track entrepreneurship in all 50 states. The index was initially developed by Eric C. Thompson and William B. Walstad in Entrepreneurship in Nebraska: Conditions, Attitudes, and Actions (Gallup Press, 2008). In the current study, we use that methodological approach to and calculate the value of the state entrepreneurship index for 2011 and 2012.

The index is composed of 5 components that consider the income of entrepreneurs, business formation rates, technological innovation, and growth in the number of entrepreneurs.

The five components of the State Entrepreneurship Index are:

- Percent growth in employer establishments
- Percent growth in employer establishments per person
- Business formation rate (i.e, establishment births per person)
- Patents per thousand persons
- Average income per non-farm proprietor

A separate index value is calculated for each of the 5 components. Each component index reflects how much each state's performance deviates from the median state. The state at the median gets a value of 1.0. A state with a value one standard deviation above the median gets a value of 2.0 , while a state one standard deviation below the median gets a value of 0.0. The overall entrepreneurship index is calculated by taking a simple average of the five component index values for each state.

The data utilized to calculate values for each of the 5 index components are presented in Table A. 1 through A. 5 in the appendix. Data are present from 2005 through 2012. Appendix A. 6 provides a history of State Entrepreneurship Index values. Analysis in the main text focuses on the two most recent years, 2011 and 2012.

Note that historic values for the State Entrepreneurship Index in 2011 and earlier years may differ due to periodic revisions in the underlying data by the U.S. Department of Labor, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Values also may differ because beginning with this edition of the index we now exclude private
household establishments from our estimate of employer establishments. State's treatment of home health aides and other home service providers differs widely in the employer data. Removing establishments classified in this industry improves the consistency of employer establishment data both across states and across years within individual states.

Table 1 illustrates component index values for all 50 states for the year 2012. The Table also shows the overall index value. Data on the number of employer establishments comes from the establishment counts in the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages developed by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics within the U.S. Department of Labor. The counts include all establishments that participate in their state unemployment insurance program. Data on the population of states, which is used in all per person measures, is from the U.S. Census based on estimated population on July 1, 2012. Data on firm births is from the Business Employment Dynamics database, also developed by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Data on patents is collected by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Data on non-farm proprietor income and proprietor counts for each U.S. state is from the Regional Economic Information System of the Bureau of Economic Analysis, which is part of the United States Department of Commerce.

Table 1 shows the ranking for all 50 states both for the state entrepreneurship index overall and for each of the 5 index components. The highest ranked states in the 2012 State Entrepreneurship Index are concentrated in the northern parts of the country. The five highest ranked states are North Dakota, New York, New Hampshire, Minnesota, and Oregon. We note that California, Connecticut, Texas, Pennsylvania, and Colorado also are among the Top 10. Texas is the only Southern state ranked in the Top 10. Its high rank in the State Entrepreneurship Index is consistent with its strong performance on other major indicators such as population and job growth.

North Dakota is the highest ranked state due to entrepreneurial activity related to the energy
boom in the state, in particular a high business formation rate and rapid growth in employer establishments. Other high ranked states tend to rank above the median state (index value greater than 1.0) for all or nearly all of the 5 index components. New York, the second ranked state, was above the median for all 5 components and well above the median for average income per non-farm proprietor. Third ranked New Hampshire also had above-median values for all 5 index components. Fourth ranked Minnesota and fifth ranked Oregon were above the median for 4 of the 5 components; all but income per non-farm proprietor. Texas, the only southern state in the top 10, also was above-median in 4 of 5 components. The business formation rate was the only below-median component.

Michigan was the lowest ranked state in the 2012 State Entrepreneurship Index. Michigan was well below the median value (index value less than $1.0 \%$ ) for 4 of 5 components. Michigan performed far below the median in establishment growth, business births and income per proprietor. Michigan, however, was above the median in patents per capita, reflecting the ongoing innovation in its economy. Mississippi, Kentucky, Alabama and South Carolina rounded out the bottom 5 states. Each of these states was below the median for all 5 components of the State Entrepreneurship Index.

States near the middle of the ranking often had mixed results, reflecting the unique strengths and weaknesses of each state. Tennessee was the $25^{\text {th }}$ ranked state and was well below the medianvalue for business births and patents per capita. But, Tennessee had very high income per proprietor. Wisconsin was the $26^{\text {th }}$ ranked state. Wisconsin was above the median value in patents per capita, reflecting the research strength of the University of Wisconsin and corporate headquarters in the state. However, Wisconsin struggled with business births and was near the median for other components. Nebraska was ranked $16^{\text {th }}$, reflecting strength in establishment growth, business births, and income per nonfarm proprietor but the state was well below-the median state in terms of patents per capita

| Table 1 <br> 2012 State Entrepreneurship Index Rank and Index Values for Each Subcomponent Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Growth in Establishments | Growth in Establishments Per Capita | Business Formation Rate | Patents Per <br> Thousand <br> Residents | Average Proprietor Income | Average Index Value | Rank |
| Alabama | 0.057 | 0.320 | 0.154 | 0.136 | 0.826 | 0.298 | 47 |
| Alaska | 1.377 | 1.319 | 1.375 | -0.018 | 2.267 | 1.264 | 19 |
| Arizona | 1.758 | 1.541 | 0.784 | 1.250 | 0.679 | 1.203 | 22 |
| Arkansas | -0.162 | 0.091 | 1.069 | 0.057 | 0.533 | 0.318 | 45 |
| California | 1.096 | 1.077 | 1.002 | 3.584 | 1.755 | 1.703 | 6 |
| Colorado | 0.750 | 0.371 | 2.618 | 1.961 | 1.844 | 1.509 | 10 |
| Connecticut | 0.429 | 0.943 | 0.611 | 2.431 | 3.469 | 1.577 | 7 |
| Delaware | -1.131 | -1.441 | 1.736 | 1.960 | 1.263 | 0.478 | 43 |
| Florida | 1.755 | 1.607 | 2.838 | 0.694 | -0.143 | 1.350 | 15 |
| Georgia | 1.035 | 0.903 | 1.287 | 0.785 | 0.316 | 0.865 | 33 |
| Hawaii | 0.803 | 0.691 | 0.429 | 0.114 | 0.374 | 0.482 | 42 |
| Idaho | -0.586 | -0.669 | 2.130 | 2.320 | 0.508 | 0.741 | 38 |
| Illinois | 1.304 | 1.916 | 0.644 | 1.389 | 1.574 | 1.365 | 14 |
| Indiana | 0.701 | 1.088 | 0.102 | 0.990 | 0.952 | 0.767 | 37 |
| lowa | 1.202 | 1.648 | 0.998 | 0.977 | 1.198 | 1.205 | 21 |
| Kansas | -0.840 | -0.803 | 0.973 | 1.333 | 1.325 | 0.398 | 44 |
| Kentucky | -0.149 | 0.139 | 0.180 | 0.316 | 0.734 | 0.244 | 48 |
| Louisiana | 1.185 | 1.436 | 0.498 | 0.125 | 1.418 | 0.933 | 31 |
| Maine | 0.403 | 0.952 | 1.499 | 0.424 | 0.256 | 0.707 | 39 |
| Maryland | 0.388 | 0.413 | 1.178 | 0.952 | 1.165 | 0.819 | 35 |
| Massachusetts | -0.585 | -0.553 | 0.750 | 3.624 | 2.721 | 1.191 | 23 |
| Michigan | -0.925 | -0.561 | 0.057 | 1.862 | 0.227 | 0.132 | 50 |
| Minnesota | 1.902 | 2.239 | 0.795 | 3.092 | 0.708 | 1.747 | 4 |
| Mississippi | 0.104 | 0.467 | -0.111 | -0.056 | 0.684 | 0.218 | 49 |
| Missouri | 0.601 | 1.053 | 0.546 | 0.536 | 1.513 | 0.850 | 34 |
| Montana | 0.855 | 0.951 | 3.105 | 0.277 | 0.021 | 1.042 | 27 |
| Nebraska | 1.671 | 1.887 | 1.169 | 0.484 | 1.505 | 1.343 | 16 |
| Nevada | 1.092 | 0.726 | 1.777 | 1.072 | 0.470 | 1.027 | 28 |
| New Hampshire | 1.301 | 1.839 | 2.262 | 2.258 | 1.793 | 1.891 | 3 |
| New Jersey | -0.929 | -0.758 | 1.643 | 1.945 | 2.890 | 0.958 | 29 |
| New Mexico | 0.595 | 0.964 | 0.849 | 0.619 | 0.078 | 0.621 | 41 |
| New York | 1.250 | 1.685 | 1.603 | 1.571 | 4.180 | 2.058 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 1.267 | 1.197 | 0.870 | 1.131 | 0.315 | 0.956 | 30 |
| North Dakota | 5.098 | 4.626 | 5.239 | 0.309 | 0.888 | 3.232 | 1 |
| Ohio | 0.315 | 0.868 | 0.038 | 1.227 | 0.931 | 0.676 | 40 |
| Oklahoma | 1.337 | 1.447 | 0.650 | 0.295 | 2.485 | 1.243 | 20 |
| Oregon | 1.983 | 2.175 | 1.600 | 2.396 | 0.543 | 1.739 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | 1.360 | 1.955 | 1.257 | 1.010 | 2.236 | 1.564 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 0.775 | 1.433 | 2.111 | 1.393 | 1.495 | 1.441 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 0.577 | 0.403 | 0.340 | 0.594 | -0.346 | 0.314 | 46 |
| South Dakota | 0.983 | 0.781 | 1.451 | 0.372 | 0.771 | 0.872 | 32 |
| Tennessee | 1.017 | 1.036 | 0.135 | 0.415 | 2.684 | 1.057 | 25 |
| Texas | 1.625 | 1.148 | 0.534 | 1.170 | 3.344 | 1.564 | 8 |
| Utah | 1.319 | 0.961 | 2.382 | 1.688 | 0.126 | 1.295 | 17 |
| Vermont | 0.942 | 1.665 | 1.812 | 3.147 | -0.082 | 1.497 | 11 |
| Virginia | 1.876 | 1.908 | 1.871 | 0.655 | 1.048 | 1.471 | 12 |
| Washington | -0.022 | -0.268 | 0.953 | 3.400 | 1.672 | 1.147 | 24 |
| West Virginia | 1.023 | 1.668 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 1.199 | 0.796 | 36 |
| Wisconsin | 1.081 | 1.540 | 0.371 | 1.412 | 0.868 | 1.054 | 26 |
| Wyoming | 1.028 | 0.536 | 2.784 | 0.636 | 1.457 | 1.288 | 18 |

## STATE RANKS 2011 AND 2012

There was both stability and volatility in the State Entrepreneurship Index between 2011 and 2012. Many of the top ranked states remained the same or reflected small changes in rank. North Dakota was the highest ranked state in 2012, moving up from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ ranking the prior year. New Hampshire climbed from the $6^{\text {th }}$ spot in 2011 to the $3^{\text {rd }}$ spot in 2012. Minnesota moved from the $9^{\text {th }}$ spot to $4^{\text {th }}$. California, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, and Texas were other top ten states in 2012 that were also in the Top 10, or nearly in the Top 10, in 2011. Several states also fell far out of the top rankings in 2012. This occurred due to deterioration in net establishment growth, the most volatile components of the State Entrepreneurship Index. Massachusetts fell from the $1^{\text {st }}$ rank in 2011 to $23^{\text {rd }}$ in 2012. New Jersey's rank fell from $7^{\text {th }}$ to $29^{\text {th }}$.

There was even greater stability at the bottom of the State Entrepreneurship Index ranking. Michigan fell from $49^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 to $50^{\text {th }}$ in 2012, due to persistent weakness in business formations and establishment growth in the state. Mississippi was the $49^{\text {th }}$ ranked state in 2012 and was $45^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 . The remaining bottom 5 states were in or near the bottom 5 in 2011 as well. These lowest ranked states perform poorly in patent activity and income per non-farm proprietor, in addition to low rates of business formation and net establishment growth. Kansas, the $44^{\text {th }}$ ranked states, fell sharply from 2011 to 2012, due to a sharp drop in net establishment growth.
There was significant improvement for several other states due to improvements in net establishment growth. Arizona moved from $39^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 up to $22^{\text {nd }}$ in 2012 due to significantly faster establishment growth. Nevada experienced a similar movement. Entrepreneurial activity in Arizona and Nevada failed to adjust to the real estate collapse in 2008 and 2009 but is now beginning to recover. Iowa and Nebraska ranked much higher in 2012 than in 2011. Both states had significantly higher establishment growth Nebraska improved from $24^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 up to $16^{\text {th }}$ in 2012.

| Table 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Entrepreneurship Index, 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 42 | 0.57 | 47 | 0.30 |
| Alaska | 25 | 1.15 | 19 | 1.26 |
| Arizona | 39 | 0.69 | 22 | 1.20 |
| Arkansas | 32 | 0.99 | 45 | 0.32 |
| California | 13 | 1.49 | 6 | 1.70 |
| Colorado | 22 | 1.18 | 10 | 1.51 |
| Connecticut | 12 | 1.49 | 7 | 1.58 |
| Delaware | 36 | 0.83 | 43 | 0.48 |
| Florida | 34 | 0.88 | 15 | 1.35 |
| Georgia | 41 | 0.61 | 33 | 0.87 |
| Hawaii | 48 | 0.12 | 42 | 0.48 |
| Idaho | 35 | 0.86 | 38 | 0.74 |
| Illinois | 5 | 1.71 | 14 | 1.37 |
| Indiana | 30 | 1.05 | 37 | 0.77 |
| Iowa | 43 | 0.55 | 21 | 1.20 |
| Kansas | 10 | 1.56 | 44 | 0.40 |
| Kentucky | 47 | 0.22 | 48 | 0.24 |
| Louisiana | 50 | 0.02 | 31 | 0.93 |
| Maine | 21 | 1.19 | 39 | 0.71 |
| Maryland | 16 | 1.37 | 35 | 0.82 |
| Massachusetts | 1 | 2.70 | 23 | 1.19 |
| Michigan | 49 | 0.10 | 50 | 0.13 |
| Minnesota | 9 | 1.60 | 4 | 1.75 |
| Mississippi | 45 | 0.37 | 49 | 0.22 |
| Missouri | 40 | 0.61 | 34 | 0.85 |
| Montana | 33 | 0.92 | 27 | 1.04 |
| Nebraska | 24 | 1.15 | 16 | 1.34 |
| Nevada | 44 | 0.39 | 28 | 1.03 |
| New Hampshire | 6 | 1.69 | 3 | 1.89 |
| New Jersey | 7 | 1.63 | 29 | 0.96 |
| New Mexico | 27 | 1.12 | 41 | 0.62 |
| New York | 3 | 2.36 | 2 | 2.06 |
| North Carolina | 28 | 1.12 | 30 | 0.96 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 2.58 | 1 | 3.23 |
| Ohio | 26 | 1.14 | 40 | 0.68 |
| Oklahoma | 19 | 1.30 | 20 | 1.24 |
| Oregon | 11 | 1.55 | 5 | 1.74 |
| Pennsylvania | 8 | 1.63 | 9 | 1.56 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 1.30 | 13 | 1.44 |
| South Carolina | 46 | 0.31 | 46 | 0.31 |
| South Dakota | 29 | 1.08 | 32 | 0.87 |
| Tennessee | 37 | 0.79 | 25 | 1.06 |
| Texas | 4 | 1.72 | 8 | 1.56 |
| Utah | 23 | 1.17 | 17 | 1.30 |
| Vermont | 15 | 1.43 | 11 | 1.50 |
| Virginia | 18 | 1.32 | 12 | 1.47 |
| Washington | 17 | 1.34 | 24 | 1.15 |
| West Virginia | 38 | 0.71 | 36 | 0.80 |
| Wisconsin | 31 | 1.04 | 26 | 1.05 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 1.48 | 18 | 1.29 |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor, Commerce and Patent Office |  |  |  |  |

## ESTABLISHMENT GROWTH

This section examines changes in establishment growth between 2011 and 2012. As seen in Table 3, North Dakota remained the top state for establishment growth, given the strength of its energy sector. Three other states remained in the Top 10 in both 2011 and 2012: Pennsylvania, Texas and Virginia. Other states, however, showed significant improvement between 2011 and 2012. Arizona moved from $38^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2011 up to $5^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2012, and Florida moved from $33^{\text {rd }}$ to $6^{\text {th. }}$. These states benefited from a recovery in their housing sector. Other state's benefited from more general economic improvement. For example, Oregon moved from $16^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 up to $2^{\text {nd }}$ in 2012, while Alaska move from $15^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$. Nebraska and Minnesota both moved into the Top 10 in 2012, perhaps buoyed by the strength in the Midwest farm economy in recent years (and despite drought conditions in 2012).

These regional trends were observed in other states as well. Reflecting the strength of the farm economy, Iowa improved from $42^{\text {nd }}$ rank in 2011 to $17^{\text {th }}$ ranked in 2012. Nevada improved from $48^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 to $20^{\text {th }}$ in 2012. This improvement also reflects a beginning of a housing sector recovery in another hard-hit state. Louisiana moved from the $49^{\text {th }}$ rank in 2011 up to $18^{\text {th }}$ in 2012. This improvement in Louisiana may simply reflect special circumstances in the state. The number of establishments declined in the state between 2010 and 2011, as clean-up efforts after the oil spill began to wind down.

There was deterioration in establishment growth in many states during 2012, particularly in the Northeast, which was impacted by a struggling financial sector. Massachusetts fell from $2^{\text {nd }}$ ranked in 2011 to $45^{\text {th }}$ ranked in 2012. Maryland fell from $9^{\text {th }}$ to $38^{\text {th }}$ while Maine fell from $13^{\text {th }}$ to $37^{\text {th }}$. New York fell in rank and New Jersey fell from $31^{\text {st }}$ to $49^{\text {th }}$. Several of the lowest ranked states from 2011 remained at the bottom in 2012 as well. Delaware was $47^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 and $50^{\text {th }}$ in 2012. Michigan was $50^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 and $48^{\text {th }}$ in 2012. Idaho was $46^{\text {th }}$ in both 2011 and 2012 while Kentucky was $43^{\text {rd }}$ during both years.

| Table 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Establishment Growth, 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2011 <br> Rank | Index <br> Value | 2012 <br> Rank | Index Value |
| Alabama | 26 | 0.98 | 41 | 0.06 |
| Alaska | 15 | 1.60 | 9 | 1.38 |
| Arizona | 38 | 0.56 | 5 | 1.76 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 1.61 | 44 | -0.16 |
| California | 27 | 0.95 | 19 | 1.10 |
| Colorado | 44 | 0.10 | 31 | 0.75 |
| Connecticut | 40 | 0.49 | 36 | 0.43 |
| Delaware | 47 | -0.38 | 50 | -1.13 |
| Florida | 33 | 0.72 | 6 | 1.75 |
| Georgia | 37 | 0.59 | 22 | 1.04 |
| Hawaii | 45 | 0.01 | 29 | 0.80 |
| Idaho | 46 | -0.37 | 46 | -0.59 |
| Illinois | 3 | 2.31 | 13 | 1.30 |
| Indiana | 18 | 1.55 | 32 | 0.70 |
| lowa | 42 | 0.19 | 17 | 1.20 |
| Kansas | 5 | 2.26 | 47 | -0.84 |
| Kentucky | 43 | 0.12 | 43 | -0.15 |
| Louisiana | 49 | -0.89 | 18 | 1.19 |
| Maine | 13 | 1.62 | 37 | 0.40 |
| Maryland | 9 | 2.04 | 38 | 0.39 |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 3.31 | 45 | -0.59 |
| Michigan | 50 | -0.92 | 48 | -0.93 |
| Minnesota | 22 | 1.35 | 3 | 1.90 |
| Mississippi | 36 | 0.61 | 40 | 0.10 |
| Missouri | 41 | 0.30 | 33 | 0.60 |
| Montana | 30 | 0.79 | 28 | 0.85 |
| Nebraska | 19 | 1.53 | 7 | 1.67 |
| Nevada | 48 | -0.49 | 20 | 1.09 |
| New Hampshire | 32 | 0.76 | 14 | 1.30 |
| New Jersey | 31 | 0.78 | 49 | -0.93 |
| New Mexico | 8 | 2.16 | 34 | 0.60 |
| New York | 7 | 2.18 | 16 | 1.25 |
| North Carolina | 11 | 1.79 | 15 | 1.27 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 4.29 | 1 | 5.10 |
| Ohio | 12 | 1.64 | 39 | 0.32 |
| Oklahoma | 20 | 1.50 | 11 | 1.34 |
| Oregon | 16 | 1.57 | 2 | 1.98 |
| Pennsylvania | 6 | 2.24 | 10 | 1.36 |
| Rhode Island | 34 | 0.67 | 30 | 0.78 |
| South Carolina | 35 | 0.62 | 35 | 0.58 |
| South Dakota | 17 | 1.56 | 26 | 0.98 |
| Tennessee | 39 | 0.51 | 25 | 1.02 |
| Texas | 4 | 2.26 | 8 | 1.62 |
| Utah | 23 | 1.18 | 12 | 1.32 |
| Vermont | 28 | 0.87 | 27 | 0.94 |
| Virginia | 10 | 1.80 | 4 | 1.88 |
| Washington | 29 | 0.84 | 42 | -0.02 |
| West Virginia | 25 | 1.02 | 24 | 1.02 |
| Wisconsin | 21 | 1.44 | 21 | 1.08 |
| Wyoming | 24 | 1.10 | 23 | 1.03 |
| Source: U.S. Department of Labor |  |  |  |  |

## GROWTH IN ESTABLISHMENTS PER PERSON

Establishment growth may reflect growth in the population of a state rather than a change in the share of the population involved in entrepreneurship. As a result, some states with a large percentage increase (or decrease) in establishments may not experience a large change in the share of the population involved in entrepreneurship.

States with rapid population growth such as Florida and Arizona tend have a lower rank for the growth in establishments per person than for the growth in the number of establishments. In 2012, Florida was ranked $6^{\text {th }}$ in the establishment growth (see Table 3) and $13^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per person (see Table 4). Arizona was ranked $5^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth but $14^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per person. Utah had a similar decline from $12^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth to near the middle, at $27^{\text {th }}$, in growth in establishments per person. Texas was ranked $8^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth but ranked $21^{\text {st }}$ in growth in establishments per person.

States with slower population growth or population loss tend to rank higher in growth in establishments per person. This was common among states in the Northeast and Midwest, where population growth is slower. For example, in 2012 New York was ranked $16^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth but $6^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per person. New Hampshire was ranked $14^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth and $8^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per person. Vermont goes from a rank of $27^{\text {th }}$ in establishment growth to a rank of $11^{\text {th }}$ in growth in establishments per person. The state of Rhode Island shows a similar improvement. Missouri, Illinois and Iowa are Midwestern states with a higher rank using growth in establishments per person.

One note should be made about estimates for establishment growth per person in 2010 and 2011, as is seen in Appendix A.2. The U.S. Census does not re-estimate state population for the proceeding decade when a new Census population count is made. As a result, pre-Census estimates for 2010 were used to calculate growth in establishments per person for 2009-2010 and post-Census estimates were used to calculate growth for 2010-2011.

| Table 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth in Establishments Per Person, 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2011 <br> Rank | Index <br> Value | $2012$ <br> Rank | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 27 | 0.97 | 41 | 0.32 |
| Alaska | 28 | 0.84 | 19 | 1.32 |
| Arizona | 39 | 0.19 | 14 | 1.54 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 1.50 | 43 | 0.09 |
| California | 33 | 0.53 | 23 | 1.08 |
| Colorado | 45 | -0.62 | 40 | 0.37 |
| Connecticut | 31 | 0.58 | 30 | 0.94 |
| Delaware | 49 | -0.80 | 50 | -1.44 |
| Florida | 43 | 0.06 | 13 | 1.61 |
| Georgia | 41 | 0.11 | 31 | 0.90 |
| Hawaii | 44 | -0.47 | 35 | 0.69 |
| Idaho | 48 | -0.70 | 47 | -0.67 |
| Illinois | 3 | 2.51 | 5 | 1.92 |
| Indiana | 11 | 1.54 | 22 | 1.09 |
| lowa | 40 | 0.13 | 12 | 1.65 |
| Kansas | 5 | 2.26 | 49 | -0.80 |
| Kentucky | 42 | 0.06 | 42 | 0.14 |
| Louisiana | 50 | -1.12 | 17 | 1.44 |
| Maine | 9 | 1.87 | 28 | 0.95 |
| Maryland | 10 | 1.66 | 38 | 0.41 |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 3.11 | 45 | -0.55 |
| Michigan | 46 | -0.62 | 46 | -0.56 |
| Minnesota | 23 | 1.12 | 2 | 2.24 |
| Mississippi | 30 | 0.69 | 37 | 0.47 |
| Missouri | 34 | 0.43 | 24 | 1.05 |
| Montana | 32 | 0.55 | 29 | 0.95 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 1.31 | 7 | 1.89 |
| Nevada | 47 | -0.66 | 34 | 0.73 |
| New Hampshire | 25 | 1.00 | 8 | 1.84 |
| New Jersey | 29 | 0.81 | 48 | -0.76 |
| New Mexico | 7 | 1.95 | 26 | 0.96 |
| New York | 6 | 2.08 | 9 | 1.68 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 1.35 | 20 | 1.20 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 3.40 | 1 | 4.63 |
| Ohio | 8 | 1.93 | 32 | 0.87 |
| Oklahoma | 18 | 1.30 | 16 | 1.45 |
| Oregon | 20 | 1.27 | 3 | 2.18 |
| Pennsylvania | 4 | 2.36 | 4 | 1.95 |
| Rhode Island | 22 | 1.13 | 18 | 1.43 |
| South Carolina | 36 | 0.30 | 39 | 0.40 |
| South Dakota | 21 | 1.17 | 33 | 0.78 |
| Tennessee | 37 | 0.29 | 25 | 1.04 |
| Texas | 14 | 1.38 | 21 | 1.15 |
| Utah | 35 | 0.40 | 27 | 0.96 |
| Vermont | 24 | 1.09 | 11 | 1.66 |
| Virginia | 16 | 1.34 | 6 | 1.91 |
| Washington | 38 | 0.24 | 44 | -0.27 |
| West Virginia | 19 | 1.29 | 10 | 1.67 |
| Wisconsin | 13 | 1.47 | 15 | 1.54 |
| Wyoming | 26 | 1.00 | 36 | 0.54 |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce |  |  |  |  |

## THE BUSINESS FORMATION RATE

State business formation rates were generally stable between 2011 and 2012, as seen in Table 5. However, selected states showed significant improvement. Iowa moved from the $42^{\text {nd }}$ rank in 2011 up to a rank of $26^{\text {th }}$ in 2012. Pennsylvania moved from 41st in 2011 to the rank of $21^{\text {st }}$ in 2012. Other states saw a significant decline. Minnesota dropped from a rank of $18^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 to a rank of $31^{\text {st }}$ in 2012 . While no other state experienced such a large decline in its rank, several states did see a noteworthy decline. Indiana dropped from $39^{\text {th }}$ in business formation rate in 2011 to $46^{\text {th }}$ in 2012. Massachusetts dropped from $27^{\text {th }}$ to $33^{\text {rd }}$.

Beyond changes in the business formation rate, it is interesting to note the strength (or weakness) in business formation in selected states. North Dakota has the highest business formation rate in 2012. There is also substantial strength in many Mountain states. Colorado and Utah, which are the largest Mountain states, are ranked $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$, respectively. Montana is ranked $2^{\text {nd }}$, Wyoming is ranked $4^{\text {th }}$ and Idaho is ranked $8^{\text {th }}$. Florida is the $3^{\text {rd }}$ ranked state, in another sign of that state's economic recovery. Perhaps surprisingly, Texas, a highly ranked state in the overall entrepreneurship index, is a belowmedian state in terms of the business formation rate. Readers who believe that the business formation rate is the most important indicator of a state's entrepreneurial strength should note this difference.

The lowest ranked states in terms of business formation are concentrated in the industrial Midwest and in the South. Ohio is the $49^{\text {th }}$ ranked state, Michigan is $47^{\text {th }}$ and Indiana is $46^{\text {th }}$. Wisconsin ranks $41^{\text {st }}$ and Illinois is $35^{\text {th }}$. The Illinois economy is more diversified than the others, given the concentration of headquarters locations and service firms in the Chicago area. In the South, Mississippi is the lowest ranked state. West Virginia is ranked $48^{\text {th }}$, Tennessee is ranked $45^{\text {th }}$, Alabama is ranked $44^{\text {th }}$, Kentucky is ranked $43^{\text {rd }}$ and South Carolina is ranked $41^{\text {st }}$.

| Table 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishment Births Per Person, 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $2011$ <br> Rank | Index Value | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index <br> Value |
| Alabama | 46 | 0.00 | 44 | 0.15 |
| Alaska | 20 | 1.23 | 19 | 1.37 |
| Arizona | 32 | 0.73 | 32 | 0.78 |
| Arkansas | 21 | 1.22 | 24 | 1.07 |
| California | 28 | 0.93 | 25 | 1.00 |
| Colorado | 5 | 2.68 | 5 | 2.62 |
| Connecticut | 35 | 0.51 | 36 | 0.61 |
| Delaware | 9 | 2.00 | 13 | 1.74 |
| Florida | 3 | 3.08 | 3 | 2.84 |
| Georgia | 22 | 1.22 | 20 | 1.29 |
| Hawaii | 36 | 0.50 | 40 | 0.43 |
| Idaho | 8 | 2.11 | 8 | 2.13 |
| Illinois | 30 | 0.78 | 35 | 0.64 |
| Indiana | 39 | 0.38 | 46 | 0.10 |
| Iowa | 42 | 0.24 | 26 | 1.00 |
| Kansas | 25 | 1.00 | 27 | 0.97 |
| Kentucky | 49 | -0.13 | 43 | 0.18 |
| Louisiana | 34 | 0.55 | 39 | 0.50 |
| Maine | 12 | 1.81 | 17 | 1.50 |
| Maryland | 26 | 1.00 | 22 | 1.18 |
| Massachusetts | 27 | 0.99 | 33 | 0.75 |
| Michigan | 43 | 0.11 | 47 | 0.06 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 1.60 | 31 | 0.79 |
| Mississippi | 50 | -0.13 | 50 | -0.11 |
| Missouri | 40 | 0.31 | 37 | 0.55 |
| Montana | 4 | 2.95 | 2 | 3.10 |
| Nebraska | 23 | 1.06 | 23 | 1.17 |
| Nevada | 16 | 1.75 | 12 | 1.78 |
| New Hampshire | 6 | 2.49 | 7 | 2.26 |
| New Jersey | 13 | 1.80 | 14 | 1.64 |
| New Mexico | 31 | 0.74 | 30 | 0.85 |
| New York | 14 | 1.78 | 15 | 1.60 |
| North Carolina | 24 | 1.05 | 29 | 0.87 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 4.05 | 1 | 5.24 |
| Ohio | 48 | -0.09 | 49 | 0.04 |
| Oklahoma | 33 | 0.72 | 34 | 0.65 |
| Oregon | 11 | 1.94 | 16 | 1.60 |
| Pennsylvania | 41 | 0.29 | 21 | 1.26 |
| Rhode Island | 10 | 1.98 | 9 | 2.11 |
| South Carolina | 38 | 0.45 | 42 | 0.34 |
| South Dakota | 19 | 1.58 | 18 | 1.45 |
| Tennessee | 47 | -0.01 | 45 | 0.14 |
| Texas | 37 | 0.48 | 38 | 0.53 |
| Utah | 7 | 2.46 | 6 | 2.38 |
| Vermont | 15 | 1.76 | 11 | 1.81 |
| Virginia | 17 | 1.70 | 10 | 1.87 |
| Washington | 29 | 0.85 | 28 | 0.95 |
| West Virginia | 44 | 0.07 | 48 | 0.05 |
| Wisconsin | 45 | 0.01 | 41 | 0.37 |
| Wyoming | 2 | 3.45 | 4 | 2.78 |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce |  |  |  |  |

## PATENTS PER THOUSAND PERSONS

The index component of patents per thousand persons was largely stable in states between 2011 and 2012. Most state ranks were unchanged or nearly unchanged between the two years. There were, however, occasional modest movements in the ranks of some states. Oklahoma fell from $37^{\text {th }}$ ranked in 2011 to $42^{\text {nd }}$ ranked in 2012 , while Maryland fell from $24^{\text {th }}$ to $28^{\text {th }}$ and Tennessee fell from $34^{\text {th }}$ to $38^{\text {th }}$. Among improving states, Wyoming rose from $40^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 to $32^{\text {nd }}$ in 2012 while Kansas rose from $25^{\text {th }}$ to $19^{\text {th }}$. Nevada rose from $28^{\text {th }}$ to $24^{\text {th }}$.

Regardless of the change in ranking, it is interesting to observe which states had a consistently high ranking in terms of patent activity. Massachusetts was among the highest ranked states in both 2011 and 2012 as was California. These results reflect the influence of major universities in each state and the Silicon Valley and 128 Corridor areas. Surrounding the Boston area, Connecticut, New Hampshire and Vermont were highly ranked in patents per thousand persons. Many western states ranked high on this measure, in addition to California. Washington was among the top 5 ranked states in both 2011 and 2012 while Oregon was ranked $7^{\text {th }}$ in both years. Among Mountain States, Idaho was ranked $8^{\text {th }}$ in 2012 and was $6^{\text {th }}$ in 2011 while Colorado was ranked 10th in 2012 and Utah was ranked 14th. Minnesota, a state with many corporate headquarters and a major research University, was ranked $5^{\text {th }}$ in 2012 and $4^{\text {th }}$ in 2011.

Among the lowest ranked states, Alaska ranks $49^{\text {th }}$ in patents per thousand persons while Hawaii ranks $46^{\text {th }}$. But, generally speaking, the lowest ranked states are concentrated in the South. Mississippi is the $50^{\text {th }}$ ranked state in terms of patents per thousand persons in 2012. West Virginia is the 48th ranked state while Arkansas is ranked $47{ }^{\text {th }}$. Louisiana is the $45^{\text {th }}$ ranked state while Alabama is $44^{\text {th }}$. Surprisingly, even southern states with large technology sectors such as Texas, North Carolina, and Virginia were near the median state in patents per thousand residents.

| Table 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patents Per Thousand Persons, 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2011 <br> Rank | Index Value | 2012 <br> Rank | Index Value |
| Alabama | 45 | 0.16 | 44 | 0.14 |
| Alaska | 50 | -0.03 | 49 | -0.02 |
| Arizona | 18 | 1.29 | 20 | 1.25 |
| Arkansas | 47 | 0.06 | 47 | 0.06 |
| California | 3 | 3.33 | 2 | 3.58 |
| Colorado | 12 | 1.82 | 10 | 1.96 |
| Connecticut | 9 | 2.36 | 6 | 2.43 |
| Delaware | 10 | 1.97 | 11 | 1.96 |
| Florida | 31 | 0.66 | 30 | 0.69 |
| Georgia | 29 | 0.76 | 29 | 0.79 |
| Hawaii | 44 | 0.19 | 46 | 0.11 |
| Idaho | 6 | 2.78 | 8 | 2.32 |
| Illinois | 17 | 1.34 | 18 | 1.39 |
| Indiana | 27 | 0.87 | 26 | 0.99 |
| lowa | 26 | 1.00 | 27 | 0.98 |
| Kansas | 25 | 1.00 | 19 | 1.33 |
| Kentucky | 42 | 0.33 | 40 | 0.32 |
| Louisiana | 46 | 0.13 | 45 | 0.13 |
| Maine | 36 | 0.44 | 37 | 0.42 |
| Maryland | 24 | 1.00 | 28 | 0.95 |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 3.42 | 1 | 3.62 |
| Michigan | 13 | 1.72 | 13 | 1.86 |
| Minnesota | 4 | 3.22 | 5 | 3.09 |
| Mississippi | 49 | 0.03 | 50 | -0.06 |
| Missouri | 35 | 0.51 | 35 | 0.54 |
| Montana | 43 | 0.28 | 43 | 0.28 |
| Nebraska | 39 | 0.36 | 36 | 0.48 |
| Nevada | 28 | 0.86 | 24 | 1.07 |
| New Hampshire | 8 | 2.44 | 9 | 2.26 |
| New Jersey | 11 | 1.89 | 12 | 1.95 |
| New Mexico | 32 | 0.64 | 33 | 0.62 |
| New York | 15 | 1.53 | 15 | 1.57 |
| North Carolina | 22 | 1.08 | 23 | 1.13 |
| North Dakota | 38 | 0.39 | 41 | 0.31 |
| Ohio | 19 | 1.25 | 21 | 1.23 |
| Oklahoma | 37 | 0.39 | 42 | 0.29 |
| Oregon | 7 | 2.45 | 7 | 2.40 |
| Pennsylvania | 23 | 1.03 | 25 | 1.01 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 1.24 | 17 | 1.39 |
| South Carolina | 33 | 0.52 | 34 | 0.59 |
| South Dakota | 41 | 0.34 | 39 | 0.37 |
| Tennessee | 34 | 0.52 | 38 | 0.42 |
| Texas | 21 | 1.15 | 22 | 1.17 |
| Utah | 14 | 1.66 | 14 | 1.69 |
| Vermont | 1 | 3.51 | 4 | 3.15 |
| Virginia | 30 | 0.71 | 31 | 0.66 |
| Washington | 5 | 3.14 | 3 | 3.40 |
| West Virginia | 48 | 0.04 | 48 | 0.04 |
| Wisconsin | 16 | 1.42 | 16 | 1.41 |
| Wyoming | 40 | 0.35 | 32 | 0.64 |
| Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## INCOME PER NON-FARM PROPRIETOR

Income per non-farm proprietor is another index component that was stable between 2011 and 2012. Most states held the same rank or a very similar rank in 2011 and 2012. When states did change rank, the change was only by one or two spots.

There was a regional pattern in state ranks in income per non-farm proprietor. The densely populated Northeast states of New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut were among the highest ranked states. New York was the highest ranked state in terms of income per non-farm proprietor and Connecticut is $2^{\text {nd }}$ ranked. New Jersey is the $4^{\text {th }}$ ranked state and Massachusetts is $5^{\text {th }}$ ranked. Pennsylvania is the $8^{\text {th }}$ ranked state.

High ranked states in other regions are typically states without a state income tax. Texas was the $3^{\text {rd }}$ ranked state while Tennessee was ranked $6{ }^{\text {th }}$. Alaska was the $9^{\text {th }}$ ranked state while New Hampshire was ranked $11^{\text {th }}$. Washington was the $14^{\text {th }}$ ranked state in 2012 and Wyoming was ranked $15^{\text {th }}$. Only South Dakota broke the pattern, with a ranking of $31^{\text {st }}$. Among other Western states, Colorado was ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ and California was ranked $12^{\text {th }}$.

Many southern states had lower levels of income per non-farm proprietor including Florida, which was ranked $49^{\text {th }}$, South Carolina which was $50^{\text {th }}$, North Carolina which was ranked 42nd and Georgia which was ranked $41^{\text {st }}$. Vermont was ranked $48^{\text {th }}$ in earnings per non-farm proprietor, demonstrating that patent activity (see Table 6) is not necessarily associated with higher proprietor income.

One technical matter to note is that 2012 values of income per proprietor are estimates. Data on the number of non-farm proprietors by state has not yet been estimated by the Bureau of Economic Analysis within the U.S. Department of Commerce. Income per non-farm proprietor in 2012 was estimated by multiplying the 2011 values by the 2012 growth in non-farm proprietor income.

| Table 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor, 2011 and 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index Value | $\begin{aligned} & 2012 \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | Index Value |
| Alabama | 30 | 0.77 | 30 | 0.83 |
| Alaska | 8 | 2.09 | 9 | 2.27 |
| Arizona | 35 | 0.68 | 34 | 0.68 |
| Arkansas | 37 | 0.54 | 36 | 0.53 |
| California | 12 | 1.71 | 12 | 1.76 |
| Colorado | 10 | 1.93 | 10 | 1.84 |
| Connecticut | 2 | 3.51 | 2 | 3.47 |
| Delaware | 21 | 1.37 | 20 | 1.26 |
| Florida | 49 | -0.10 | 49 | -0.14 |
| Georgia | 41 | 0.35 | 41 | 0.32 |
| Hawaii | 40 | 0.38 | 40 | 0.37 |
| Idaho | 38 | 0.47 | 39 | 0.51 |
| Illinois | 14 | 1.63 | 13 | 1.57 |
| Indiana | 26 | 0.92 | 27 | 0.95 |
| Iowa | 23 | 1.18 | 22 | 1.20 |
| Kansas | 20 | 1.30 | 21 | 1.33 |
| Kentucky | 32 | 0.71 | 33 | 0.73 |
| Louisiana | 19 | 1.42 | 19 | 1.42 |
| Maine | 43 | 0.23 | 44 | 0.26 |
| Maryland | 24 | 1.14 | 24 | 1.16 |
| Massachusetts | 5 | 2.65 | 5 | 2.72 |
| Michigan | 44 | 0.23 | 43 | 0.23 |
| Minnesota | 33 | 0.71 | 32 | 0.71 |
| Mississippi | 34 | 0.65 | 35 | 0.68 |
| Missouri | 15 | 1.49 | 16 | 1.51 |
| Montana | 47 | 0.01 | 47 | 0.02 |
| Nebraska | 16 | 1.47 | 18 | 1.51 |
| Nevada | 39 | 0.51 | 38 | 0.47 |
| New Hampshire | 11 | 1.75 | 11 | 1.79 |
| New Jersey | 4 | 2.87 | 4 | 2.89 |
| New Mexico | 46 | 0.10 | 46 | 0.08 |
| New York | 1 | 4.23 | 1 | 4.18 |
| North Carolina | 42 | 0.31 | 42 | 0.31 |
| North Dakota | 28 | 0.78 | 29 | 0.89 |
| Ohio | 27 | 0.96 | 26 | 0.93 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 2.61 | 7 | 2.49 |
| Oregon | 36 | 0.51 | 37 | 0.54 |
| Pennsylvania | 9 | 2.22 | 8 | 2.24 |
| Rhode Island | 17 | 1.48 | 17 | 1.49 |
| South Carolina | 50 | -0.34 | 50 | -0.35 |
| South Dakota | 31 | 0.76 | 31 | 0.77 |
| Tennessee | 6 | 2.63 | 6 | 2.68 |
| Texas | 3 | 3.33 | 3 | 3.34 |
| Utah | 45 | 0.13 | 45 | 0.13 |
| Vermont | 48 | -0.09 | 48 | -0.08 |
| Virginia | 25 | 1.04 | 25 | 1.05 |
| Washington | 13 | 1.60 | 14 | 1.67 |
| West Virginia | 22 | 1.14 | 23 | 1.20 |
| Wisconsin | 29 | 0.86 | 28 | 0.87 |
| Wyoming | 18 | 1.50 | 15 | 1.46 |
| Sources: U.S. Department of Labor |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Farm Establishments and Growth Rate 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Farm Establishments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Alabama | 103,921 | 107,053 | 107,565 | 110,438 | 112,127 | 109,543 | 107,836 | 107,631 | 107,290 | 3.01\% | 0.48\% | 2.67\% | 1.53\% | -2.30\% | -1.56\% | -0.19\% | -0.32\% |
| Alaska | 18,160 | 18,448 | 18,678 | 18,857 | 19,097 | 18,963 | 19,038 | 19,154 | 19,486 | 1.59\% | 1.24\% | 0.96\% | 1.27\% | -0.70\% | 0.40\% | 0.61\% | 1.73\% |
| Arizona | 124,378 | 130,421 | 141,820 | 151,444 | 154,486 | 146,126 | 139,060 | 138,054 | 141,261 | 4.86\% | 8.74\% | 6.79\% | 2.01\% | -5.41\% | -4.84\% | -0.72\% | 2.32\% |
| Arkansas | 70,013 | 72,096 | 75,155 | 77,286 | 79,829 | 80,678 | 80,034 | 80,542 | 80,014 | 2.98\% | 4.24\% | 2.84\% | 3.29\% | 1.06\% | -0.80\% | 0.63\% | -0.66\% |
| California | 844,501 | 852,649 | 870,775 | 884,724 | 893,010 | 884,755 | 872,397 | 870,399 | 881,669 | 0.96\% | 2.13\% | 1.60\% | 0.94\% | -0.92\% | -1.40\% | -0.23\% | 1.29\% |
| Colorado | 156,745 | 162,799 | 167,985 | 172,411 | 172,978 | 170,472 | 166,041 | 163,853 | 165,097 | 3.86\% | 3.19\% | 2.63\% | 0.33\% | -1.45\% | -2.60\% | -1.32\% | 0.76\% |
| Connecticut | 100,331 | 101,218 | 102,077 | 102,950 | 103,631 | 102,923 | 101,569 | 100,745 | 101,008 | 0.88\% | 0.85\% | 0.86\% | 0.66\% | -0.68\% | -1.32\% | -0.81\% | 0.26\% |
| Delaware | 27,720 | 29,187 | 29,191 | 29,744 | 29,600 | 28,344 | 27,647 | 27,108 | 26,523 | 5.30\% | 0.01\% | 1.90\% | -0.49\% | -4.24\% | -2.46\% | -1.95\% | -2.16\% |
| Florida | 499,343 | 532,106 | 560,609 | 580,315 | 600,014 | 582,219 | 575,377 | 572,382 | 585,648 | 6.56\% | 5.36\% | 3.52\% | 3.39\% | -2.97\% | -1.18\% | -0.52\% | 2.32\% |
| Georgia | 231,559 | 238,448 | 245,394 | 254,524 | 260,649 | 257,539 | 253,450 | 251,695 | 254,719 | 2.98\% | 2.91\% | 3.72\% | 2.41\% | -1.19\% | -1.59\% | -0.69\% | 1.20\% |
| Hawaii | 33,732 | 33,967 | 34,638 | 35,820 | 36,482 | 36,773 | 36,304 | 35,782 | 36,083 | 0.70\% | 1.98\% | 3.41\% | 1.85\% | 0.80\% | -1.28\% | -1.44\% | 0.84\% |
| Idaho | 45,583 | 48,060 | 50,815 | 53,003 | 53,592 | 52,920 | 51,547 | 50,554 | 49,890 | 5.43\% | 5.73\% | 4.30\% | 1.11\% | -1.25\% | -2.60\% | -1.92\% | -1.31\% |
| Illinois | 312,517 | 320,902 | 331,762 | 341,279 | 347,204 | 352,446 | 355,640 | 361,089 | 366,931 | 2.68\% | 3.38\% | 2.87\% | 1.74\% | 1.51\% | 0.91\% | 1.53\% | 1.62\% |
| Indiana | 145,911 | 146,838 | 148,445 | 150,931 | 152,980 | 152,336 | 150,518 | 151,351 | 152,384 | 0.64\% | 1.09\% | 1.67\% | 1.36\% | -0.42\% | -1.19\% | 0.55\% | 0.68\% |
| lowa | 84,907 | 85,137 | 86,014 | 86,714 | 86,963 | 86,964 | 86,374 | 85,327 | 86,574 | 0.27\% | 1.03\% | 0.81\% | 0.29\% | 0.00\% | -0.68\% | -1.21\% | 1.46\% |
| Kansas | 74,485 | 75,367 | 76,422 | 76,526 | 77,676 | 78,795 | 78,909 | 80,067 | 78,699 | 1.18\% | 1.40\% | 0.14\% | 1.50\% | 1.44\% | 0.14\% | 1.47\% | -1.71\% |
| Kentucky | 97,397 | 98,217 | 101,639 | 105,474 | 104,905 | 99,135 | 99,651 | 98,364 | 97,738 | 0.84\% | 3.48\% | 3.77\% | -0.54\% | -5.50\% | 0.52\% | -1.29\% | -0.64\% |
| Louisiana | 106,262 | 109,582 | 112,089 | 112,225 | 114,255 | 116,301 | 120,292 | 117,155 | 118,835 | 3.12\% | 2.29\% | 0.12\% | 1.81\% | 1.79\% | 3.43\% | -2.61\% | 1.43\% |
| Maine | 44,341 | 44,786 | 44,909 | 45,897 | 46,528 | 46,225 | 44,889 | 45,178 | 45,277 | 1.00\% | 0.28\% | 2.20\% | 1.38\% | -0.65\% | -2.89\% | 0.64\% | 0.22\% |
| Maryland | 143,841 | 148,308 | 150,771 | 154,886 | 155,628 | 153,161 | 151,619 | 153,424 | 153,727 | 3.11\% | 1.66\% | 2.73\% | 0.48\% | -1.59\% | -1.01\% | 1.19\% | 0.20\% |
| Massachusetts | 187,231 | 192,538 | 183,742 | 184,848 | 185,642 | 183,983 | 185,636 | 190,885 | 188,378 | 2.83\% | -4.57\% | 0.60\% | 0.43\% | -0.89\% | 0.90\% | 2.83\% | -1.31\% |
| Michigan | 244,282 | 245,859 | 246,939 | 248,658 | 248,279 | 244,101 | 237,577 | 231,314 | 227,057 | 0.65\% | 0.44\% | 0.70\% | -0.15\% | -1.68\% | -2.67\% | -2.64\% | -1.84\% |
| Minnesota | 146,935 | 151,123 | 157,133 | 156,247 | 157,989 | 155,211 | 152,860 | 153,305 | 157,209 | 2.85\% | 3.98\% | -0.56\% | 1.12\% | -1.76\% | -1.51\% | 0.29\% | 2.55\% |
| Mississippi | 60,380 | 61,817 | 62,822 | 64,079 | 65,128 | 65,128 | 63,999 | 63,574 | 63,418 | 2.38\% | 1.63\% | 2.00\% | 1.64\% | 0.00\% | -1.73\% | -0.66\% | -0.24\% |
| Missouri | 149,635 | 151,129 | 152,936 | 155,024 | 155,609 | 152,868 | 150,872 | 149,263 | 150,051 | 1.00\% | 1.20\% | 1.37\% | 0.38\% | -1.76\% | -1.31\% | -1.07\% | 0.53\% |


| Table A. 1 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Farm Establishments and Growth Rate 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Farm Establishments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Montana | 38,915 | 37,391 | 38,235 | 39,490 | 40,295 | 40,118 | 39,564 | 39,392 | 39,755 | -3.92\% | 2.26\% | 3.28\% | 2.04\% | -0.44\% | -1.38\% | -0.43\% | 0.92\% |
| Nebraska | 50,741 | 51,907 | 53,197 | 54,046 | 54,915 | 55,254 | 55,194 | 55,484 | 56,698 | 2.30\% | 2.48\% | 1.60\% | 1.61\% | 0.62\% | -0.11\% | 0.53\% | 2.19\% |
| Nevada | 60,976 | 64,500 | 68,705 | 72,760 | 75,100 | 74,427 | 71,129 | 69,642 | 70,540 | 5.78\% | 6.52\% | 5.90\% | 3.22\% | -0.90\% | -4.43\% | -2.09\% | 1.29\% |
| New Hampshire | 44,278 | 44,548 | 45,477 | 46,007 | 46,295 | 45,890 | 45,177 | 44,963 | 45,688 | 0.61\% | 2.09\% | 1.17\% | 0.63\% | -0.88\% | -1.55\% | -0.47\% | 1.61\% |
| New Jersey | 253,969 | 260,119 | 262,394 | 261,332 | 261,706 | 255,043 | 255,444 | 254,318 | 249,625 | 2.42\% | 0.87\% | -0.40\% | 0.14\% | -2.55\% | 0.16\% | -0.44\% | -1.85\% |
| New Mexico | 45,716 | 46,176 | 47,686 | 48,967 | 49,861 | 49,729 | 50,007 | 50,680 | 50,943 | 1.01\% | 3.27\% | 2.69\% | 1.83\% | -0.26\% | 0.56\% | 1.35\% | 0.52\% |
| New York | 521,638 | 529,013 | 537,002 | 543,337 | 549,579 | 551,545 | 553,644 | 561,238 | 569,848 | 1.41\% | 1.51\% | 1.18\% | 1.15\% | 0.36\% | 0.38\% | 1.37\% | 1.53\% |
| North Carolina | 215,263 | 219,624 | 228,082 | 237,882 | 244,604 | 241,831 | 238,130 | 240,190 | 243,937 | 2.03\% | 3.85\% | 4.30\% | 2.83\% | -1.13\% | -1.53\% | 0.86\% | 1.56\% |
| North Dakota | 22,133 | 22,662 | 22,992 | 22,677 | 23,166 | 23,370 | 23,645 | 24,614 | 26,461 | 2.39\% | 1.46\% | -1.37\% | 2.16\% | 0.88\% | 1.18\% | 4.10\% | 7.51\% |
| Ohio | 268,664 | 270,462 | 271,367 | 272,929 | 275,425 | 271,915 | 266,970 | 268,752 | 268,977 | 0.67\% | 0.33\% | 0.58\% | 0.91\% | -1.27\% | -1.82\% | 0.67\% | 0.08\% |
| Oklahoma | 85,351 | 87,506 | 89,493 | 91,967 | 94,020 | 94,198 | 95,241 | 95,709 | 97,307 | 2.52\% | 2.27\% | 2.76\% | 2.23\% | 0.19\% | 1.11\% | 0.49\% | 1.67\% |
| Oregon | 109,587 | 112,585 | 117,544 | 121,077 | 119,804 | 117,260 | 116,338 | 117,012 | 120,137 | 2.74\% | 4.41\% | 3.01\% | -1.05\% | -2.12\% | -0.79\% | 0.58\% | 2.67\% |
| Pennsylvania | 304,695 | 309,611 | 313,046 | 320,351 | 323,709 | 323,281 | 321,931 | 326,587 | 332,156 | 1.61\% | 1.11\% | 2.33\% | 1.05\% | -0.13\% | -0.42\% | 1.45\% | 1.71\% |
| Rhode Island | 33,787 | 34,433 | 34,693 | 34,810 | 34,446 | 33,935 | 33,406 | 33,211 | 33,476 | 1.91\% | 0.75\% | 0.34\% | -1.04\% | -1.48\% | -1.56\% | -0.58\% | 0.80\% |
| South Carolina | 103,991 | 110,244 | 117,581 | 113,775 | 110,877 | 107,397 | 103,448 | 102,780 | 103,284 | 6.01\% | 6.65\% | -3.24\% | -2.55\% | -3.14\% | -3.68\% | -0.65\% | 0.49\% |
| South Dakota | 25,948 | 26,582 | 27,125 | 27,548 | 27,859 | 28,147 | 28,231 | 28,392 | 28,710 | 2.45\% | 2.04\% | 1.56\% | 1.13\% | 1.03\% | 0.30\% | 0.57\% | 1.12\% |
| Tennessee | 122,271 | 124,426 | 128,274 | 132,840 | 135,845 | 134,272 | 131,974 | 130,934 | 132,469 | 1.76\% | 3.09\% | 3.56\% | 2.26\% | -1.16\% | -1.71\% | -0.79\% | 1.17\% |
| Texas | 477,222 | 487,236 | 498,662 | 524,618 | 528,676 | 527,998 | 530,268 | 538,092 | 549,479 | 2.10\% | 2.34\% | 5.21\% | 0.77\% | -0.13\% | 0.43\% | 1.48\% | 2.12\% |
| Utah | 70,264 | 75,200 | 79,632 | 81,456 | 81,965 | 80,272 | 77,828 | 77,886 | 79,164 | 7.03\% | 5.89\% | 2.29\% | 0.62\% | -2.07\% | -3.04\% | 0.07\% | 1.64\% |
| Vermont | 21,975 | 22,288 | 22,491 | 22,744 | 22,875 | 22,642 | 22,185 | 22,113 | 22,346 | 1.42\% | 0.91\% | 1.12\% | 0.58\% | -1.02\% | -2.02\% | -0.33\% | 1.06\% |
| Virginia | 188,375 | 194,737 | 199,123 | 209,384 | 212,284 | 213,443 | 213,222 | 215,082 | 220,469 | 3.38\% | 2.25\% | 5.15\% | 1.39\% | 0.55\% | -0.10\% | 0.87\% | 2.50\% |
| Washington | 161,389 | 164,819 | 169,509 | 174,150 | 176,640 | 176,468 | 172,866 | 172,242 | 171,486 | 2.13\% | 2.85\% | 2.74\% | 1.43\% | -0.10\% | -2.04\% | -0.36\% | -0.44\% |
| West Virginia | 43,272 | 43,615 | 43,777 | 44,057 | 43,980 | 43,435 | 43,143 | 43,088 | 43,598 | 0.79\% | 0.37\% | 0.64\% | -0.17\% | -1.24\% | -0.67\% | -0.13\% | 1.18\% |
| Wisconsin | 145,164 | 147,879 | 149,604 | 146,354 | 147,981 | 144,004 | 141,694 | 142,275 | 144,085 | 1.87\% | 1.17\% | -2.17\% | 1.11\% | -2.69\% | -1.60\% | 0.41\% | 1.27\% |
| Wyoming | 20,531 | 21,190 | 21,974 | 22,519 | 23,111 | 23,300 | 23,180 | 23,175 | 23,451 | 3.21\% | 3.70\% | 2.48\% | 2.63\% | 0.82\% | -0.52\% | -0.02\% | 1.19\% |

[^0]| Table A. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Rate of Non-Farm Establishments Per Person 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Estimates (July 1 of Year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate in Non-Farm Establishments Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 PreCensus | 2010 PostCensus | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Alabama | 4,512,190 | 4,545,049 | 4,597,688 | 4,637,904 | 4,677,464 | 4,708,708 | 4,729,656 | 4,784,762 | 4,803,689 | 4,822,023 | 2.3\% | -0.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.7\% | -3.0\% | -2.0\% | -0.6\% | -0.7\% |
| Alaska | 661,569 | 669,488 | 677,325 | 682,297 | 688,125 | 698,473 | 708,862 | 714,046 | 723,860 | 731,449 | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | -2.2\% | -1.1\% | -0.8\% | 0.7\% |
| Arizona | 5,759,425 | 5,974,834 | 6,192,100 | 6,362,241 | 6,499,377 | 6,595,778 | 6,676,627 | 6,410,810 | 6,467,315 | 6,553,255 | 1.1\% | 4.9\% | 3.9\% | -0.1\% | -6.8\% | -6.0\% | -1.6\% | 1.0\% |
| Arkansas | 2,746,161 | 2,776,221 | 2,815,097 | 2,842,194 | 2,867,764 | 2,889,450 | 2,910,236 | 2,922,750 | 2,938,582 | 2,949,131 | 1.9\% | 2.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.4\% | 0.3\% | -1.5\% | 0.1\% | -1.0\% |
| California | 35,558,419 | 35,795,255 | 35,979,208 | 36,226,122 | 36,580,371 | 36,961,664 | 37,266,600 | 37,334,410 | 37,683,933 | 38,041,430 | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | -1.9\% | $-2.2 \%$ | -1.2\% | 0.3\% |
| Colorado | 4,599,681 | 4,660,780 | 4,753,044 | 4,842,259 | 4,935,213 | 5,024,748 | 5,095,309 | 5,048,472 | 5,116,302 | 5,187,582 | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% | -1.6\% | -3.2\% | -3.9\% | -2.6\% | -0.6\% |
| Connecticut | 3,474,610 | 3,477,416 | 3,485,162 | 3,488,633 | 3,502,932 | 3,518,288 | 3,526,937 | 3,576,616 | 3,586,717 | 3,590,347 | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | -1.1\% | -1.6\% | -1.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Delaware | 826,639 | 839,906 | 853,022 | 864,896 | 876,211 | 885,122 | 891,464 | 899,824 | 908,137 | 917,092 | 3.6\% | -1.5\% | 0.5\% | -1.8\% | -5.2\% | -3.2\% | -2.8\% | -3.1\% |
| Florida | 17,375,259 | 17,783,868 | 18,088,505 | 18,277,888 | 18,423,878 | 18,537,969 | 18,678,049 | 18,845,967 | 19,082,262 | 19,317,568 | 4.1\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | -3.6\% | -1.9\% | -1.8\% | 1.1\% |
| Georgia | 8,913,676 | 9,097,428 | 9,330,086 | 9,533,761 | 9,697,838 | 9,829,211 | 9,908,357 | 9,714,748 | 9,812,460 | 9,919,945 | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | -2.5\% | -2.4\% | -1.7\% | 0.1\% |
| Hawaii | 1,252,782 | 1,266,117 | 1,275,599 | 1,276,832 | 1,287,481 | 1,295,178 | 1,300,086 | 1,364,274 | 1,378,129 | 1,392,313 | -0.4\% | 1.2\% | 3.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | -1.6\% | -2.4\% | -0.2\% |
| Idaho | 1,391,718 | 1,425,862 | 1,464,413 | 1,499,245 | 1,527,506 | 1,545,801 | 1,559,796 | 1,570,784 | 1,583,744 | 1,595,728 | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 1.9\% | -0.8\% | -2.4\% | -3.5\% | -2.7\% | -2.1\% |
| Illinois | 12,645,295 | 12,674,452 | 12,718,011 | 12,779,417 | 12,842,954 | 12,910,409 | 12,944,410 | 12,840,459 | 12,859,752 | 12,875,255 | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% |
| Indiana | 6,214,454 | 6,253,120 | 6,301,700 | 6,346,113 | 6,388,309 | 6,423,113 | 6,445,295 | 6,489,856 | 6,516,353 | 6,537,334 | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | -1.0\% | -1.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% |
| lowa | 2,941,358 | 2,949,450 | 2,964,391 | 2,978,719 | 2,993,987 | 3,007,856 | 3,023,081 | 3,050,321 | 3,064,097 | 3,074,186 | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | -0.2\% | -0.5\% | -1.2\% | -1.7\% | 1.1\% |
| Kansas | 2,730,765 | 2,741,771 | 2,755,700 | 2,775,586 | 2,797,375 | 2,818,747 | 2,841,121 | 2,858,837 | 2,870,386 | 2,885,905 | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | -0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | -0.6\% | 1.1\% | -2.2\% |
| Kentucky | 4,147,970 | 4,182,293 | 4,219,374 | 4,256,278 | 4,287,931 | 4,314,113 | 4,339,435 | 4,346,655 | 4,366,814 | 4,380,415 | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | -1.3\% | -6.1\% | -0.1\% | -1.7\% | -0.9\% |
| Louisiana | 4,489,327 | 4,497,691 | 4,240,327 | 4,376,122 | 4,451,513 | 4,492,076 | 4,529,426 | 4,544,125 | 4,574,766 | 4,601,893 | 2.9\% | 8.5\% | -3.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | -3.3\% | 0.8\% |
| Maine | 1,308,253 | 1,311,631 | 1,314,963 | 1,317,308 | 1,319,691 | 1,318,301 | 1,312,939 | 1,327,585 | 1,328,544 | 1,329,192 | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.2\% | -0.5\% | -2.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% |
| Maryland | 5,542,659 | 5,582,520 | 5,612,196 | 5,634,242 | 5,658,655 | 5,699,478 | 5,737,274 | 5,787,998 | 5,839,572 | 5,884,563 | 2.4\% | 1.1\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | -2.3\% | -1.7\% | 0.3\% | -0.6\% |
| Massachusetts | 6,451,279 | 6,453,031 | 6,466,399 | 6,499,275 | 6,543,595 | 6,593,587 | 6,631,280 | 6,563,259 | 6,607,003 | 6,646,144 | 2.8\% | -4.8\% | 0.1\% | -0.3\% | -1.6\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | -1.9\% |
| Michigan | 10,089,305 | 10,090,554 | 10,082,438 | 10,050,847 | 10,002,486 | 9,969,727 | 9,931,235 | 9,877,670 | 9,876,801 | 9,883,360 | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | -1.4\% | -2.3\% | -2.6\% | -1.9\% |
| Minnesota | 5,079,344 | 5,106,560 | 5,148,346 | 5,191,206 | 5,230,567 | 5,266,214 | 5,290,447 | 5,310,737 | 5,347,299 | 5,379,139 | 2.3\% | 3.1\% | -1.4\% | 0.4\% | -2.4\% | -2.0\% | -0.4\% | 1.9\% |
| Mississippi | 2,886,006 | 2,900,116 | 2,897,150 | 2,921,723 | 2,940,212 | 2,951,996 | 2,960,467 | 2,969,137 | 2,977,457 | 2,984,926 | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | -0.4\% | -2.0\% | -0.9\% | -0.5\% |
| Missouri | 5,758,444 | 5,806,639 | 5,861,572 | 5,909,824 | 5,956,335 | 5,987,580 | 6,011,741 | 5,996,092 | 6,008,984 | 6,021,988 | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | -0.4\% | -2.3\% | -1.7\% | -1.3\% | 0.3\% |


| Table A. 2 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth Rate of Non-Farm Establishments Per Person 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Estimates (July 1 of Year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate in Non-Farm Establishments Per Capita |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 PreCensus | 2010 PostCensus | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Montana | 925,887 | 934,801 | 946,230 | 957,225 | 968,035 | 974,989 | 980,152 | 990,735 | 997,667 | 1,005,141 | -4.8\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.9\% | -1.1\% | -1.9\% | -1.1\% | 0.2\% |
| Nebraska | 1,742,184 | 1,751,721 | 1,760,435 | 1,769,912 | 1,781,949 | 1,796,619 | 1,811,072 | 1,829,696 | 1,842,234 | 1,855,525 | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | -0.2\% | -0.9\% | -0.2\% | 1.5\% |
| Nevada | 2,328,703 | 2,408,804 | 2,493,405 | 2,567,752 | 2,615,772 | 2,643,085 | 2,654,751 | 2,703,758 | 2,720,028 | 2,758,931 | 2.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 1.3\% | -1.9\% | -4.9\% | -2.7\% | -0.1\% |
| New Hampshire | 1,292,766 | 1,301,415 | 1,311,894 | 1,317,343 | 1,321,872 | 1,324,575 | 1,323,531 | 1,316,843 | 1,317,807 | 1,320,718 | -0.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | -1.1\% | -1.5\% | -0.5\% | 1.4\% |
| New Jersey | 8,611,530 | 8,621,837 | 8,623,721 | 8,636,043 | 8,663,398 | 8,707,739 | 8,732,811 | 8,803,388 | 8,834,773 | 8,864,590 | 2.3\% | 0.9\% | -0.5\% | -0.2\% | -3.0\% | -0.1\% | -0.8\% | -2.2\% |
| New Mexico | 1,891,829 | 1,916,538 | 1,942,608 | 1,968,731 | 1,986,763 | 2,009,671 | 2,033,875 | 2,064,767 | 2,078,674 | 2,085,538 | -0.3\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.9\% | -1.4\% | -0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% |
| New York | 19,297,933 | 19,330,891 | 19,356,564 | 19,422,777 | 19,467,789 | 19,541,453 | 19,577,730 | 19,399,242 | 19,501,616 | 19,570,261 | 1.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% |
| North Carolina | 8,531,283 | 8,669,452 | 8,866,977 | 9,064,074 | 9,247,134 | 9,380,884 | 9,458,888 | 9,559,048 | 9,651,103 | 9,752,073 | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | -2.5\% | -2.3\% | -0.1\% | 0.5\% |
| North Dakota | 636,303 | 635,365 | 636,771 | 638,202 | 641,421 | 646,844 | 653,778 | 674,363 | 684,740 | 699,628 | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | -1.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% | 5.2\% |
| Ohio | 11,464,593 | 11,475,262 | 11,492,495 | 11,520,815 | 11,528,072 | 11,542,645 | 11,532,111 | 11,538,290 | 11,541,007 | 11,544,225 | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | -1.4\% | -1.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% |
| Oklahoma | 3,514,449 | 3,532,769 | 3,574,334 | 3,612,186 | 3,644,025 | 3,687,050 | 3,724,447 | 3,759,482 | 3,784,163 | 3,814,820 | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.3\% | -1.0\% | 0.1\% | -0.2\% | 0.9\% |
| Oregon | 3,573,505 | 3,617,869 | 3,677,545 | 3,732,957 | 3,782,991 | 3,825,657 | 3,855,536 | 3,838,212 | 3,868,229 | 3,899,353 | 1.5\% | 2.7\% | 1.5\% | -2.4\% | -3.2\% | -1.6\% | -0.2\% | 1.9\% |
| Pennsylvania | 12,388,368 | 12,418,161 | 12,471,142 | 12,522,531 | 12,566,368 | 12,604,767 | 12,632,780 | 12,711,308 | 12,743,948 | 12,763,536 | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.7\% | -0.4\% | -0.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% |
| Rhode Island | 1,071,414 | 1,064,989 | 1,060,196 | 1,055,009 | 1,053,502 | 1,053,209 | 1,056,870 | 1,052,769 | 1,050,646 | 1,050,292 | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | -0.9\% | -1.5\% | -1.9\% | -0.4\% | 0.8\% |
| South Carolina | 4,201,306 | 4,256,199 | 4,339,399 | 4,424,232 | 4,503,280 | 4,561,242 | 4,596,958 | 4,635,835 | 4,673,348 | 4,723,723 | 4.6\% | 4.6\% | -5.1\% | -4.3\% | -4.4\% | -4.4\% | -1.4\% | -0.6\% |
| South Dakota | 774,283 | 780,084 | 788,519 | 797,035 | 804,532 | 812,383 | 820,077 | 816,223 | 823,593 | 833,354 | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | -0.6\% | -0.3\% | -0.1\% |
| Tennessee | 5,916,762 | 5,995,748 | 6,089,453 | 6,172,862 | 6,240,456 | 6,296,254 | 6,338,112 | 6,356,673 | 6,399,787 | 6,456,243 | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 1.2\% | -2.0\% | -2.4\% | -1.5\% | 0.3\% |
| Texas | 22,418,319 | 22,801,920 | 23,369,024 | 23,837,701 | 24,304,290 | 24,782,302 | 25,213,445 | 25,242,683 | 25,631,778 | 26,059,203 | 0.4\% | -0.1\% | 3.1\% | -1.2\% | -2.1\% | -1.3\% | -0.1\% | 0.4\% |
| Utah | 2,438,915 | 2,499,637 | 2,583,724 | 2,663,796 | 2,727,343 | 2,784,572 | 2,830,753 | 2,775,093 | 2,814,347 | 2,855,287 | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | -0.8\% | -1.7\% | -4.1\% | -4.6\% | -1.3\% | 0.2\% |
| Vermont | 618,145 | 618,814 | 619,985 | 620,460 | 621,049 | 621,760 | 622,433 | 625,916 | 626,592 | 626,011 | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | -1.1\% | -2.1\% | -0.4\% | 1.2\% |
| Virginia | 7,468,914 | 7,563,887 | 7,646,996 | 7,719,749 | 7,795,424 | 7,882,590 | 7,952,119 | 8,025,105 | 8,104,384 | 8,185,867 | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 4.2\% | 0.4\% | -0.6\% | -1.0\% | -0.1\% | 1.5\% |
| Washington | 6,184,289 | 6,261,282 | 6,372,243 | 6,464,979 | 6,566,073 | 6,664,195 | 6,746,199 | 6,743,636 | 6,823,267 | 6,897,012 | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | -0.1\% | -1.6\% | -3.2\% | -1.5\% | -1.5\% |
| West Virginia | 1,803,302 | 1,803,920 | 1,807,237 | 1,811,198 | 1,814,873 | 1,819,777 | 1,825,513 | 1,854,019 | 1,854,908 | 1,855,413 | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | -0.4\% | -1.5\% | -1.0\% | -0.2\% | 1.2\% |
| Wisconsin | 5,511,385 | 5,541,443 | 5,571,680 | 5,601,571 | 5,627,610 | 5,654,774 | 5,668,519 | 5,689,591 | 5,709,843 | 5,726,398 | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | -2.7\% | 0.6\% | -3.2\% | -1.8\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% |
| Wyoming | 502,988 | 506,242 | 512,841 | 523,414 | 532,981 | 544,270 | 547,637 | 564,367 | 567,356 | 576,412 | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | -1.3\% | -1.1\% | -0.5\% | -0.4\% |
| Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce <br> Note: For consistency in comparison, 2010 growth rate estimates were calculated utilizing pre-Census population estimates for 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishments Births and Establishment Births Per Person 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Establishment Births Per Person |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Alabama | 10,640 | 10,579 | 10,926 | 10,110 | 8,133 | 8,766 | 9,119 | 9,079 | 0.00234 | 0.00230 | 0.00236 | 0.00216 | 0.00173 | 0.00183 | 0.00190 | 0.00188 |
| Alaska | 2,072 | 1,967 | 1,957 | 1,999 | 1,876 | 1,863 | 1,891 | 1,972 | 0.00309 | 0.00290 | 0.00287 | 0.00290 | 0.00269 | 0.00261 | 0.00261 | 0.00270 |
| Arizona | 18,921 | 21,060 | 18,645 | 16,978 | 15,804 | 14,865 | 15,005 | 15,091 | 0.00317 | 0.00340 | 0.00293 | 0.00261 | 0.00240 | 0.00232 | 0.00232 | 0.00230 |
| Arkansas | 7,649 | 9,592 | 7,802 | 8,658 | 6,946 | 7,520 | 7,655 | 7,351 | 0.00276 | 0.00341 | 0.00275 | 0.00302 | 0.00240 | 0.00257 | 0.00260 | 0.00249 |
| California | 103,200 | 103,037 | 100,593 | 95,999 | 81,095 | 87,347 | 91,869 | 93,131 | 0.00288 | 0.00286 | 0.00278 | 0.00262 | 0.00219 | 0.00234 | 0.00244 | 0.00245 |
| Colorado | 21,132 | 21,118 | 21,283 | 20,443 | 17,087 | 16,740 | 17,649 | 18,281 | 0.00453 | 0.00444 | 0.00440 | 0.00414 | 0.00340 | 0.00332 | 0.00345 | 0.00352 |
| Connecticut | 8,453 | 8,907 | 8,734 | 8,295 | 6,918 | 7,296 | 7,881 | 7,854 | 0.00243 | 0.00256 | 0.00250 | 0.00237 | 0.00197 | 0.00204 | 0.00220 | 0.00219 |
| Delaware | 2,930 | 3,383 | 2,955 | 2,986 | 2,370 | 2,690 | 2,776 | 2,693 | 0.00349 | 0.00397 | 0.00342 | 0.00341 | 0.00268 | 0.00299 | 0.00306 | 0.00294 |
| Florida | 84,374 | 84,380 | 80,907 | 73,480 | 65,036 | 66,736 | 70,267 | 70,901 | 0.00474 | 0.00466 | 0.00443 | 0.00399 | 0.00351 | 0.00354 | 0.00368 | 0.00367 |
| Georgia | 30,719 | 29,882 | 30,017 | 28,920 | 23,850 | 25,199 | 25,561 | 26,167 | 0.00338 | 0.00320 | 0.00315 | 0.00298 | 0.00243 | 0.00259 | 0.00260 | 0.00264 |
| Hawaii | 3,276 | 3,303 | 3,283 | 3,158 | 2,623 | 2,899 | 3,019 | 2,877 | 0.00259 | 0.00259 | 0.00257 | 0.00245 | 0.00203 | 0.00212 | 0.00219 | 0.00207 |
| Idaho | 6,577 | 7,121 | 6,440 | 5,709 | 4,624 | 4,822 | 4,945 | 5,105 | 0.00461 | 0.00486 | 0.00430 | 0.00374 | 0.00299 | 0.00307 | 0.00312 | 0.00320 |
| Illinois | 32,120 | 32,041 | 29,171 | 30,053 | 26,981 | 27,724 | 30,217 | 28,449 | 0.00253 | 0.00252 | 0.00228 | 0.00234 | 0.00209 | 0.00216 | 0.00235 | 0.00221 |
| Indiana | 14,127 | 13,266 | 13,551 | 13,248 | 10,660 | 11,284 | 13,819 | 12,085 | 0.00226 | 0.00211 | 0.00214 | 0.00207 | 0.00166 | 0.00174 | 0.00212 | 0.00185 |
| lowa | 7,116 | 7,109 | 6,973 | 6,688 | 5,903 | 4,723 | 6,249 | 7,516 | 0.00241 | 0.00240 | 0.00234 | 0.00223 | 0.00196 | 0.00155 | 0.00204 | 0.00244 |
| Kansas | 7,223 | 7,322 | 7,138 | 7,363 | 6,759 | 6,015 | 7,121 | 7,008 | 0.00263 | 0.00266 | 0.00257 | 0.00263 | 0.00240 | 0.00210 | 0.00248 | 0.00243 |
| Kentucky | 9,304 | 8,334 | 9,522 | 8,845 | 7,344 | 7,599 | 7,984 | 8,325 | 0.00222 | 0.00198 | 0.00224 | 0.00206 | 0.00170 | 0.00175 | 0.00183 | 0.00190 |
| Louisiana | 10,132 | 11,495 | 11,894 | 10,676 | 9,489 | 9,482 | 10,156 | 9,721 | 0.00225 | 0.00271 | 0.00272 | 0.00240 | 0.00211 | 0.00209 | 0.00222 | 0.00211 |
| Maine | 4,322 | 4,445 | 4,240 | 4,132 | 3,784 | 3,788 | 3,914 | 3,694 | 0.00330 | 0.00338 | 0.00322 | 0.00313 | 0.00287 | 0.00285 | 0.00295 | 0.00278 |
| Maryland | 17,056 | 16,344 | 15,196 | 15,403 | 13,783 | 13,912 | 14,467 | 15,093 | 0.00306 | 0.00291 | 0.00270 | 0.00272 | 0.00242 | 0.00240 | 0.00248 | 0.00256 |
| Massachusetts | 17,938 | 16,493 | 16,414 | 15,334 | 13,257 | 14,020 | 16,348 | 15,153 | 0.00278 | 0.00255 | 0.00253 | 0.00234 | 0.00201 | 0.00214 | 0.00247 | 0.00228 |
| Michigan | 23,751 | 21,513 | 20,974 | 20,327 | 19,425 | 17,871 | 19,391 | 17,975 | 0.00235 | 0.00213 | 0.00209 | 0.00203 | 0.00195 | 0.00181 | 0.00196 | 0.00182 |
| Minnesota | 11,549 | 14,222 | 16,520 | 12,505 | 12,058 | 10,391 | 15,105 | 12,424 | 0.00226 | 0.00276 | 0.00318 | 0.00239 | 0.00229 | 0.00196 | 0.00282 | 0.00231 |
| Mississippi | 5,956 | 6,902 | 6,685 | 5,914 | 4,944 | 5,281 | 5,428 | 5,095 | 0.00205 | 0.00238 | 0.00229 | 0.00201 | 0.00167 | 0.00178 | 0.00182 | 0.00171 |
| Missouri | 14,796 | 15,200 | 14,545 | 12,222 | 12,832 | 11,729 | 12,488 | 12,914 | 0.00255 | 0.00259 | 0.00246 | 0.00205 | 0.00214 | 0.00196 | 0.00208 | 0.00214 |


| Table A. 3 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Establishments Births and Establishment Births Per Person 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishment Births |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Establishment Births Per Person |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Montana | 4,283 | 4,316 | 4,246 | 3,789 | 3,373 | 3,232 | 3,599 | 3,868 | 0.00458 | 0.00456 | 0.00444 | 0.00391 | 0.00346 | 0.00326 | 0.00361 | 0.00385 |
| Nebraska | 4,870 | 4,758 | 4,709 | 4,818 | 4,237 | 4,317 | 4,634 | 4,749 | 0.00278 | 0.00270 | 0.00266 | 0.00270 | 0.00236 | 0.00236 | 0.00252 | 0.00256 |
| Nevada | 9,849 | 9,787 | 9,699 | 9,089 | 7,497 | 7,640 | 7,921 | 8,177 | 0.00409 | 0.00393 | 0.00378 | 0.00347 | 0.00284 | 0.00283 | 0.00291 | 0.00296 |
| New Hampshire | 4,846 | 5,017 | 4,660 | 4,696 | 3,888 | 4,246 | 4,404 | 4,341 | 0.00372 | 0.00382 | 0.00354 | 0.00355 | 0.00294 | 0.00322 | 0.00334 | 0.00329 |
| New Jersey | 31,145 | 30,378 | 28,961 | 27,534 | 24,080 | 23,965 | 25,998 | 25,484 | 0.00361 | 0.00352 | 0.00335 | 0.00318 | 0.00277 | 0.00272 | 0.00294 | 0.00287 |
| New Mexico | 5,593 | 5,970 | 5,889 | 5,657 | 4,767 | 4,770 | 4,844 | 4,893 | 0.00292 | 0.00307 | 0.00299 | 0.00285 | 0.00237 | 0.00231 | 0.00233 | 0.00235 |
| New York | 54,961 | 55,655 | 55,176 | 53,341 | 49,145 | 52,559 | 57,120 | 55,744 | 0.00284 | 0.00288 | 0.00284 | 0.00274 | 0.00251 | 0.00271 | 0.00293 | 0.00285 |
| North Carolina | 21,536 | 24,600 | 32,851 | 24,823 | 20,370 | 21,765 | 24,208 | 23,011 | 0.00248 | 0.00277 | 0.00362 | 0.00268 | 0.00217 | 0.00228 | 0.00251 | 0.00236 |
| North Dakota | 1,903 | 2,065 | 2,043 | 2,027 | 1,747 | 2,079 | 2,906 | 3,687 | 0.00300 | 0.00324 | 0.00320 | 0.00316 | 0.00270 | 0.00308 | 0.00424 | 0.00527 |
| Ohio | 23,264 | 22,121 | 23,091 | 21,062 | 17,638 | 18,366 | 21,365 | 20,847 | 0.00203 | 0.00192 | 0.00200 | 0.00183 | 0.00153 | 0.00159 | 0.00185 | 0.00181 |
| Oklahoma | 9,025 | 10,215 | 9,594 | 9,011 | 8,411 | 8,955 | 8,760 | 8,445 | 0.00255 | 0.00286 | 0.00266 | 0.00247 | 0.00228 | 0.00238 | 0.00231 | 0.00221 |
| Oregon | 12,810 | 13,240 | 13,005 | 12,176 | 10,489 | 11,549 | 11,699 | 11,098 | 0.00354 | 0.00360 | 0.00348 | 0.00322 | 0.00274 | 0.00301 | 0.00302 | 0.00285 |
| Pennsylvania | 32,141 | 33,079 | 28,332 | 28,956 | 26,281 | 27,174 | 26,357 | 33,411 | 0.00259 | 0.00265 | 0.00226 | 0.00230 | 0.00209 | 0.00214 | 0.00207 | 0.00262 |
| Rhode Island | 3,674 | 3,410 | 3,361 | 3,184 | 2,768 | 3,031 | 3,201 | 3,347 | 0.00345 | 0.00322 | 0.00319 | 0.00302 | 0.00263 | 0.00288 | 0.00305 | 0.00319 |
| South Carolina | 10,972 | 12,607 | 12,156 | 11,068 | 9,087 | 9,178 | 10,109 | 9,479 | 0.00258 | 0.00291 | 0.00275 | 0.00246 | 0.00199 | 0.00198 | 0.00216 | 0.00201 |
| South Dakota | 2,442 | 2,451 | 2,234 | 2,328 | 2,126 | 2,213 | 2,319 | 2,289 | 0.00313 | 0.00311 | 0.00280 | 0.00289 | 0.00262 | 0.00271 | 0.00282 | 0.00275 |
| Tennessee | 13,946 | 14,086 | 14,101 | 13,507 | 10,984 | 11,770 | 12,143 | 12,078 | 0.00233 | 0.00231 | 0.00228 | 0.00216 | 0.00174 | 0.00185 | 0.00190 | 0.00187 |
| Texas | 54,747 | 57,856 | 56,419 | 57,223 | 50,346 | 51,660 | 55,782 | 55,660 | 0.00240 | 0.00248 | 0.00237 | 0.00235 | 0.00203 | 0.00205 | 0.00218 | 0.00214 |
| Utah | 11,163 | 11,947 | 11,776 | 10,151 | 8,663 | 8,599 | 9,359 | 9,613 | 0.00447 | 0.00462 | 0.00442 | 0.00372 | 0.00311 | 0.00310 | 0.00333 | 0.00337 |
| Vermont | 1,769 | 1,895 | 1,927 | 1,903 | 1,689 | 1,714 | 1,829 | 1,870 | 0.00286 | 0.00306 | 0.00311 | 0.00306 | 0.00272 | 0.00274 | 0.00292 | 0.00299 |
| Virginia | 22,357 | 22,123 | 25,410 | 23,788 | 22,011 | 22,218 | 23,350 | 24,775 | 0.00296 | 0.00289 | 0.00329 | 0.00305 | 0.00279 | 0.00277 | 0.00288 | 0.00303 |
| Washington | 18,183 | 18,632 | 18,421 | 18,377 | 15,080 | 15,162 | 16,340 | 16,656 | 0.00290 | 0.00292 | 0.00285 | 0.00280 | 0.00226 | 0.00225 | 0.00239 | 0.00241 |
| West Virginia | 4,036 | 4,007 | 3,724 | 3,535 | 3,202 | 3,276 | 3,604 | 3,365 | 0.00224 | 0.00222 | 0.00206 | 0.00195 | 0.00176 | 0.00177 | 0.00194 | 0.00181 |
| Wisconsin | 11,706 | 12,321 | 10,992 | 10,986 | 9,056 | 9,678 | 10,874 | 11,609 | 0.00211 | 0.00221 | 0.00196 | 0.00195 | 0.00160 | 0.00170 | 0.00190 | 0.00203 |
| Wyoming | 2,406 | 2,617 | 2,591 | 2,521 | 2,055 | 2,231 | 2,212 | 2,095 | 0.00475 | 0.00510 | 0.00495 | 0.00473 | 0.00378 | 0.00395 | 0.00390 | 0.00363 |

Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce

| Table A. 4 <br> Patents and Patents Per Thousand Persons 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Patents Per Thousand Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Alabama | 359 | 429 | 358 | 354 | 377 | 538 | 416 | 478 | 0.0790 | 0.0933 | 0.0772 | 0.0757 | 0.0801 | 0.1124 | 0.0866 | 0.0991 |
| Alaska | 36 | 45 | 24 | 28 | 55 | 33 | 32 | 46 | 0.0538 | 0.0664 | 0.0352 | 0.0407 | 0.0787 | 0.0462 | 0.0442 | 0.0629 |
| Arizona | 1,598 | 1,893 | 1,826 | 1,892 | 1,759 | 2,169 | 2,248 | 2,370 | 0.2675 | 0.3057 | 0.2870 | 0.2911 | 0.2667 | 0.3383 | 0.3476 | 0.3617 |
| Arkansas | 153 | 185 | 169 | 152 | 154 | 216 | 188 | 238 | 0.0551 | 0.0657 | 0.0595 | 0.0530 | 0.0533 | 0.0739 | 0.0640 | 0.0807 |
| California | 19,656 | 25,039 | 22,594 | 22,200 | 23,354 | 30,076 | 30,748 | 34,659 | 0.5491 | 0.6959 | 0.6237 | 0.6069 | 0.6318 | 0.8056 | 0.8159 | 0.9111 |
| Colorado | 1,972 | 2,349 | 1,983 | 1,868 | 1,968 | 2,435 | 2,397 | 2,744 | 0.4231 | 0.4942 | 0.4095 | 0.3785 | 0.3917 | 0.4823 | 0.4685 | 0.5290 |
| Connecticut | 1,677 | 1,857 | 1,611 | 1,595 | 1,661 | 2,111 | 2,121 | 2,297 | 0.4823 | 0.5328 | 0.4618 | 0.4553 | 0.4721 | 0.5902 | 0.5913 | 0.6398 |
| Delaware | 341 | 396 | 359 | 353 | 342 | 391 | 457 | 485 | 0.4060 | 0.4642 | 0.4151 | 0.4029 | 0.3864 | 0.4345 | 0.5032 | 0.5288 |
| Florida | 2,707 | 3,261 | 3,092 | 2,808 | 2,899 | 3,723 | 3,841 | 4,453 | 0.1522 | 0.1803 | 0.1692 | 0.1524 | 0.1564 | 0.1975 | 0.2013 | 0.2305 |
| Georgia | 1,358 | 1,719 | 1,580 | 1,585 | 1,666 | 2,194 | 2,206 | 2,501 | 0.1493 | 0.1842 | 0.1657 | 0.1634 | 0.1695 | 0.2258 | 0.2248 | 0.2521 |
| Hawaii | 58 | 101 | 82 | 101 | 96 | 144 | 128 | 131 | 0.0458 | 0.0792 | 0.0642 | 0.0784 | 0.0741 | 0.1056 | 0.0929 | 0.0941 |
| Idaho | 1,549 | 1,717 | 1,388 | 1,207 | 985 | 1,162 | 1,089 | 979 | 1.0864 | 1.1725 | 0.9258 | 0.7902 | 0.6372 | 0.7398 | 0.6876 | 0.6135 |
| Illinois | 3,297 | 4,053 | 3,711 | 3,580 | 3,615 | 4,374 | 4,594 | 5,078 | 0.2601 | 0.3187 | 0.2904 | 0.2788 | 0.2800 | 0.3406 | 0.3572 | 0.3944 |
| Indiana | 1,246 | 1,499 | 1,381 | 1,195 | 1,246 | 1,697 | 1,633 | 1,963 | 0.1993 | 0.2379 | 0.2176 | 0.1871 | 0.1940 | 0.2615 | 0.2506 | 0.3003 |
| lowa | 629 | 732 | 664 | 644 | 730 | 809 | 856 | 914 | 0.2133 | 0.2469 | 0.2229 | 0.2151 | 0.2427 | 0.2652 | 0.2794 | 0.2973 |
| Kansas | 455 | 581 | 523 | 543 | 509 | 728 | 805 | 1,100 | 0.1660 | 0.2108 | 0.1884 | 0.1941 | 0.1806 | 0.2546 | 0.2805 | 0.3812 |
| Kentucky | 377 | 471 | 505 | 469 | 457 | 601 | 551 | 620 | 0.0901 | 0.1116 | 0.1186 | 0.1094 | 0.1059 | 0.1383 | 0.1262 | 0.1415 |
| Louisiana | 298 | 365 | 294 | 322 | 315 | 355 | 368 | 445 | 0.0663 | 0.0861 | 0.0672 | 0.0723 | 0.0701 | 0.0781 | 0.0804 | 0.0967 |
| Maine | 159 | 156 | 126 | 136 | 130 | 220 | 202 | 222 | 0.1212 | 0.1186 | 0.0956 | 0.1031 | 0.0986 | 0.1657 | 0.1520 | 0.1670 |
| Maryland | 1,283 | 1,556 | 1,408 | 1,427 | 1,445 | 1,731 | 1,638 | 1,715 | 0.2298 | 0.2773 | 0.2499 | 0.2522 | 0.2535 | 0.2991 | 0.2805 | 0.2914 |
| Massachusetts | 3,301 | 4,368 | 3,835 | 3,896 | 4,038 | 5,260 | 5,526 | 6,119 | 0.5115 | 0.6755 | 0.5901 | 0.5954 | 0.6124 | 0.8014 | 0.8364 | 0.9207 |
| Michigan | 3,664 | 4,178 | 3,695 | 3,583 | 3,516 | 4,277 | 4,397 | 4,997 | 0.3631 | 0.4144 | 0.3676 | 0.3582 | 0.3527 | 0.4330 | 0.4452 | 0.5056 |
| Minnesota | 2,582 | 3,268 | 2,920 | 2,869 | 2,972 | 4,005 | 4,227 | 4,278 | 0.5056 | 0.6348 | 0.5625 | 0.5485 | 0.5644 | 0.7541 | 0.7905 | 0.7953 |
| Mississippi | 127 | 152 | 184 | 142 | 144 | 172 | 172 | 161 | 0.0438 | 0.0525 | 0.0630 | 0.0483 | 0.0488 | 0.0579 | 0.0578 | 0.0539 |
| Missouri | 732 | 863 | 861 | 786 | 877 | 1,140 | 1,003 | 1,165 | 0.1261 | 0.1472 | 0.1457 | 0.1320 | 0.1465 | 0.1901 | 0.1669 | 0.1935 |


| Table A. 4 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patents and Patents Per Thousand Persons 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Patents Per Thousand Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Montana | 119 | 136 | 131 | 115 | 91 | 118 | 114 | 133 | 0.1273 | 0.1437 | 0.1369 | 0.1188 | 0.0933 | 0.1191 | 0.1143 | 0.1323 |
| Nebraska | 205 | 239 | 254 | 214 | 226 | 253 | 247 | 336 | 0.1170 | 0.1358 | 0.1435 | 0.1201 | 0.1258 | 0.1383 | 0.1341 | 0.1811 |
| Nevada | 443 | 472 | 468 | 464 | 426 | 639 | 674 | 882 | 0.1839 | 0.1893 | 0.1823 | 0.1774 | 0.1612 | 0.2363 | 0.2478 | 0.3197 |
| New Hampshire | 538 | 657 | 618 | 526 | 608 | 802 | 805 | 791 | 0.4134 | 0.5008 | 0.4691 | 0.3979 | 0.4590 | 0.6090 | 0.6109 | 0.5989 |
| New Jersey | 2,868 | 3,627 | 3,152 | 3,247 | 3,259 | 4,345 | 4,277 | 4,656 | 0.3326 | 0.4206 | 0.3650 | 0.3748 | 0.3743 | 0.4936 | 0.4841 | 0.5252 |
| New Mexico | 272 | 354 | 304 | 295 | 329 | 455 | 412 | 444 | 0.1419 | 0.1822 | 0.1544 | 0.1485 | 0.1637 | 0.2204 | 0.1982 | 0.2129 |
| New York | 5,306 | 6,406 | 6,025 | 5,905 | 6,127 | 8,095 | 7,842 | 8,557 | 0.2745 | 0.3309 | 0.3102 | 0.3033 | 0.3135 | 0.4173 | 0.4021 | 0.4372 |
| North Carolina | 1,839 | 2,232 | 2,007 | 2,157 | 2,298 | 2,922 | 2,884 | 3,252 | 0.2121 | 0.2517 | 0.2214 | 0.2333 | 0.2450 | 0.3057 | 0.2988 | 0.3335 |
| North Dakota | 81 | 77 | 92 | 72 | 92 | 112 | 95 | 98 | 0.1275 | 0.1209 | 0.1442 | 0.1123 | 0.1422 | 0.1661 | 0.1387 | 0.1401 |
| Ohio | 2,727 | 3,291 | 3,058 | 3,153 | 3,023 | 3,983 | 3,903 | 4,110 | 0.2376 | 0.2864 | 0.2654 | 0.2735 | 0.2619 | 0.3452 | 0.3382 | 0.3560 |
| Oklahoma | 429 | 584 | 526 | 474 | 446 | 582 | 529 | 521 | 0.1214 | 0.1634 | 0.1456 | 0.1301 | 0.1210 | 0.1548 | 0.1398 | 0.1366 |
| Oregon | 1,849 | 2,536 | 2,282 | 2,173 | 2,014 | 2,340 | 2,371 | 2,462 | 0.5111 | 0.6896 | 0.6113 | 0.5744 | 0.5264 | 0.6097 | 0.6129 | 0.6314 |
| Pennsylvania | 2,546 | 3,186 | 2,986 | 2,850 | 3,066 | 3,887 | 3,652 | 3,894 | 0.2050 | 0.2555 | 0.2385 | 0.2268 | 0.2432 | 0.3058 | 0.2866 | 0.3051 |
| Rhode Island | 312 | 354 | 380 | 303 | 305 | 354 | 351 | 415 | 0.2930 | 0.3339 | 0.3602 | 0.2876 | 0.2896 | 0.3363 | 0.3341 | 0.3951 |
| South Carolina | 539 | 691 | 542 | 524 | 579 | 651 | 793 | 978 | 0.1266 | 0.1592 | 0.1225 | 0.1164 | 0.1269 | 0.1404 | 0.1697 | 0.2070 |
| South Dakota | 68 | 79 | 66 | 60 | 56 | 82 | 106 | 129 | 0.0872 | 0.1002 | 0.0828 | 0.0746 | 0.0689 | 0.1005 | 0.1287 | 0.1548 |
| Tennessee | 712 | 817 | 793 | 798 | 785 | 1,037 | 1,080 | 1,065 | 0.1188 | 0.1342 | 0.1285 | 0.1279 | 0.1247 | 0.1631 | 0.1688 | 0.1650 |
| Texas | 5,524 | 6,716 | 6,228 | 6,184 | 6,436 | 8,026 | 8,044 | 8,929 | 0.2423 | 0.2874 | 0.2613 | 0.2544 | 0.2597 | 0.3180 | 0.3138 | 0.3426 |
| Utah | 644 | 800 | 766 | 727 | 855 | 1,145 | 1,215 | 1,327 | 0.2576 | 0.3096 | 0.2876 | 0.2666 | 0.3070 | 0.4126 | 0.4317 | 0.4648 |
| Vermont | 411 | 486 | 546 | 514 | 500 | 668 | 536 | 506 | 0.6642 | 0.7839 | 0.8800 | 0.8276 | 0.8042 | 1.0672 | 0.8554 | 0.8083 |
| Virginia | 1,027 | 1,231 | 1,162 | 1,180 | 1,209 | 1,724 | 1,737 | 1,813 | 0.1358 | 0.1610 | 0.1505 | 0.1514 | 0.1534 | 0.2148 | 0.2143 | 0.2215 |
| Washington | 2,482 | 3,620 | 3,894 | 4,158 | 4,856 | 5,809 | 5,266 | 5,985 | 0.3964 | 0.5681 | 0.6023 | 0.6333 | 0.7287 | 0.8614 | 0.7718 | 0.8678 |
| West Virginia | 98 | 111 | 116 | 91 | 102 | 134 | 109 | 142 | 0.0543 | 0.0614 | 0.0640 | 0.0501 | 0.0561 | 0.0723 | 0.0588 | 0.0765 |
| Wisconsin | 1,756 | 2,150 | 1,927 | 1,921 | 1,887 | 2,232 | 2,154 | 2,289 | 0.3169 | 0.3859 | 0.3440 | 0.3414 | 0.3337 | 0.3923 | 0.3772 | 0.3997 |
| Wyoming | 55 | 56 | 62 | 41 | 64 | 89 | 74 | 125 | 0.1086 | 0.1092 | 0.1185 | 0.0769 | 0.1176 | 0.1577 | 0.1304 | 0.2169 |
| Source: U.S. Paten | adema |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012* |
| Alabama | \$26,369 | \$27,173 | \$24,319 | \$23,574 | \$21,661 | \$24,706 | \$25,230 | \$26,683 |
| Alaska | \$32,033 | \$33,548 | \$30,269 | \$29,184 | \$28,627 | \$32,674 | \$33,325 | \$35,679 |
| Arizona | \$28,902 | \$29,962 | \$26,397 | \$25,412 | \$22,056 | \$24,320 | \$24,693 | \$25,768 |
| Arkansas | \$25,419 | \$26,431 | \$22,944 | \$23,146 | \$20,816 | \$23,121 | \$23,856 | \$24,857 |
| California | \$38,939 | \$40,455 | \$35,117 | \$33,020 | \$28,891 | \$30,358 | \$31,003 | \$32,485 |
| Colorado | \$37,206 | \$39,115 | \$32,709 | \$32,070 | \$27,043 | \$31,393 | \$32,342 | \$33,041 |
| Connecticut | \$43,204 | \$45,896 | \$40,877 | \$37,044 | \$36,088 | \$41,527 | \$42,033 | \$43,187 |
| Delaware | \$30,115 | \$31,203 | \$27,968 | \$26,749 | \$24,032 | \$28,401 | \$28,935 | \$29,414 |
| Florida | \$22,400 | \$22,842 | \$20,447 | \$18,980 | \$17,212 | \$19,692 | \$19,940 | \$20,637 |
| Georgia | \$27,044 | \$26,933 | \$24,863 | \$22,842 | \$20,440 | \$22,367 | \$22,669 | \$23,498 |
| Hawaii | \$24,982 | \$25,982 | \$23,133 | \$21,931 | \$19,941 | \$22,522 | \$22,877 | \$23,860 |
| Idaho | \$24,857 | \$25,371 | \$22,997 | \$22,672 | \$20,646 | \$22,946 | \$23,411 | \$24,698 |
| Illinois | \$35,482 | \$36,939 | \$32,008 | \$29,988 | \$26,512 | \$30,162 | \$30,509 | \$31,351 |
| Indiana | \$28,221 | \$28,916 | \$26,527 | \$25,269 | \$22,208 | \$25,579 | \$26,165 | \$27,471 |
| lowa | \$24,773 | \$25,384 | \$24,570 | \$23,866 | \$22,435 | \$26,777 | \$27,730 | \$29,006 |
| Kansas | \$29,720 | \$30,931 | \$27,805 | \$28,839 | \$23,840 | \$27,844 | \$28,520 | \$29,800 |
| Kentucky | \$25,994 | \$26,524 | \$24,471 | \$24,181 | \$21,317 | \$24,180 | \$24,863 | \$26,111 |
| Louisiana | \$32,488 | \$30,456 | \$28,971 | \$31,317 | \$24,873 | \$28,069 | \$29,226 | \$30,382 |
| Maine | \$22,793 | \$23,571 | \$21,560 | \$19,666 | \$19,886 | \$21,494 | \$21,922 | \$23,127 |
| Maryland | \$26,608 | \$27,285 | \$24,188 | \$25,223 | \$23,762 | \$27,023 | \$27,491 | \$28,799 |
| Massachusetts | \$37,993 | \$39,730 | \$36,907 | \$32,418 | \$31,500 | \$36,354 | \$36,749 | \$38,516 |
| Michigan | \$29,238 | \$28,889 | \$25,764 | \$21,868 | \$18,998 | \$21,199 | \$21,926 | \$22,944 |
| Minnesota | \$26,296 | \$26,899 | \$24,457 | \$24,022 | \$21,540 | \$24,464 | \$24,895 | \$25,948 |
| Mississippi | \$26,794 | \$26,657 | \$24,435 | \$24,643 | \$22,078 | \$23,844 | \$24,515 | \$25,797 |
| Missouri | \$28,635 | \$29,717 | \$26,737 | \$28,605 | \$26,926 | \$29,125 | \$29,659 | \$30,973 |


| Table A. 5 (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Per Non-Farm Proprietor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012* |
| Montana | \$24,718 | \$25,324 | \$22,206 | \$20,864 | \$18,310 | \$20,144 | \$20,626 | \$21,656 |
| Nebraska | \$26,186 | \$26,824 | \$25,094 | \$25,573 | \$24,382 | \$28,645 | \$29,527 | \$30,924 |
| Nevada | \$28,333 | \$28,669 | \$24,375 | \$22,778 | \$21,003 | \$23,186 | \$23,629 | \$24,460 |
| New Hampshire | \$32,757 | \$34,961 | \$31,315 | \$27,972 | \$26,859 | \$30,525 | \$31,233 | \$32,720 |
| New Jersey | \$39,908 | \$41,506 | \$38,250 | \$36,706 | \$34,103 | \$37,473 | \$38,087 | \$39,572 |
| New Mexico | \$25,251 | \$26,245 | \$23,253 | \$23,054 | \$19,113 | \$20,566 | \$21,162 | \$22,013 |
| New York | \$42,232 | \$45,599 | \$40,369 | \$39,175 | \$39,753 | \$45,878 | \$46,395 | \$47,623 |
| North Carolina | \$24,338 | \$25,234 | \$22,199 | \$21,693 | \$19,615 | \$21,794 | \$22,443 | \$23,492 |
| North Dakota | \$26,290 | \$26,388 | \$24,108 | \$23,641 | \$21,741 | \$24,866 | \$25,335 | \$27,072 |
| Ohio | \$26,978 | \$27,607 | \$24,657 | \$25,491 | \$22,931 | \$25,834 | \$26,404 | \$27,342 |
| Oklahoma | \$36,330 | \$38,853 | \$34,783 | \$44,483 | \$27,923 | \$34,170 | \$36,480 | \$37,044 |
| Oregon | \$25,753 | \$26,683 | \$23,849 | \$24,371 | \$21,246 | \$23,203 | \$23,685 | \$24,917 |
| Pennsylvania | \$36,152 | \$37,383 | \$34,611 | \$34,404 | \$29,632 | \$33,159 | \$34,118 | \$35,485 |
| Rhode Island | \$31,220 | \$31,928 | \$29,566 | \$27,432 | \$24,822 | \$28,932 | \$29,589 | \$30,858 |
| South Carolina | \$19,860 | \$20,502 | \$18,289 | \$17,990 | \$15,891 | \$17,886 | \$18,426 | \$19,365 |
| South Dakota | \$29,090 | \$28,745 | \$26,604 | \$24,674 | \$22,277 | \$24,741 | \$25,168 | \$26,342 |
| Tennessee | \$37,506 | \$38,867 | \$35,681 | \$33,589 | \$32,265 | \$35,507 | \$36,603 | \$38,282 |
| Texas | \$44,215 | \$45,520 | \$41,767 | \$46,685 | \$34,040 | \$39,209 | \$40,899 | \$42,405 |
| Utah | \$22,464 | \$23,104 | \$20,742 | \$21,307 | \$18,936 | \$21,111 | \$21,307 | \$22,313 |
| Vermont | \$21,337 | \$22,739 | \$19,976 | \$18,105 | \$17,312 | \$19,704 | \$19,976 | \$21,014 |
| Virginia | \$30,295 | \$30,508 | \$27,379 | \$25,674 | \$22,580 | \$26,288 | \$26,904 | \$28,069 |
| Washington | \$31,373 | \$33,274 | \$30,652 | \$30,025 | \$27,212 | \$29,784 | \$30,341 | \$31,968 |
| West Virginia | \$28,466 | \$29,512 | \$27,338 | \$29,181 | \$23,913 | \$26,915 | \$27,500 | \$29,014 |
| Wisconsin | \$26,115 | \$26,974 | \$24,371 | \$23,130 | \$22,325 | \$25,317 | \$25,771 | \$26,947 |
| Wyoming | \$31,387 | \$32,709 | \$28,391 | \$29,847 | \$24,683 | \$28,690 | \$29,723 | \$30,624 |
| Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table A. 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Entrepreneurship Index Rank 2005-2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State | 2005 Rank | 2006 Rank | 2007 Rank | 2008 Rank | 2009 Rank | 2010 Rank | 2011 Rank | 2012 Rank |
| Alabama | 33 | 50 | 34 | 42 | 47 | 45 | 42 | 47 |
| Alaska | 35 | 38 | 39 | 30 | 25 | 16 | 25 | 19 |
| Arizona | 15 | 2 | 3 | 26 | 49 | 49 | 39 | 22 |
| Arkansas | 34 | 20 | 33 | 8 | 22 | 32 | 32 | 45 |
| California | 13 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 6 |
| Colorado | 2 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 23 | 22 | 10 |
| Connecticut | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 7 |
| Delaware | 5 | 29 | 21 | 39 | 45 | 29 | 36 | 43 |
| Florida | 4 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 43 | 26 | 34 | 15 |
| Georgia | 29 | 31 | 25 | 22 | 40 | 37 | 41 | 33 |
| Hawaii | 49 | 41 | 29 | 33 | 30 | 42 | 48 | 42 |
| Idaho | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 35 | 38 |
| Illinois | 16 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 14 |
| Indiana | 45 | 44 | 38 | 36 | 35 | 38 | 30 | 37 |
| lowa | 47 | 45 | 43 | 46 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 21 |
| Kansas | 38 | 35 | 44 | 25 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 44 |
| Kentucky | 48 | 36 | 30 | 49 | 50 | 30 | 47 | 48 |
| Louisiana | 25 | 10 | 48 | 31 | 12 | 4 | 50 | 31 |
| Maine | 40 | 46 | 32 | 32 | 26 | 43 | 21 | 39 |
| Maryland | 20 | 32 | 27 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 16 | 35 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 49 | 18 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 23 |
| Michigan | 37 | 40 | 37 | 45 | 39 | 46 | 49 | 50 |
| Minnesota | 19 | 12 | 35 | 24 | 28 | 25 | 9 | 4 |
| Mississippi | 39 | 43 | 42 | 41 | 37 | 47 | 45 | 49 |
| Missouri | 42 | 42 | 41 | 47 | 36 | 34 | 40 | 34 |
| Montana | 50 | 22 | 14 | 14 | 23 | 31 | 33 | 27 |
| Nebraska | 32 | 33 | 36 | 29 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 16 |
| Nevada | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 31 | 48 | 44 | 28 |
| New Hampshire | 23 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| New Jersey | 7 | 14 | 24 | 13 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 29 |
| New Mexico | 44 | 26 | 31 | 27 | 34 | 24 | 27 | 41 |
| New York | 14 | 13 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 41 | 28 | 16 | 21 | 42 | 40 | 28 | 30 |
| North Dakota | 28 | 37 | 47 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| Ohio | 43 | 47 | 45 | 38 | 41 | 41 | 26 | 40 |
| Oklahoma | 24 | 21 | 22 | 3 | 21 | 6 | 19 | 20 |
| Oregon | 10 | 4 | 8 | 43 | 29 | 12 | 11 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | 27 | 27 | 23 | 23 | 15 | 14 | 8 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 11 | 25 | 26 | 44 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 17 | 18 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 50 | 46 | 46 |
| South Dakota | 30 | 34 | 40 | 37 | 19 | 18 | 29 | 32 |
| Tennessee | 31 | 23 | 19 | 18 | 32 | 33 | 37 | 25 |
| Texas | 18 | 19 | 2 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 8 |
| Utah | 3 | 7 | 28 | 40 | 38 | 44 | 23 | 17 |
| Vermont | 26 | 24 | 17 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 15 | 11 |
| Virginia | 21 | 30 | 5 | 28 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 12 |
| Washington | 22 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 21 | 17 | 24 |
| West Virginia | 46 | 48 | 46 | 48 | 44 | 36 | 38 | 36 |
| Wisconsin | 36 | 39 | 49 | 35 | 46 | 39 | 31 | 26 |
| Wyoming | 9 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 18 |
| Sources: U.S. Dep | nts of Labor, | ommerce and | atent Office |  |  |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    Sources: U.S. Departments of Labor and Commerce
    Note: Average value of quarterly establishments Q4 of previous year through Q3 of current year

